



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary: Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION ON
2	TUESDAY MARCH 20,2007 BEGINS ON PAGE 158.]
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING
8	WILL COME TO ORDER. ASK EVERYONE TO RISE FOR THE INVOCATION
9	AND THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. THE INVOCATION WILL BE LED BY
10	JASON BROWN, THE DIRECTOR OF YOUTH I'M SORRY, OF YOUNG ADULT
11	MINISTRIES AT THE EMMANUEL REFORM CHURCH IN PARAMOUNT AND THE
12	PLEDGE WILL BE LED BY OUR OWN JOSEPH SMITH, THE DIRECTOR OF
13	THE DEPARTMENT OF MILITARY AND VETERANS AFFAIRS. MR. BROWN?
14	
15	JASON BROWN: LET US PRAY. DEAR GOD, MAY YOUR PRESENCE AND YOUR
16	HOPES AND YOUR VERY BEING BE GLADLY ESTEEMED, EVEN LOVED.
17	PLEASE BRING YOUR VISION OF HOW WE SHOULD LIVE AS HUMAN BEINGS
18	IN RELATIONSHIP TO EACH OTHER AND TO YOU TO REALITY. PLEASE
19	LEAD US TO LIVE IN A WAY THAT REFLECTS WHAT IS ETERNALLY GOOD.
20	PLEASE BRING THE HEALING AND LIFE-FULLNESS OF HEAVEN TO THE
21	PEOPLE AND COMMUNITIES OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY. GIVE US AND
22	THESE SUPERVISORS EVERYTHING WE NEED TO SUSTAIN A WAY OF LIFE
23	THAT IS DEEPLY COMPASSIONATE, EXTRAVAGANTLY GENEROUS,
24	KNOWINGLY HUMBLE AND WHOLLY INTEGRATED. FORGIVE US AND GRANT
25	US THE GRACE AND SELF-AWARENESS TO FORGIVE OTHERS. PLEASE



- 1 PROTECT US FROM SELF-DELUSION AND SELF-CENTEREDNESS AND POWERS
- 2 BEYOND OUR CONTROL THAT WOULD STEAL OUR HEART AND KILL OUR
- 3 SOULS. WATCH OVER US IN MERCIFUL LOVE. AND WE PRAY THESE
- 4 THINGS NOT BECAUSE IT'S TECHNICALLY PROPER NOR OUT OF
- 5 FUNCTIONAL OBLIGATION BUT BECAUSE YOU HEAR AND YOU HAVE VISION
- 6 FOR OUR LIVES TOGETHER AND YOU HAVE THE POWER AND DESIRE TO
- 7 REALIZE THIS VISION AND BECAUSE WE, WHETHER AT OUR BEST AND
- 8 MOST INDEPENDENT OR AT OUR WORST AND WEAKEST, DESPERATELY NEED
- 9 YOU. AMEN.

10

- 11 COL. JOSEPH SMITH: PLEASE PLACE YOUR RIGHT HAND OVER YOUR
- 12 HEART AND JOIN ME IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO OUR NATION'S
- 13 FLAG. [PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE]

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SUPERVISOR KNABE.

- 17 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
- 18 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. IT'S MY HONOR TO PRESENT A CERTIFICATE
- 19 OF APPRECIATION TO MR. JASON BROWN. AS WAS MENTIONED, HE IS
- 20 THE DIRECTOR OF YOUNG ADULT MINISTRIES AT EMMANUEL REFORM
- 21 CHURCH IN PARAMOUNT. HE LED US IN THE INVOCATION THIS MORNING
- 22 AND THAT'S THE CHURCH THAT MY WIFE AND I ATTEND AND WE'RE JUST
- 23 HONORED TO HAVE JASON HERE. HE'S A RECENT MEMBER OF THE STAFF
- 24 THERE COMING FROM COLD IOWA TO THIS WARM SUNNY SOUTHERN
- 25 CALIFORNIA, VERY HAPPY TO BE IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA RIGHT NOW.



- 1 HE, AS I MENTIONED, IS A LIFETIME RESIDENT OF IOWA. HE AND HIS
- 2 WIFE, EMILY, MOVED TO PARAMOUNT THIS PAST JULY WITH THEIR TWO
- 3 SONS, JOE, AGE 4, AND JACK, AGE 2, TO BE PART OF OUR GREAT
- 4 EMMANUEL FAMILY THERE. WE WELCOME HIM HERE BUT ALSO TO EXTEND
- 5 A HEARTFELT THANKS FOR LEADING US IN THE INVOCATION AND LOOK
- 6 FORWARD TO SEEING HIM SOON, LIKE SUNDAY. [APPLAUSE]

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. THANK YOU, JOSEPH, FOR

- 9 LEADING US IN THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE. COULD WE HAVE THE
- 10 AGENDA, SACHI?

7

11

15

18

12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE

- 13 BOARD, WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 6, AGENDA FOR THE
- 14 MEETING OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEM 1-D.
- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HANG ON A SECOND. I CAN'T FIND
- 17 PAGE 6. MS. MOLINA MOVES, MR. KNABE SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.
- 19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE HOUSING
- 20 AUTHORITY, ITEMS 1-H THROUGH 3-H. ON ITEM 1-H, THE EXECUTIVE
- 21 DIRECTOR OF THE HOUSING AUTHORITY REQUESTS THAT ITEM BE
- 22 CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO MARCH 27TH, 2007.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL BE
- 2 THE ORDER. ON THE REMAINDER, BURKE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS.
- 3 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

4

- 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE REGIONAL PARK
- 6 AND OPEN SPACE DISTRICT, ITEM-- ON ITEM 1-P, THE DIRECTOR OF
- 7 PARKS AND RECREATION REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK
- 8 TO HIS DEPARTMENT.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL BE
- 11 THE ORDER.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, ITEMS 1 THROUGH 9. ON
- 14 ITEM NUMBER 9, THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC
- 15 TO HOLD THIS ITEM.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE WILL HOLD IT.

18

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE REST ARE BEFORE YOU.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, BURKE SECONDS.
- 22 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER, ITEMS 10
- 25 THROUGH 16. ON ITEM 10, THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER IS



- 1 REQUESTING THAT THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO HIS DEPARTMENT.
- 2 ON ITEM 11, AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA, THE DIRECTOR OF
- 3 CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE
- 4 CONTINUED TWO WEEKS TO APRIL 3RD, 2007. AND ON ITEM 12,
- 5 SUPERVISOR MOLINA REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED ONE
- 6 WEEK TO MARCH 27TH, 2007. ITEMS 13 THROUGH 16 ARE BEFORE YOU.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION, ITEM 10 WILL BE
- 9 REFERRED BACK TO THE C.A.O. 11 WILL BE CONTINUED FOR TWO WEEKS
- 10 AND 12 WILL BE CONTINUED FOR ONE WEEK. ITEMS 13 THROUGH 16,
- 11 MS. BURKE MOVES, MR. KNABE SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AGRICULTURAL COMMISSIONER/ WEIGHTS AND
- 14 MEASURES, ITEM 17.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOLINA MOVES, KNABE SECONDS.
- 17 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

18

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ARTS COMMISSION, ITEM 18.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BURKE MOVES, KNABE SECONDS.
- 22 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICE, ITEM 19.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS.
- 2 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION, ITEM 20.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, BURKE SECONDS.
- 7 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ITEMS 21 AND 22. ON ITEM
- 10 22, AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE DISTRICT
- 11 ATTORNEY REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TWO WEEKS TO
- 12 APRIL 3RD, 2007.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL BE
- 15 THE ORDER. ITEM 21, KNABE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS. UNANIMOUS
- 16 VOTE.

17

- 18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HEALTH SERVICES, ITEMS 23 THROUGH 28. ON
- 19 ITEM 23, THIS RECOMMENDATION WAS REVISED AS INDICATED ON THE
- 20 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA AND THERE IS ALSO A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER
- 21 OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. WE'LL HOLD IT.

24

25 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND 24 THROUGH 28 ARE BEFORE YOU.



1 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BURKE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS. 3 UNANIMOUS VOTE. 4 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: INTERNAL SERVICES, ITEM 29. 6 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOLINA MOVES, KNABE SECONDS. 8 UNANIMOUS VOTE. 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MENTAL HEALTH, ITEMS 30 THROUGH 32. 10 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BURKE MOVES, KNABE SECONDS. 12 13 UNANIMOUS VOTE. 14 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PARKS AND RECREATION, ITEMS 33 AND 34. 15 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOLINA MOVES, BURKE SECONDS. 17 18 UNANIMOUS VOTE. 19 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PROBATION, ITEM 35. 21 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOLINA MOVES, KNABE SECONDS,

25 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC LIBRARY, ITEM 36.**

23

24

UNANIMOUS VOTE.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, BURKE SECONDS.
- 3 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

4

5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: PUBLIC WORKS, ITEMS 37 THROUGH 58.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: KNABE MOVES, BURKE SECONDS.
- 8 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

9

10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON PAGE 28, SHERIFF, ITEM 59.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BURKE MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS.
- 13 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

14

- 15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ORDINANCES FOR ADOPTION, ITEMS 60 AND 61.
- 16 ON ITEM 60, THIS ALSO INCLUDES SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S
- 17 AMENDMENTS AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. AND ALSO
- 18 SUPERVISOR KNABE, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AND A MEMBER OF THE
- 19 PUBLIC REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IT WILL BE HELD.

- 23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS, ITEMS 62
- 24 THROUGH 69. ON ITEM 65, SUPERVISOR MOLINA APPROVES THE



- 1 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT BUT REQUESTS THAT THE CORRECTIVE ACTION
- 2 BE CONTINUED FOUR WEEKS TO APRIL 17, 2007.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. WITH THAT
- 5 UNDERSTANDING, MOLINA MOVES, BURKE SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: I'M SORRY. CAN WE GO BACK TO ITEM 61? WE
- 8 NEED APPROVAL ON THAT ITEM.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SORRY ABOUT THAT. 61.

11

12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THAT WAS UNDER THE SECOND ORDINANCE.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YES. BURKE MOVES, KNABE SECONDS.
- 15 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GREAT. THANK YOU. WE ARE ON MISCELLANEOUS,
- 18 ADDITIONS TO THE AGENDA REQUESTED BY BOARD MEMBERS AND THE
- 19 CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER WHICH WERE POSTED MORE THAN 72
- 20 HOURS IN ADVANCE OF THE MEETING AS INDICATED ON THE GREEN
- 21 SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA. ITEM 70A.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MOLINA MOVES, BURKE SECONDS.
- 24 UNANIMOUS VOTE.

25

LIKE TO ASK SOME QUESTIONS.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 70B. 1 2 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANTONOVICH MOVES, BURKE SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE. 4 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 70C. 6 7 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANTONOVICH MOVES, MOLINA SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE. 10 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEMS CONTINUED... 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: EXCUSE ME. ANTONOVICH IS NOT IN THE ROOM. 14 15 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HE'S NOT HERE. 17 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO ON 70A AND 70B, BURKE MOVES AND 19 KNABE SECONDS, UNANIMOUS VOTE ON BOTH OF THOSE. AND, ON 70C, 20 BURKE MOVES IT AND KNABE SECONDS. UNANIMOUS VOTE. 21 22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEMS... 23 SUP. MOLINA: ON ITEM NUMBER 12, COULD WE RECONSIDER THAT? I'D 24



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SURE. WITHOUT OBJECTION, MOLINA
- 3 MOVES, I'LL SECOND, MOTION TO RECONSIDER ITEM 12. IT'S BEFORE
- 4 US AND WE'LL HOLD IT FOR DISCUSSION. ANYTHING ELSE?

5

- 6 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: YES. ON ITEMS CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS
- 7 MEETINGS FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION AND ACTION BY THE BOARD, ON
- 8 ITEM A-3, THE DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES IS REQUESTING TO
- 9 HOLD THIS ITEM FOR A REPORT.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. GO AHEAD.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THAT COMPLETES THE READING OF THE AGENDA.
- 14 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH SUPERVISORIAL
- 15 DISTRICT NUMBER 1.

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. IF THERE'S, IF THERE'S,
- 18 AFTER WE DO THE PRESENTATIONS, IF THERE'S NO OBJECTION, I KNOW
- 19 YOU'RE UP FIRST BUT I'D LIKE TO ASK DR. CHERNOF TO GIVE HIS A-
- 20 3 REPORT FIRST IN THE INTEREST OF HIS TIME. ALL RIGHT. THEN,
- 21 DAVID, IF YOU'LL LET DR. CHERNOF KNOW THAT HE'LL BE UP FIRST
- 22 AFTER THE PRESENTATIONS. ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. SO WE'RE DONE
- 23 WITH THE CONSENT CALENDAR. WE HAVE THE AGENDA-- THE
- 24 PRESENTATIONS. AM I UP FIRST FOR THE STARS OR DO I DO THAT IN
- 25 ORDER?



1

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THE STARS IS UP FIRST.

3

2

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. AND THEN MS. MOLINA. YEAH.
- 5 NO PROBLEM. ALL RIGHT. YOU'LL BE UP-- WHY DON'T I JUST
- 6 RECOGNIZE YOU NOW. SUPERVISOR BURKE FOR A PRESENTATION.

- 8 SUP. BURKE: I'D LIKE TO CALL FORWARD JUDGE KELVIN D. FILER.
- 9 JUDGE FILER HAS BEEN SELECTED AS THIS YEAR'S UNIVERSITY OF
- 10 CALIFORNIA SANTA CRUZ DIVISION OF SOCIAL SCIENCES AS THE
- 11 SOCIAL SCIENCE RECIPIENT OF THE DISTINGUISHED SOCIAL SCIENCE
- 12 ALUMNI AWARD. HE RECEIVED HIS B.A. IN POLITICS FROM
- 13 STEVENSON'S COLLEGE BEFORE MOVING ON TO BOALT SCHOOL OF LAW AT
- 14 U.C. BERKELEY. FOR OVER 25 YEARS, HE'S BEEN MAKING HIS MARK IN
- 15 THE COURTS AND IS KNOWN FOR DISPENSING JUSTICE WITH INTEGRITY
- 16 AND COMPASSION. HE SPENT TWO YEARS IN THE STATE PUBLIC
- 17 DEFENDERS OFFICE BEFORE ESTABLISHING A PRIVATE PRACTICE IN
- 18 COMPTON. HIS LANDMARK CASE, WHICH HE ARGUED BEFORE THE SUPREME
- 19 COURT, ESTABLISHED THE RIGHT OF THE ACCUSED TO WEAR STREET
- 20 CLOTHES IN COURT RATHER THAN JAIL BLUES, WHICH MIGHT PREJUDICE
- 21 JURORS. HE IS A TREMENDOUS ROLE MODEL AND DEEPLY INVOLVED IN
- 22 HIS COMMUNITY. HE GOES TO SCHOOLS WEEKLY TO ENCOURAGE STUDENTS
- 23 TO WORK HARD AND FOLLOW THEIR DREAM. AND CERTAINLY WE KNOW
- 24 THAT HE COMES FROM A FAMILY OF LAWYERS. HIS DAD-- I SHOULDN'T
- 25 SAY THIS BUT HIS DAD TOOK THE BAR HOW MANY TIMES?

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 JUDGE KELVIN FILER: 48. 3 SUP. BURKE: 48 TIMES AND HE BECAME A LAWYER, SO HIS DAD REALLY 4 5 WANTED TO SHOW HIM THAT IT'S IMPORTANT TO REALLY PERSEVERE. OF COURSE, HE WENT THROUGH WITH FLYING COLORS AND NEVER HAD A 6 7 PROBLEM FIRST TIME BUT HIS DAD ESTABLISHED REALLY AN 8 ENCOURAGEMENT TO HIM AND IT'S A FAMILY THAT'S MADE GREAT CONTRIBUTIONS AND HE HAS CONTINUED TO CONTRIBUTE SO MUCH TO 9 HIS COMMUNITY. WE'RE VERY PLEASED TO PRESENT THIS RECOGNITION. 10 [APPLAUSE] 11 12 13 JUDGE KELVIN D. FILER: JUST ON BEHALF OF THE COMPTON COMMUNITY, BECAUSE I ALWAYS FEEL LIKE I'M REPRESENTING COMPTON 14 15 ANY TIME I RECEIVE ANY TYPE OF ACKNOWLEDGEMENT, I'D LIKE TO 16 THANK THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND PARTICULARLY THANK SUPERVISOR BURKE. SHE NOT ONLY HAS OPENED THE DOORS FOR 17 18 INDIVIDUALS SUCH AS MYSELF BUT SHE STAYED THERE AND HELD IT 19 OPEN FOR US. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE] 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. IT'S A PLEASURE FOR ME 21 22 TO NOW INTRODUCE THE MARCH 2007 L.A. COUNTY STARS. FIRST IN 23 THE CATEGORY OF WORKFORCE EXCELLENCE -- OH, HERE COME THE STARS. IT'S A PLEASURE TO INTRODUCE THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY 24

LEARNING ACADEMY. UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF



- 1 HUMAN RESOURCES, ORGANIZATIONAL AND EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT
- 2 DIVISION... [APPLAUSE]

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ...ALONG WITH COLLABORATING COUNTY
- 5 DEPARTMENT REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE C.A.O., I.S.D., AUDITOR-
- 6 CONTROLLER, COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE
- 7 BOARD. WE ALSO HAVE WITH US ACADEMIC PARTNERS FROM THE L.A.
- 8 UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, LOS ANGELES VALLEY COLLEGE, LOS
- 9 ANGELES CITY COLLEGE, CAL STATE NORTHRIDGE AND CAL STATE
- 10 DOMINGUEZ HILLS. THE ACADEMY WAS CREATED IN A STRATEGIC
- 11 APPROACH TO MEET THE MANY EMERGING EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT NEEDS
- 12 OF THE COUNTY'S LARGE AND DIVERSE WORKFORCE AND PARTICULARLY
- 13 TO ADDRESS THE DEVELOPMENT OF MANAGERS AND EXECUTIVES IN
- 14 SUPPORT OF SUCCESSION PLANNING. IT BEGAN WITH A FEW PROGRAMS--
- 15 BEGAN WITH A FEW PROGRAMS AND HAS GROWN TO INCLUDE MORE THAN
- 16 15 CERTIFICATE PROGRAMS AND OVER 20 WORKSHOPS. THE ACADEMY IS
- 17 UNIQUE BECAUSE OF THE PUBLIC PARTNERSHIP THAT HAS FORGED
- 18 BETWEEN THE COUNTY AND THE CAL STATE UNIVERSITY SYSTEM, THE
- 19 COMMUNITY COLLEGES AND THE L.A. UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICTS. WE
- 20 WANT TO CONGRATULATE EVERYONE INVOLVED WITH THE LOS ANGELES
- 21 COUNTY LEARNING ACADEMY FOR THE GREAT WORK THEY'RE DOING. IT
- 22 STARTED AS A SMALL IDEA AND HAS GOTTEN TO BE A BIG IDEA AND
- 23 IT'S SERVING THE NEEDS OF THIS COUNTY EXTREMELY WELL.
- 24 CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OUR NEXT RECIPIENT, ALSO FOR
- 2 WORKFORCE EXCELLENCE, IS JULIE VALDEZ, A LICENSED CLINICAL
- 3 SOCIAL WORKER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH. [APPLAUSE
- 4]

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: GIVE HER A HAND. [APPLAUSE]

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: JULIE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE
- 9 ACCESS CENTER, THE ENTRY POINT FOR MENTAL HEALTH SERVICES
- 10 HANDLING 220,000 CALLS ANNUALLY AND FUNCTIONS AS A BACKUP
- 11 DISASTER COMMAND CENTER FOR THE NATIONAL HOTLINE. SHE HAS BEEN
- 12 ACTIVELY INVOLVED IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE 2-1-1 SYSTEM
- 13 AND HAS SET UP A STATE MANDATED HOTLINE FOR SPECIALIZED FOSTER
- 14 CARE CONSUMERS. SHE LEADS, MOTIVATED AND WORKS COHESIVELY WITH
- 15 HER STAFF, PROMOTING A COMFORTABLE ORGANIZATIONAL ATMOSPHERE
- 16 AND APPRECIATES THE EFFORTS OF HER MULTILINGUAL AND
- 17 MULTIDISCIPLINARY STAFF TO ENSURE THAT OUR DIVERSE CLIENTELE
- 18 RECEIVES PROPER ASSISTANCE. CONGRATULATIONS, JULIE, AND THANK
- 19 YOU FOR YOUR LEADERSHIP AND YOUR DEDICATION. [APPLAUSE]

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NEXT, IN THE CATEGORY OF FISCAL
- 22 RESPONSIBILITY, IT'S A PLEASURE TO INTRODUCE THE CLAIMS AND
- 23 LITIGATION SECTION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THIS
- 24 SECTION PROCESSES PROPERTY DAMAGE AND/OR PERSONAL INJURY
- 25 CLAIMS AND ASSISTS COUNSEL IN LITIGATION AGAINST THE COUNTY



- 1 THROUGH THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. OVER THE PAST THREE
- 2 YEARS, THEY HAVE PROCESSED AN AVERAGE OF 700 CLAIMS PER YEAR.
- 3 MOREOVER, THEY HAVE REDUCED THE COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH CLAIMS
- 4 AND LITIGATION BY UTILIZING INFORMATIONAL TECHNOLOGIES,
- 5 INVESTIGATING CLAIMS WITH THE EXPECTATION OF LITIGATION AND
- 6 RETAINING COUNCIL AT THE EARLIEST STAGES. IT'S SAVED US A
- 7 CONSIDERABLE AMOUNT OF TAXPAYERS' MONEY AND WE WANT TO
- 8 CONGRATULATE ALL THE PEOPLE INVOLVED IN THE CLAIMS AND
- 9 LITIGATION SECTION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. [
- 10 APPLAUSE]

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: LET'S HEAR IT FOR ALL OF OUR
- 13 STARS. OKAY. NEXT, SUPERVISOR BURKE, YOU'LL-- YOU'RE NEXT AND
- 14 THEN I'LL COME BACK FOR MY OTHER PRESENTATIONS.

15

16 SUP. BURKE: (OFF-MIKE).

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SHE HAS NO PRESENTATIONS.

- 20 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I THINK THAT SHE'S GOING TO COME UP HERE. WE
- 21 HAVE A CENTENARIAN. EMMA JACKSON IS GOING TO COME UP. OKAY.
- 22 I'M GOING TO JUST START TO GIVE YOU SOME INTRODUCTION WHILE
- 23 SHE'S COMING UP. EMMA JACKSON WAS BORN IN 1905 AND SHE'S A
- 24 VIBRANT, 102-YEAR-OLD CENTENARIAN. SHE'S THE ELDEST OF SIX
- 25 CHILDREN, ALL OF WHICH ARE STILL LIVING. SHE STILL MAINTAINS



- 1 AND BALANCES HER CHECKBOOK, IS AN ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE
- 2 WASHINGTON IRVING LIBRARY AND LOVES TO TRAVEL, VISITING HER
- 3 SISTERS IN DETROIT, CLEVELAND AND ALABAMA. SHE'S KNOWN AS AN
- 4 EXPERT SEAMSTRESS AND EXCELS AT KNITTING AND CROCHETING, IN
- 5 ADDITION TO MAKING BEAUTIFUL QUILTS. MOST IMPRESSIVE IS THAT
- 6 SHE RECENTLY BEGAN AN ACTING CAREER, RECENTLY AUDITIONING TO
- 7 APPEAR IN COMMERCIALS. SO IT'S WITH GREAT PLEASURE THAT WE
- 8 CELEBRATE HER BIRTHDAY AND RECOGNIZE EMMA CARR JACKSON, 105!
- 9 I'M SORRY. 102! 102! BORN IN 1905. 102. [APPLAUSE]

10

11 SUP. BURKE: CONGRATULATIONS TO YOU. PLEASE SAY A WORD FOR US.

12

13 EMMA JACKSON: THANK YOU.

14

- 15 SUP. BURKE: I'M GOING TO LIFT THIS OUT SO IT WILL BE CLOSER TO
- 16 HER. THAT SHOULD BE BETTER.

17

- 18 EMMA JACKSON: GOOD MORNING, EVERYBODY. I AM VERY THANKFUL TO
- 19 BE HERE. I APPRECIATE VERY MUCH THE INVITATION TO HAVE ME
- 20 HERE. I THANK YOU. AND THE PROGRAM SAYS EVERYTHING I COULD
- 21 TELL YOU. <LAUGHTER>

- 23 SUP. BURKE: WELL, WE HAVE A QUILTER HERE. SUPERVISOR GLORIA
- 24 MOLINA IS ANOTHER QUILTER. I QUILT A LITTLE BIT BUT SHE'S A
- 25 REAL QUILTER. YOU DO QUILTING AND KNITTING, RIGHT?

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 EMMA JACKSON: RIGHT. CROCHETING AND KNITTING AND MAKING 2 3 QUILTS. AND MY OCCUPATION IS DRESS MAKING. YES, I'M A RETIRED DRESS MAKER. 4 5 SUP. BURKE: NOW AN ACTRESS, RIGHT? WELL, MANY HAPPY RETURNS 6 7 AND MANY MORE IN YOUR FUTURE. AND WHO DO YOU HAVE HERE WITH 8 YOU? 9 EMMA JACKSON: MY THREE SONS. JOHN, DAVID AND CARL BUT THIS IS 10 THE OLDEST AND THEY GO THAT WAY. [LAUGHTER] 11 12 13 SUP. BURKE: WELL, HAPPY, HAPPY BIRTHDAY. 14 15 EMMA JACKSON: THANK YOU AND THANK EVERYONE. [APPLAUSE] 16 SUP. BURKE: THANK YOU. THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATIONS. 17 18 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. I HAVE A COUPLE PRESENTATIONS. FIRST, I'D LIKE TO ASK LEONARD SCHNEIDERMAN TO 20 21 COME FORWARD. IT'S A PRIVILEGE TO HAVE DR. LEONARD 22 SCHNEIDERMAN THIS MORNING. WE WANTED TO HONOR HIM FOR NO PARTICULAR REASON. IT'S NOT HIS BIRTHDAY, HE'S NOT RETIRING 23 BUT HE HAS BEEN SUCH A GREAT ASSET TO THIS COUNTY AND TO THIS 24

COMMUNITY THAT WE WANTED TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO HONOR



- 1 HIM. LEONARD SCHNEIDERMAN SERVED AS THE DEAN AND PROFESSOR OF
- 2 U.C.L.A. SCHOOL OF SOCIAL WELFARE FROM 1983 TO '93, AS A
- 3 CONSULTANT TO THE CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE'S COMMITTEES ON
- 4 HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES FROM 1985 TO '91, AS PROFESSOR
- 5 EMERITUS AT U.C.L.A.'S SCHOOL OF PUBLIC POLICY FROM 1993 TO
- 6 THE PRESENT AND IS CURRENTLY A VISITING PROFESSOR AT BEN-
- 7 GURION UNIVERSITY IN ISRAEL. HE IS A DISTINGUISHED SCHOLAR AND
- 8 ADVOCATE WHO HAS WORKED TIRELESSLY TO IMPROVE THE SOCIAL
- 9 SERVICE SYSTEM AND WELLBEING OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES IN LOS
- 10 ANGELES COUNTY AND I WOULD SAY THROUGHOUT THE STATE OF
- 11 CALIFORNIA AND HAS EXTENSIVELY STUDIED INDIVIDUAL AND FAMILY
- 12 POVERTY, THE EFFECTIVENESS OF PUBLIC POLICY AND PROGRAM
- 13 RESPONSES TO POVERTY AND THE DIFFERENTIAL ROLE OF SOCIAL
- 14 WELFARE POLICIES AND PROGRAMS IN FIRST AND THIRD WORLD
- 15 COUNTRIES. DR. SCHNEIDERMAN PROVIDED GUIDANCE AND LEADERSHIP
- 16 ON VARIOUS COMMITTEES, INCLUDING THE CALWORKS SANCTIONED
- 17 ACTION PLAN PARTNERS WORKGROUP, THE C.I.M.H. IMPLICATIONS
- 18 WORKGROUP, COMMITTEE ON REVIEW AND EVALUATION OF CALWORKS AND
- 19 THE BLUE RIBBON TASK FORCE ON HOMELESSNESS. HE'S SUCCESSFULLY
- 20 ADVOCATED FOR RESEARCH AND IMPROVEMENT IN THE AREAS OF
- 21 SANCTIONS AND SUPPORTIVE SERVICES. HIS EXEMPLARY LEADERSHIP
- 22 HAS MADE A LASTING CONTRIBUTION TO IMPROVING THE LIVES OF
- 23 DISADVANTAGED FAMILIES. HIS PERSISTENCE, INCISIVE ANALYTICAL
- 24 SKILLS, KNOWLEDGE AND EXPERIENCE HAS INFLUENCED NUMEROUS
- 25 D.P.S.S. PROGRAMS INCLUDING SANCTIONS, EMPLOYMENT, SUPPORTIVE



- 1 SERVICES AND FRAUD. HE HAS BEEN MY COMMISSIONER ON THE
- 2 D.P.S.S. COMMISSION I THINK SINCE I TOOK OFFICE HERE IN 1994.
- 3 SO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WANTED TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY,
- 4 LEONARD, TO HONOR YOU AND TO COMMEND YOU FOR YOUR OUTSTANDING
- 5 AND DEDICATED SERVICE TO THE COUNTY, THE FAMILIES THAT THE
- 6 COUNTY SERVES. I WOULD ADD ONE OTHER THING IS HE'S AN AVID
- 7 U.C.L.A. BASKETBALL FAN AND I KNOW WHAT A SACRIFICE THIS IS
- 8 FOR YOU TO COME HERE DURING SWEET 16 WEEK BECAUSE I KNOW
- 9 YOU'RE WORKING OUT AND YOU'RE PREPARING AND SCOUTING THE
- 10 OPPOSITION. HE AND I SIT NOT FAR FROM EACH OTHER AT THE BALL
- 11 GAMES AND IT'S ANOTHER THING WE HAVE IN COMMON. LEONARD, YOU
- 12 HAVE BEEN A GREAT ASSET TO THE COUNTY, TO THE PEOPLE OF THE
- 13 COUNTY BUT ESPECIALLY TO ME AND MY OFFICE AND MY STAFF AND I
- 14 WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTNERSHIP AND FOR YOUR
- 15 FRIENDSHIP. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE]

- 17 DR. LEONARD SCHNEIDERMAN: WELL, I WANT TO THANK THE BOARD FOR
- 18 THIS CITATION, RECOGNITION. I PARTICULARLY WANT TO THANK ZEV
- 19 FOR HIS CONFIDENCE IN APPOINTING ME AS HIS REPRESENTATIVE ON
- 20 THE COMMISSION. I WANT TO THANK ALL OF MY COLLEAGUES HERE.
- 21 CHAIRMAN DEBALAG, WHOSE LEADERSHIP TO THE COMMISSION HAS BEEN
- 22 OUTSTANDING AND WHO HAS BEEN VERY SUPPORTIVE IN ALL OF THE
- 23 ACTIVITIES WE'VE BEEN INVOLVED IN, ALL OF MY COWORKERS, IN
- 24 BEHALF OF BETTER SOCIAL SERVICES FOR THE CITIZENS OF LOS
- 25 ANGELES COUNTY. LET ME JUST SAY TWO THINGS THAT I PARTICULARLY



- 1 WANT TO CALL ATTENTION TO. ONE IS THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 2 SOCIAL SERVICES IS TRULY AN EXTRAORDINARY COUNTY DEPARTMENT.
- 3 WHEN ONE CONSIDERS THAT ALMOST 3 MILLION PEOPLE PER YEAR ARE
- 4 REPRESENTED IN THE CLIENTELE OF THAT ONE DEPARTMENT, 30% OF
- 5 THE POPULATION OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY, ONE CAN HARDLY
- 6 OVERESTIMATE THE IMPACT OF THAT DEPARTMENT. THE SECOND POINT I
- 7 WANT TO MAKE IS THAT THOSE THREE MILLION PEOPLE ARE KNOWN TO
- 8 OTHER DEPARTMENTS OF THE COUNTY AS WELL. THERE'S A LOT OF
- 9 INTERDEPARTMENTAL CROSSOVER, A GREAT NEED FOR SERVICE
- 10 INTEGRATION BETWEEN DEPARTMENTS IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THE
- 11 MULTIPLICITY OF NEEDS THAT THESE FAMILIES REPRESENT AND I WANT
- 12 PARTICULARLY TO COMMEND THE BOARD ON THE ACTION IT'S TAKEN TO
- 13 INTEGRATE THE SERVICE FUNCTIONS OF THE COUNTY WITHIN THE
- 14 C.A.O.'S OFFICE WITH A HOPE THAT THIS WILL REPRESENT A GIANT
- 15 STEP FORWARD, NOT ONLY IN ADMINISTRATIVE INTEGRATION BUT
- 16 SERVICE INTEGRATION SO THAT WE CAN DEVELOP INTERDEPARTMENTAL
- 17 APPROACHES TO THE NEEDS OF FAMILIES WHOSE NEEDS PUT THEM IN
- 18 TOUCH EVERYWHERE BUT THEY MAY EFFECTIVELY BE SERVED NOWHERE
- 19 BECAUSE NO ONE AUTHORITY HAS THE AUTHORITY AND THE ABILITY TO
- 20 RESPOND TO THEIR NEEDFULNESS. SERVICE INTEGRATION IS BADLY
- 21 NEEDED. I COMMEND THE BOARD FOR ITS ADMINISTRATIVE INTEGRATION
- 22 AND LOOK FORWARD TO THIS AS A GIANT STEP FORWARD IN
- 23 INTEGRATING SERVICES TO THE MOST VULNERABLE AND NEEDY FAMILIES
- 24 IN THIS COMMUNITY. SO THANK YOU, CHAIR. [APPLAUSE]



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. I'D LIKE TO ASK LYNDA
- 2 CASTRO TO COME FORWARD. THERE SHE IS. COMMANDER LYNDA CASTRO
- 3 CURRENTLY SERVES WITH DISTINCTION AS A COMMANDER IN THE LOS
- 4 ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, A POSITION SHE HAS HELD
- 5 SINCE JULY 2003. SHE PREVIOUSLY SERVED IN A WIDE VARIETY OF
- 6 ASSIGNMENTS SINCE JOINING THE DEPARTMENT IN 1977, INCLUDING
- 7 SERVICE IN THE SYBIL BRAND INSTITUTE, THE SHERIFF'S
- 8 INFORMATION, TRANSIT SERVICES AND RECRUIT TRAINING BUREAUS,
- 9 PITCHESS DETENTION CENTER, SOUTH FACILITY AND IN SEVERAL
- 10 STATIONS INCLUDING WEST HOLLYWOOD, WHERE I GOT TO KNOW HER.
- 11 THROUGHOUT HER CAREER, SHE HAS DEMONSTRATED A SPECIAL APTITUDE
- 12 FOR COMBINING HER COMMITMENT TO PROTECTING PUBLIC SAFETY WITH
- 13 AN ABIDING CONCERN FOR THE HUMAN RELATIONS ASPECTS OF THE LAW
- 14 ENFORCEMENT MISSION, A UNIQUE APPROACH THAT HAS BROUGHT HER
- 15 WELL EARNED RECOGNITION FOR HER EFFORTS. SHE'S RECEIVED
- 16 SPECIAL HONORS BY THE ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE OF NARBERTH IN
- 17 THE PACIFIC SOUTHWEST REGION, WHICH CONFERRED UPON HER A
- 18 COVETED HELENE AND JOSEPH SHERWOOD PRIZE FOR COMBATING HATE,
- 19 AN AWARD RECOGNIZING LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL WHO MAKE A
- 20 SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE IN THEIR COMMUNITIES AND SERVE AS ROLE
- 21 MODELS FOR THEIR DEPARTMENTS, GOING BEYOND THE CALL OF DUTY IN
- 22 THEIR EFFORTS TO PROTECT THE COMMUNITY. SHE RESIDES IN HERMOSA
- 23 BEACH WITH HER HUSBAND, RICHARD, ALSO A COMMANDER IN THE LOS
- 24 ANGELES SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND SHARES WITH HIM ENORMOUS
- 25 PRIDE IN A FAMILY THAT, BETWEEN THEM, NUMBERS FIVE GROWN



- 1 CHILDREN AND FOUR GRANDSONS. THEY TAKE GREAT PLEASURE IN
- 2 LEISURE ACTIVITIES, SUCH AS RUNNING, READING AND TRAVELING.
- 3 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES EXTENDS
- 4 SINCERE CONGRATULATIONS FOR THIS AWARD THAT YOU HAVE RECEIVED,
- 5 THE PRESTIGIOUS SHERWOOD AWARD, WITH BEST WISHES FOR CONTINUED
- 6 SUCCESS IN ALL YOUR FUTURE ENDEAVORS. LYNDA, YOU ARE A CLASS
- 7 ACT AND REALLY I CAN'T THINK OF ANYONE MORE DESERVING OF THIS
- 8 AWARD THAN YOU ARE. WE'VE ENJOYED WORKING WITH YOU, THE
- 9 PARTNERSHIP WE'VE HAD WITH YOU WHEN YOU WERE IN WEST HOLLYWOOD
- 10 BUT EVEN YOUR HIGHER-UP POSITIONS NOW, YOU'RE CARRYING ON THE
- 11 SAME LEVEL OF PROFESSIONALISM, PASSION AND HUMANITY THAT YOU
- 12 SHOWED IN WEST HOLLYWOOD, SO I WANT TO PERSONALLY CONGRATULATE
- 13 YOU AND THE BOARD DOES, TOO.

14

- 15 COMMANDER LYNDA CASTRO: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I APPRECIATE IT.
- 16 [APPLAUSE]

- 18 COMMANDER LYNDA CASTRO: I'D JUST LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU VERY
- 19 MUCH NOT ONLY TO THE BOARD. I'VE BEEN ABLE TO SERVE IN ALL
- 20 FIVE DISTRICTS IN MY ASSIGNMENTS AT SEVEN DIFFERENT PATROL
- 21 STATIONS BUT IT'S BEEN AN HONOR TO SERVE ALL THE DIVERSE
- 22 COMMUNITIES AND I LOOK FORWARD TO CONTINUING TO SERVE AS THE
- 23 EQUITY COMMANDER FOR THE DEPARTMENT, PARTICULARLY THANKING MY
- 24 BOSS, UNDER-SHERIFF LARRY WALDY, WHO IS HERE WITH ME TODAY FOR
- 25 THAT ASSIGNMENT. THANK YOU, SIR.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I THINK WE SHOULD GIVE A COMMENDATION TO
- 3 UNDER-SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE, WHO HAS LOST 35 POUNDS.
- 4 CONGRATULATIONS. A GOOD ROLE MODEL FOR THE COUNTY. VERY GOOD.
- 5 CONGRATULATIONS, LARRY.

6

7 SUP. KNABE: HE SAID THAT WITH HIS GLASSES OFF, LARRY.

8

9 UNDER-SHERIFF LARRY WALDIE: I GAVE UP DRINKING AND MEAT.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HEH-HEH. ALL RIGHT. SUPERVISOR
- 12 KNABE.

- 14 SUP. KNABE: I'D LIKE TO CALL NANCY MAHR UP, COUNTY LIBRARIAN,
- 15 MARGARET TODD, CHIEF DEPUTY TOM MCGUIRE AND ASSISTANT DIRECTOR
- 16 OF PUBLIC SERVICES FOR THE LIBRARY, WENDY ROMANOW, TO ASK THEM
- 17 TO JOIN ME UP HERE. ALL RIGHT. YOU CAN BE ON EITHER SIDE.
- 18 YOU'RE THE HONOREE. OBVIOUSLY, WE ARE VERY PLEASED TODAY TO
- 19 HONOR NANCY AND TO-- ON HER RETIREMENT AS WELL AS TO THANK
- 20 HER, FROM THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY. SHE HAS
- 21 SERVED AS OUR PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER FOR MORE THAN 10
- 22 YEARS. WE WERE COMMENTING UPSTAIRS IT'S UNIQUE TO SEE HER ON
- 23 THIS SIDE. USUALLY, SHE HAS A CAMERA IN HER HANDS ON THE OTHER
- 24 SIDE DOING HER THING. SHE'S HANDLED PRESS RELATIONS, LIBRARY
- 25 LEGISLATIVE ACTIVITIES AT BOTH THE STATE AND FEDERAL LEVELS,

22

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 PUBLIC RELATIONS PROGRAMS, SUCH AS OUR BLACK HISTORY MONTH,
- 2 LIVING LEGENDS PROGRAM, OUR OPERA TALES, THE LIBRARY'S
- 3 PARTICIPATION IN THE "LOS ANGELES TIMES" FESTIVAL OF BOOKS AND
- 4 MANY OTHER EVENTS. IN ADDITION TO HER WORK WITH THE COUNTY
- 5 LIBRARY, SHE HAS BEEN AN ACTIVE COMMUNITY VOLUNTEER IN THE
- 6 FOURTH DISTRICT FOR OVER 35 YEARS. IN THE PAST, SHE HAS SERVED
- 7 ON THE BOARDS OF MANY ORGANIZATIONS, INCLUDING THE FRIENDS OF
- 8 THE LIBRARY AND PALOS VERDES, THE PALOS VERDES PENINSULA
- 9 COORDINATING COUNCIL, LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS AND NUMEROUS
- 10 P.T.A.S DOWN THERE. SHE'S CURRENTLY A MEMBER OF THE PENINSULA
- 11 SYMPHONY ASSOCIATION, THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR UNIVERSITY
- 12 WOMEN AND SHE ALSO, IN HER OWN RIGHT, IS ELECTED AND IS VICE-
- 13 PRESIDENT OF THE PALOS VERDES LIBRARY BOARD OF TRUSTEES. SO WE
- 14 WANT TO THANK HER FOR HER MANY CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE CITIZENS
- 15 OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY ON BEHALF OF WHAT SHE'S DONE FOR THE
- 16 LIBRARY AND THE OUTREACH THAT SHE'S EXTENDED TO MAKE READING A
- 17 FUN KIND OF A THING AND ACCESS TO EVERYONE BUT ALSO TO THANK
- 18 HER FOR HER MANY YEARS OF VOLUNTEER SERVICE BUT, AS I SAID
- 19 UPSTAIRS, SHE MAY BE RETIRING FROM THE COUNTY BUT WE KNOW THAT
- 20 WE WILL SEE HER IN MANY OTHER ORGANIZATIONS BECAUSE SHE IS A
- 21 GREAT PUBLIC SERVANT. CONGRATULATIONS, NANCY. [APPLAUSE]
- 23 SUP. BURKE: MR. CHAIR, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO JOIN IN THANKING
- 24 HER. THANK YOU, NANCY, FOR ALL YOUR HARD WORK. I KNOW THAT
- 25 SOME OF OUR EVENTS ARE VERY TRYING AND STRESSFUL BUT WE'VE



- 1 BEEN ABLE TO PUT THEM ON BECAUSE OF YOUR HELP AND, THROUGHOUT
- OUR WHOLE DISTRICT, ALL OF THE THINGS THAT YOU HAVE DONE FOR
- 3 THE LIBRARY IS GOING TO BENEFIT SO MANY PEOPLE OVER SO MANY
- 4 YEARS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR SERVICE. [APPLAUSE]

5

6 SUP. KNABE: I'M GOING TO ASK MARGARET TO SAY A FEW WORDS.

7

- 8 NANCY MAHR: IT'S BEEN A PLEASURE TO WORK FOR THE COUNTY
- 9 LIBRARIAN AND FOR THE COUNTY. I FEEL THAT THE LIBRARY IS SUCH
- 10 A VITAL RESOURCE TO ALL OF OUR COMMUNITIES AND OUR 84
- 11 LIBRARIES DO WONDERFUL SERVICE, PROVIDING THE ACCESS TO
- 12 INFORMATION AND OPENING THE DOOR FOR READING FOR OUR CHILDREN,
- 13 SO-- AND I APPRECIATE ESPECIALLY THE WONDERFUL STAFF OF THE
- 14 PUBLIC LIBRARY, INCLUDING THE LADIES WHO ARE HERE TODAY AS THE
- 15 LIBRARY MANAGEMENT. THEY DO A GREAT JOB AND HAVE A VERY
- 16 INNOVATIVE DEPARTMENT. THANK YOU SO MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

17

- 18 MARGARET TODD: I WANT TO THANK NANCY FOR ALL SHE DOES. AND SHE
- 19 KNOWS WE ARE GOING TO MISS HER. THERE'S NO WAY WE'RE GOING TO
- 20 TRULY REPLACE HERE. ALTHOUGH I'M LOSING HER, I KNOW THE
- 21 LIBRARY IS NOT. SHE'LL BE ON THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES FOR THE
- 22 PALOS VERDES DISTRICT AND I KNOW, SUPERVISOR, SHE'LL REMAIN
- 23 ACTIVE IN PALOS VERDES, SO YOU WON'T BE LOSING HER.



- 1 SUP. KNABE: I KNOW. I KNOW. WE'RE GOING TO ASK OUR DIRECTOR OF
- 2 COMMUNITY AND SENIOR SERVICES, CYNTHIA BANKS, TO JOIN ME AS WE
- 3 RECOGNIZE OUR MEDIATION WEEK HONOREES. THROUGHOUT-- THIS WEEK
- 4 IS MEDIATION WEEK HERE THROUGHOUT LOS ANGELES COUNTY. FOR 19
- 5 YEARS NOW, WE HAVE FUNDED A LOCAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAM.
- 6 THESE PROGRAMS, WHICH UTILIZE SPECIALLY TRAINED VOLUNTEERS,
- 7 ARE A VERY VALUABLE SERVICE TO THE PUBLIC AND THE COURTS BY
- 8 PROVIDING ALTERNATIVE MEANS FOR SETTLING DISPUTES OUTSIDE THE
- 9 TRADITIONAL JUDGE AND JURY SYSTEM. LAST YEAR, THESE
- 10 ORGANIZATIONS ASSISTED MORE THAN 40,000 RESIDENTS, BUSINESSES
- 11 AND ORGANIZATIONS ACROSS LOS ANGELES COUNTY TO SETTLE THEIR
- 12 DIFFERENCES OUTSIDE OF COURT, AND-- WHICH WE ALL KNOW IS A
- 13 VERY COSTLY AND TIME-CONSUMING OPERATION, SO WE'D LIKE TO
- 14 ACKNOWLEDGE OUR HONOREES WHO WE HONORED UPSTAIRS INDIVIDUALLY.
- 15 MR. MAX LONDON, THE VOLUNTEER OF THE YEAR, AND HE HAS MEDIATED
- 16 OVER I BELIEVE 1,400 CASES AND AN 80% SUCCESS RATE, SO WE WANT
- 17 TO THANK MAX FOR HIS EFFORTS. STEVE GOLDSMITH-- WHERE'S STEVE?
- 18 WAVE YOUR HAND THERE, STEVE. STEVE IS OUR OUTSTANDING STAFF
- 19 PERSON AND ANN IPORACH-- DID I SAY THAT? IPORACH. OH, IT'S A
- 20 LOT EASIER THAN THEY PUT DOWN HERE THEN. IPORACH. AS OUR
- 21 OUTSTANDING YOUNG PERSON. AND SHE WAS-- AS I UNDERSTOOD THE
- 22 STORY UPSTAIRS, WE GOT A COUPLE OF THEM HERE, THEY JUST DID A
- 23 GREAT JOB BEING PART OF THE SYSTEM AND THEN WIND UP DOING THE
- 24 TRAINING ON THE OTHER END TO BECOME VERY SUCCESSFUL. SHE WAS
- 25 ACTUALLY A SPEAKER AT A CONVENTION OF THE DISPUTE RESOLUTIONS



- 1 AND IT'S ONE SUCCESS AFTER ANOTHER. AND MY POINT UPSTAIRS TO
- 2 EVERYONE IS I DON'T THINK THE PEOPLE OF THIS GREAT COUNTY
- 3 UNDERSTAND OR APPRECIATE WHAT IS BEHIND ME HERE, WHAT THEY DO
- 4 EACH AND EVERY DAY AND ON AN ANNUAL BASIS FOR THE CITIZENS AND
- 5 BUSINESSES OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND THE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS
- 6 THEY NOT ONLY SAVE US BUT SAVE THE CITIZENS AS WELL. SO WE
- 7 ALSO WANT TO RECOGNIZE AND ACKNOWLEDGE THE SUPREME COURT AND
- 8 THE CENTINELA YOUTH SERVICES FOR THEIR OUTSTANDING PROGRAMS
- 9 THROUGH THE YEAR AS WELL AS JUDGE BENDIX, WHO TOOK THE TIME
- 10 TODAY TO BE HERE AND TO HONOR THESE IMPORTANT FOLKS. SO I'M
- 11 GOING TO PRESENT THIS TO CYNTHIA, SHE'S GOING TO SAY A FEW
- 12 WORDS. I WANT TO ASK JUDGE BENDIX TO SAY A FEW WORDS. AGAIN, A
- 13 HEARTFELT THANKS FOR THESE FOLKS BEHIND US IN RECOGNITION OF
- 14 MEDIATION WEEK AND ALL THAT THEY DO EACH AND EVERY DAY.

- 16 CYNTHIA BANKS: THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR. EACH YEAR WHEN WE COME
- 17 TO THIS ROOM TO ACCEPT THE SCROLLS FOR THE OUTSTANDING
- 18 PROGRAMS OF THE MEDIATION PROGRAM, I AM REMINDED THAT THIS
- 19 TRULY IS A PROGRAM OF THE COMMUNITY. WITHOUT THE COMMUNITY,
- 20 CONTRACTING AGENCIES AND THE VOLUNTEERS THAT ASSIST IN THIS
- 21 PROGRAM, WE COULD NOT PERFORM THE WORK THAT HAS BEEN DONE TO
- 22 RESOLVE THE CONFLICTS THAT COULD HAVE COME TO COURT AND, IN
- 23 MANY CASES, STRANGLED THE COURT SYSTEM. SO I WANT TO THANK
- 24 EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU THAT ARE HERE TODAY AND THANK THE
- 25 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOR HONORING THIS WEEK.



1

- 2 JUDGE BENDIX: I'LL BE BRIEF. WHEN I WAS THINKING ABOUT WHAT TO
- 3 SAY TODAY, I STARTED LOOKING AT BARTLETS. WHENEVER THEY HAVE
- 4 THE WORD MEDIATION, THE WORD ART IS NEXT TO IT, AND THAT
- 5 INCLUDES A QUOTE FROM THOMAS MANN AND I WAS THINKING ALL OF
- 6 YOU HERE ARE ARTISTS IN WHAT YOU DO. IT'S AN ART AND NOT JUST
- 7 A SCIENCE. AND WE'RE GRATEFUL FOR ALL WHAT YOU DO AND I ALSO
- 8 WANTED TO SAY THAT NOT ONLY ARE WE GRATEFUL TO OUR VOLUNTEERS
- 9 BUT WE'RE ALSO GRATEFUL TO THE BOARD AND TO ESTHER SERRANO AND
- 10 MISS BANKS FOR ALL THE SUPPORT WE GET. WE COULD NOT DO WHAT WE
- 11 DO WITHOUT YOUR YEARLY AND LOVING SUPPORT SO THANK YOU.

12

13 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU ALL VERY MUCH. [APPLAUSE]

14

15 SUP. KNABE: THAT'S IT. I'M THROUGH.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. ANTONOVICH.

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY ONCE AGAIN WHERE
- 20 WE CAN RECOGNIZE OUR YOUNG PEOPLE OF EXCELLENCE WITHIN THE
- 21 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES WHO HAVE DONE A SUPERB JOB ACADEMICALLY
- 22 AND THESE ARE THE GRANADA HILLS CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL ACADEMIC
- 23 DECATHLON TEAM AND THEIR COACH, NICOLAS WEBBER, AND THE
- 24 GRANADA HILLS CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR, BRIAN
- 25 BOWER. THE ACADEMIC DECATHLON TEAM JUST RECENTLY PARTICIPATED



- 1 IN THE 28TH ANNUAL CALIFORNIA ACADEMIC DECATHLON COMPETITION.
- 2 THERE ARE 50 HIGH SCHOOLS ACROSS THE STATE WHO PARTICIPATED IN
- 3 THIS EVENT. GRANADA HILLS CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL SCORED SECOND
- 4 WITH A SCORE OF 50,286 POINTS. THE SECOND POINT FINISH COMES
- 5 ON THE HEELS OF THEIR FIRST PLACE FINISH AT THE L.A. UNIFIED
- 6 SCHOOL DISTRICT'S COMPETITION ACADEMIC DECATHLON COMPETITION.
- 7 THE TEAM HELPED BY ITS STRONG PERFORMANCE ON THE PUBLIC SUPER
- 8 QUIZ PORTION OF THE COMPETITION SCORED 49,775 OVERALL POINTS
- 9 OUT OF A POSSIBLE 60,000 POINTS AND THE TEAM ADDITIONALLY
- 10 RECEIVED OVER 30 MEDALS ACROSS THE CATEGORIES OF LANGUAGE,
- 11 LITERATURE, MUSIC, SOCIAL SCIENCE, ART, MATHEMATICS, ESSAY,
- 12 ECONOMICS, SPEECH AND INTERVIEW. SO NOW THE BOARD HAS
- 13 CONGRATULATED THE GRANADA HILLS CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL ACADEMIC
- 14 DECATHLON ON THEIR ACCOMPLISHMENT AND FURTHER WISHES THEM
- 15 CONTINUED SUCCESS IN THEIR FUTURE ENDEAVORS AS THEY MOVE ON
- 16 FROM THE HIGH SCHOOL OUT INTO THE UNIVERSITIES AND TO THE
- 17 WORLD. SO FIRST LET US GIVE TO THE SCHOOL, THE GRANADA HILLS
- 18 CHARTER. SO BRIAN? [APPLAUSE]

19

21

23

20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LESLIE? [APPLAUSE]

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: JASMINE, WHERE ARE YOU? [APPLAUSE]

- 24 NICOLAS WEBBER: I'D LIKE TO THANK THE BOARD FOR THIS
- 25 RECOGNITION. AT GRANADA, WE'VE BEEN WORKING FOR NINE MONTHS



- 1 TOGETHER, WORKING ON ALL THESE VARIOUS SUBJECTS AND IT'S BEEN
- 2 A MIRACULOUS SEASON. LIKE SUPERVISOR SAID, WE JUST PLACED
- 3 SECOND AT THE STATE, BEING NARROWLY EDGED OUT BY EL CAMINO BY
- 4 ABOUT 10 QUESTIONS OUT OF MORE THAN 2,000, SO IT WAS A VERY,
- 5 VERY CLOSE RACE. IT'S BEEN A GREAT YEAR TO WORK WITH ALL NINE
- 6 OF THESE WONDERFUL STUDENTS THAT I'VE HAD THE PLEASURE OF
- 7 KNOWING FOR ALMOST ALL FOUR YEARS OF THEIR HIGH SCHOOL CAREER
- 8 AND, AS THEY GRADUATE AND GO OUT INTO COLLEGE AND OUT INTO
- 9 LIFE, I KNOW THEY WILL BE OKAY. [APPLAUSE]

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. LET'S DO A GROUP PICTURE. NOW WE HAVE A
- 12 LITTLE 40-POUND, 45-POUND BASSETT WHO IS A YEAR AND A HALF
- 13 OLD. HIS NAME IS FLASH. SO, UNLIKE OUR UNDER-SHERIFF, WALLY,
- 14 WHO LOST 35 POUNDS AND NOW IS CALLED A REAL FLASH, THIS IS
- 15 FLASH, A BASSETT HOUND. YOU PROBABLY SAW HIS COUSIN ON THE
- 16 HUSH PUPPIES BUT THIS IS FLASH. HERE, FLASH, LOOK UP. COME ON.
- 17 SO HE'S LOOKING FOR A HOME. HOW YOU DOING? HOW ARE YOU? OKAY.
- 18 YOU CAN CALL THE NUMBER AT THE BOTTOM OF YOUR SCREEN, (562)
- 19 728-4644. I THINK HE'D LIKE TO GO TO THOSE GAMBLING CASINOS IN
- 20 TAHOE WITH DAVID JANSSEN. THAT IS AN ARMFUL.

21

22 SUP. BURKE: HOW OLD IS HE?



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ONE AND A HALF. 18 MONTHS OLD. SHEDS A LITTLE
- 2 BIT. AS I SAID, HIS COUSIN WAS FAMOUS FOR THE HUSH PUPPY DOG
- 3 SHOES, HUMAN SHOES. THAT'S IT. THANK YOU.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD, BEFORE
- 8 WE GET STARTED WITH A-3, A COUPLE REQUESTS WERE MADE BY COUNTY
- 9 COUNSEL. ON ITEM CS-4, COUNTY COUNSEL IS REQUESTING TO
- 10 CONTINUE THIS ITEM ONE WEEK TO MARCH 27TH, 2007. OR TWO WEEKS,
- 11 EXCUSE ME, TWO WEEKS.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HANG ON A SECOND. OKAY. TWO WEEKS.
- 14 WITHOUT OBJECTION, THAT WILL BE THE ORDER, TWO WEEKS ON CS-4.

15

- 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: AND, ON ITEM NUMBER 12, SUPERVISOR MOLINA
- 17 IS RELEASING HER HOLD ON THIS ITEM. HOWEVER, COUNTY COUNSEL IS
- 18 REQUESTING A ONE-WEEK CONTINUANCE TO MARCH 27TH.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HEH. OKAY. SO IT WILL BE CONTINUED
- 21 ONE WEEK AFTER ALL. OKAY.

22

23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: YES. THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO OBJECTION. THAT WILL BE THE
- 2 ORDER. ALL RIGHT. DR. CHERNOF. THIS IS ON A-3. YOU HAVE AN
- 3 UPDATE AND WE MAY HAVE SOME QUESTIONS FOR YOU, TOO.

- 5 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: GOOD MORNING, CHAIR, SUPERVISORS. LET ME
- 6 GIVE YOU A BRIEF UPDATE. WE'VE PROVIDED YOU WITH OUR REGULAR
- 7 MONTHLY REPORT ON THE STATUS OF THE METROCARE CHANGES. THE KEY
- 8 POINTS HERE ARE THAT SERVICE CHANGES 1 THROUGH 4 ARE COMPLETE,
- 9 DONE ON TIME AND CONSISTENT WITH TARGET. SERVICE CHANGE 5 IS
- 10 IN PROGRESS. THE KEY POINTS I THINK FOR ALL OF YOU ARE THAT WE
- 11 ARE AT THE 49 BED FOOTPRINT THAT WE PROMISED E.M.S. WE WOULD
- 12 BE AT ON MARCH 1ST. I'M VERY PLEASED TO SHARE WITH ALL OF YOU
- 13 WE'VE ADDED DOWNEY REGIONAL MEDICAL CENTER AS ONE OF THE
- 14 PRIVATE FACILITIES, IT'S AVAILABLE TO US ON AN AS-NEEDED ONLY
- 15 BASIS. FOR TRANSFERS, THEY BRING US BOTH INPATIENT, MED/SURG
- 16 AND ICU CAPACITY, WHICH IS VERY HELPFUL. AS PART OF THE FINAL
- 17 PHYSICIAN SERVICE MITIGATIONS, WE'VE MADE A DECISION FOR THE
- 18 TIME BEING TO CONTINUE LABORATORY, RADIOLOGY AND
- 19 ANESTHESIOLOGY SERVICES WITH CURRENT PHYSICIANS. WE HAVE
- 20 APPROPRIATE STAFFING AND THE EVALUATION WAS DONE THAT
- 21 SUGGESTED THIS WAS THE BEST WAY TO PROVIDE THESE SERVICES IN
- 22 THE TRANSITION. OUR OTHER SERVICE METRICS REMAIN STABLE AND WE
- 23 CONTINUE TO PURSUE WITH C.M.S. THE ABSOLUTE NEED FOR AN
- 24 EXTENSION OF THE C.M.S. CONTRACT, WHICH IS DUE TO TERMINATE ON
- 25 THE 31ST OF THIS MONTH, AN EXTENSION THROUGH AUGUST 15TH TO



- 1 COMPLETE THE TWO SURVEYS THAT THEY REQUIRED IN THEIR FINAL
- 2 LETTER TO US.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. DR. CHERNOF, ONE THING THAT
- 5 HAS NOT BEEN DISCUSSED AT ANY LENGTH THAT I'M AWARE OF
- 6 PUBLICLY IS THE IMPACT OF A LOSS OF A CONTRACT WITH C.M.S. ON
- 7 THE RESIDENCY PROGRAM AND I WANT TO GET INTO THAT FOR A
- 8 SECOND, BUT, RIGHT NOW, IT IS NOW MARCH THE 20TH. 11 DAYS FROM
- 9 TODAY, IN THE ABSENCE OF ANY ACTION BY THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT
- 10 TO EXTEND THE CONTRACT, THIS CONTRACT WILL EXPIRE. IS THAT
- 11 CORRECT?

12

13 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S CORRECT.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE IMPLICATIONS OF THE CONTRACT
- 16 EXPIRING FINANCIALLY ARE WHAT?

17

- 18 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: BEST-CASE SCENARIO FOR THE FOUR-MONTH
- 19 PERIOD, FROM APRIL-- FROM APRIL 1ST THROUGH AUGUST 15TH, SO
- 20 THE PERIOD OF TIME THAT WE'RE REQUESTING THE EXTENSION, BEST-
- 21 CASE SCENARIO IS \$60 MILLION.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IT WOULD COST US \$60 MILLION...

24

25 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CORRECT, SUPERVISOR.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ...IF WE WANTED TO SUSTAIN THE
- 3 OPERATION THROUGH THAT DATE, THROUGH THE SUMMER?

4

5 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S CORRECT. THAT'S CORRECT, SUPERVISOR.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHICH IS AN ISSUE THAT IS FAR FROM
- 8 BEING RESOLVED IN OUR OWN MINDS RIGHT NOW, IF THERE IS NO
- 9 EXTENSION. I ASSUME THAT'S ANOTHER DISCUSSION, WHICH WE WILL
- 10 HAVE ONCE WE KNOW WHAT THE FEDS DO. BUT I WANTED TO ZERO IN ON
- 11 THE RESIDENCY ISSUE. AS I UNDERSTAND IT, IF THE CONTRACT
- 12 EXPIRES ON MARCH 31ST, ASIDE FROM THE FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS,
- 13 THERE ARE 250 RESIDENCY TRAINING SLOTS THAT ARE ASSIGNED TO
- 14 THAT HOSPITAL THAT WOULD BE LOST FOREVER, IS THAT CORRECT?

15

16 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT IS ABSOLUTELY CORRECT.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND WHAT DOES THAT MEAN TO A
- 19 LAYMAN LIKE ME AND ANYBODY ELSE WHO IS LISTENING? WHAT
- 20 DIFFERENCE SHOULD IT MAKE TO ANYBODY WHETHER THERE'S ANOTHER
- 21 250 SLOTS OR THE ELIMINATION OF 250 RESIDENCY SLOTS?

- 23 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CHAIR, SUPERVISORS, TO MY MIND AS A
- 24 PHYSICIAN AND AS THE DIRECTOR OF THIS DEPARTMENT, THIS IS A
- 25 FUNDAMENTAL AND CRITICAL ISSUE FOR THE HEALTHCARE OF LOS



- 1 ANGELES. WE HAVE SHORTAGES OF VARIOUS SUBSPECIALISTS, WE HAVE
- 2 SHORTAGES OF PRIMARY CARE PROVIDERS, THE ABILITY TO TRAIN
- 3 PHYSICIANS LOCALLY IS FUNDAMENTAL, FUNDAMENTAL TO SOLVING
- 4 THOSE PHYSICIAN STAFFING PROBLEMS. WHAT'S MORE IS LOS ANGELES
- 5 COUNTY IS ONE OF THE LARGEST PROVIDERS OF MEDICAL EDUCATION
- 6 RESIDENCY TRAINING FOR PHYSICIANS. WE TRAIN ALMOST 50% OF ALL
- 7 THE RESIDENTS IN OUR HOSPITALS FOR THE ENTIRE COUNTY, EVEN
- 8 THOUGH THERE ARE 22 OTHER HOSPITALS IN THIS COUNTY, PRIVATE
- 9 FACILITIES OR PUBLIC V.A. OR U.C. FACILITIES THAT ALSO OFFER
- 10 TRAINING. SO THESE SLOTS, ONCE THEY DISAPPEAR, DISAPPEAR
- 11 FOREVER. WE HAVE NO WAY OF GETTING THEM BACK. C.M.S. HAS NO
- 12 AUTHORITY TO RETURN THEM TO THIS FACILITY WITH A NEW CONTRACT
- 13 OR ANY OTHER OF OUR HOSPITALS OR ANY OTHER HOSPITAL THAT
- 14 OFFERS RESIDENCY TRAINING IN THIS COUNTY, SO IT'S A TERRIBLE
- 15 LOSS TO THE ENTIRE DELIVERY SYSTEM...

16

17 SUP. KNABE: SO THESE WOULD BE LOST FOREVER?

18

19 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT IS CORRECT.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND SO IT'S A TERRIBLE LOSS TO?

- 23 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THE ENTIRE DELIVERY SYSTEM IN LOS ANGELES
- 24 COUNTY, BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE. SO, FOR EVERY RESIDENT OF LOS
- 25 ANGELES COUNTY, THIS IS A LOSS, AND IT'S PARTICULARLY A LOSS



- 1 FOR THE SOUTH LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY BECAUSE THE ABILITY TO PUT
- 2 RESIDENCY TRAINING BACK WHEN WE HAVE A STABLE C.M.S. CERTIFIED
- 3 J.C.A.H.O. ACCREDITED HOSPITAL, THAT IS SOMETHING THAT YOUR
- 4 BOARD HAS CHOSEN TO PRESERVE. YOU HAVE DIRECTED ME AND ASKED
- 5 ME TO TRY TO PRESERVE THAT. I HAVE DONE THAT AND I THINK IT'S
- 6 VERY IMPORTANT THAT C.M.S. UNDERSTANDS HOW CRITICAL THE
- 7 ABILITY TO POTENTIALLY COME BACK TO THAT ISSUE IS IN THE
- 8 FUTURE WHEN WE HAVE A SUCCESSFUL HOSPITAL.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO IF THE CONTRACT EXPIRES AND WE
- 11 LOSE THESE SLOTS, AS I HEAR WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, TWO THINGS--
- 12 AT LEAST TWO THINGS THAT WE NEED TO BE FOCUSED ON. ONE IS THAT
- 13 WE WILL TRAIN, WHAT, A HUNDRED FEWER DOCTORS EVERY YEAR, GIVEN
- 14 250 SLOTS IN THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY AREA, IS THAT CORRECT?
- 15 ROUGHLY.

16

- 17 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S CORRECT. THE AVERAGE RESIDENT
- 18 PROGRAM IS ABOUT ABOUT THREE YEARS LONG, SOME ARE A LITTLE
- 19 LONGER, SO, YOU KNOW, YOU TURN MAYBE A THIRD OF THOSE A YEAR
- 20 SO, YEAH.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO 90 TO A HUNDRED DOCS THAT WILL
- 23 NOT BE TRAINED IN THIS COMMUNITY

24

25 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: ABSOLUTELY. AND NEVER WILL BE.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND NEVER WILL BE. AND DID I HEAR
- 3 YOU SAY THAT WE HAVE A SURPLUS OR A SHORTAGE OF DOCTORS?

4

- 5 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THIS COUNTY HAS A SHORTAGE OF DOCTORS,
- 6 PERIOD, PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NOT JUST-- YEAH, IT'S PUBLIC AND
- 9 PRIVATE SECTOR, NOT JUST OUR COUNTY SYSTEM.

10

11 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CORRECT.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THE SECOND THING I HEAR YOU
- 14 SAY IS THAT EVERYTHING THAT METROCARE, WHICH IS THE
- 15 RESTRUCTURING OF HEALTHCARE DELIVERY IN THE SOUTH LOS ANGELES
- 16 COUNTY AREA, DESIGNED TO SAVE THE KING HOSPITAL UNDER THE
- 17 UMBRELLA OF HARBOR, THE KING HARBOR HOSPITAL, THAT THE ENTIRE
- 18 DIRECTION WAS TO MAINTAIN IT AS A FACILITY ONCE WE GET IT BACK
- 19 ON ITS FEET, TURNED AROUND, THAT WOULD BE ABLE TO TRAIN
- 20 DOCTORS, IS THAT CORRECT? THROUGH RESIDENCIES?

- 22 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WE HAVE TRIED TO RETAIN FOR YOUR BOARD
- 23 EVERY PIECE OF FLEXIBILITY TO BUILD BACK THAT HOSPITAL WHEN
- 24 IT'S SUCCESSFUL AND RETAINING THE RESIDENCY TRAINING
- 25 OPPORTUNITY IS ONE THAT WE'VE TRIED TO PRESERVE, YES.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO, IF WE LOSE THESE RESIDENCY
- 3 SLOTS, THAT OPTION IS OFF THE TABLE FOREVER...

4

5 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: PERMANENTLY.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: PERMANENTLY. AND IT WILL
- 8 PERMANENTLY CHANGE OR PERMANENTLY SET US ON A SPECIFIC COURSE
- 9 AS FAR AS WHAT KIND OF A HOSPITAL WE WILL HAVE AT KING HARBOR
- 10 FOR ALL TIME?

11

12 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: PERMANENTLY, THAT'S CORRECT.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: PERMANENTLY. AND THE NATURE OF THE
- 15 HOSPITAL WILL BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIMINISHED. THE SCOPE OF THE
- 16 HOSPITAL, THE REACH OF THE HOSPITAL, THIS IS A QUESTION, WOULD
- 17 BE SIGNIFICANTLY DIMINISHED IF WE LOST THESE RESIDENCY SLOTS?

18

19 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: ABSOLUTELY.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. I THINK THIS IS SOMETHING
- 22 THAT-- I KNOW THAT OUR ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS AROUND TOWN ARE
- 23 CONCERNED ABOUT BECAUSE WE'RE ALL IN THIS TOGETHER. I'VE
- 24 TALKED TO THE DEANS AT BOTH U.S.C. AND U.C.L.A. ABOUT THIS
- 25 JUST IN THE LAST FEW DAYS AND I KNOW THERE'S A CONCERN. THEY

12

14

16

18

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 SHARE YOUR CONCERN AND I THINK THERE'S A CONCERN-- I KNOW
- 2 THERE IS IN SACRAMENTO, OUR STATE PARTNERS, AND I THINK
- 3 THERE'S EVEN AN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT IN WASHINGTON THAT THIS IS ONE
- 4 THING THAT WOULD BE-- I DON'T WANT TO SAY CATASTROPHIC BUT IT
- 5 WOULD BE A VERY SERIOUS LOSS TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES--
- 6 NOT THE COUNTY GOVERNMENT BUT TO THE COUNTY HEALTHCARE
- 7 DELIVERY SYSTEM AS A WHOLE, PRIVATE AND PUBLIC, AND IT SEEMS
- 8 TO ME THAT, ASIDE FROM ALL THE OTHER CONSIDERATIONS, MONEY,
- 9 EXTENSION, NO EXTENSION, ALL THAT, THE EXTENSION-- WE'VE
- 10 ALREADY HAD ONE EXTENSION, HAVE WE NOT? WE'RE NOW IN AN
- 11 EXTENSION THAT WAS GRANTED WHEN, IN THE SUMMER, LATE SUMMER?
- 13 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: EARLY FALL, THAT'S CORRECT, SUPERVISOR.
- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: EARLY FALL. WHEN WAS IT? OCTOBER?
- 17 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WE ACTUALLY RECEIVED IT IN NOVEMBER.
- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NOVEMBER. AND WE KNEW AND WE ALL
- 20 KNEW THAT IT WOULD TAKE US LONGER THAN MARCH 31ST BUT IT WAS
- 21 THE BEST WE COULD DO AT THE TIME WAS TO GET AN EXTENSION TO
- 22 MARCH 31ST AND YOU'VE MADE A LOT OF PROGRESS FROM EVERYTHING
- 23 THAT WE'VE OBSERVED AND EVEN SOME OF OUR PARTNERS IN
- 24 SACRAMENTO AND C.M.S. HAVE OBSERVED. I THINK IT'S BEEN A



- 1 LARGELY POSITIVE EXPERIENCE, A POSITIVE OBSERVATION FROM THEIR
- 2 POINT OF VIEW, IS THAT RIGHT?

3

- 4 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: SUPERVISOR, MY OUTSTANDING STAFF AT HARBOR-
- 5 U.C.L.A. MEDICAL CENTER AND THE LEADERSHIP AT KING/DREW
- 6 MEDICAL CENTER HAVE FRANKLY DONE MIRACLES WITH THE HELP OF THE
- 7 C.A.O., COUNTY COUNSEL AND MY DEPARTMENT TO DO THINGS THAT
- 8 THIS COUNTY HAS NEVER BEEN ABLE TO DO IN AN EFFORT TO TURN
- 9 THIS HOSPITAL AROUND. AND C.M.S. AND STATE-- THE D.H.S.
- 10 LEADERSHIP HAVE COME OUT AND SEEN THAT FOR THEMSELVES. SO WE
- 11 HAVE TREMENDOUS SUPPORT AT MANY LEVELS FOR THE WORK WE'VE
- 12 DONE. IT'S FRAGILE. WE HAVE A LOT OF WORK AHEAD OF US BUT
- 13 WE'VE PROVEN THAT WE CAN DELIVER.

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO THE EXTENSION, SEEMS TO ME,
- 16 GIVEN THE PROGRESS THAT HAS BEEN MADE AND THIS WAS THE KIND OF
- 17 CONVERSATION THAT TOOK PLACE PRIVATELY BEFORE AND I'M GOING TO
- 18 SAY-- I'M NOT GOING TO ATTRIBUTE IT TO ANYBODY BECAUSE I DON'T
- 19 WANT TO BREAK A CONFIDENCE BUT I WAS ALWAYS TOLD AND I THINK
- 20 OTHER MEMBERS OF THIS BOARD WERE TOLD BY APPROPRIATE PEOPLE
- 21 THAT, AS LONG AS WE WERE MAKING PROGRESS, THESE ARE MY WORDS,
- 22 THAT WE WOULD BE PERMITTED TO COMPLETE THE PROGRESS; THAT, YOU
- 23 KNOW, IF WE NEEDED MORE TIME, ASK FOR MORE TIME. IF WE WEREN'T
- 24 MAKING PROGRESS, IF THE THING WAS BLOWING UP, THEN THAT'S
- 25 ANOTHER STORY. BUT IT HASN'T BEEN BLOWING UP, WE'VE BEEN



- 1 MAKING PROGRESS AND IT APPEARS TO ME, AND I THINK IT APPEARS
- 2 TO YOU, WHICH IS A LOT MORE IMPORTANT, THAT WE HAVE-- WE HAVE
- 3 A REAL GOOD SHOT AT TURNING THIS AROUND BY THE SUMMERTIME AND
- 4 IT SEEMS TO ME THAT TO RISK 250-- NOT RISK, BUT TO SINK 250
- 5 RESIDENCY SLOTS OVER 4 OR 4-1/2 MONTHS, WHATEVER IT IS BETWEEN
- 6 NOW AND AUGUST 15TH OF AN EXTENSION, IS LUNACY. IT'S JUST-- I
- 7 THINK IT'S A FOOLISH STRATEGY, AT THE END OF THE DAY. AND,
- 8 EVEN IF THE FEDS WERE-- DID NOT WANT TO PAY ANY MORE, WHICH I
- 9 CAN'T IMAGINE-- YOU KNOW, FOR US, \$60 MILLION IS A TON OF
- 10 MONEY. I DON'T WANT TO SAY IT'S NOT A TON OF MONEY FOR THE
- 11 FEDS BUT, IN THE TRILLION DOLLAR BUDGET, I THINK THEY HAVE
- 12 MORE ABSORPTION CAPACITY THAN WE DO, ESPECIALLY SINCE THEY
- 13 KNOW WE'RE MAKING PROGRESS. SO WHY THEY WOULD RISK THE 250
- 14 SLOTS FROM THEIR POINT OF VIEW OVER \$60 MILLION IS BEYOND ME
- 15 AND I HOPE THAT THEY WILL TAKE A REASONABLE APPROACH TO THIS
- 16 AND-- BECAUSE THAT'S THE ONE SURE THING THAT WILL HAPPEN. WE
- 17 DON'T KNOW, IF WE GET CUT OFF, WHETHER WE'LL PASS THE
- 18 INSPECTION OR WE DON'T PASS THE INSPECTION. WE DON'T KNOW, YOU
- 19 KNOW, HOW LONG IT WILL TAKE US TO PASS THE INSPECTION. WE
- 20 DON'T KNOW WHETHER WE'LL CLOSE THE HOSPITAL BEFORE WE EVEN TRY
- 21 BECAUSE OF THE UNCERTAINTIES. BUT WHAT WE DO KNOW FOR SURE IS
- 22 THAT WE WILL LOSE 250 RESIDENCY SLOTS AND THAT'S SOMETHING
- 23 THAT AFFECTS ALL OF THE MEDICAL DELIVERY SYSTEM OF LOS ANGELES
- 24 COUNTY WITH OR WITHOUT A KING HARBOR SITUATION BECAUSE IT'S
- 25 250 SLOTS THAT WE NOW HAVE THAT ARE SERVING THE NEEDS OF THIS



- 1 COMMUNITY THAT WILL NO LONGER BE ABLE TO SERVE THOSE NEEDS,
- 2 AND I THINK THAT PERMANENT LOSS IS SOMETHING THAT I THINK IS
- 3 CRITICAL FOR OUR PARTNERS IN WASHINGTON AND SACRAMENTO TO
- 4 UNDERSTAND AND REAFFIRM AND ACT ACCORDINGLY. IT JUST, TO ME,
- 5 IT'S A NO-BRAINER. ANYWAY, THANK YOU FOR THAT. ANYTHING ELSE
- 6 YOU WANT TO SAY? AND THEN I WANT TO TURN IT OVER TO MY
- 7 COLLEAGUES.

8

- 9 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: I JUST WANTED TO EMPHASIZE WHAT YOU SAID
- 10 BECAUSE, TO MY MIND, IT'S THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT THING,
- 11 WHICH IS THE DECISION ABOUT THESE 250 RESIDENCY SLOTS IS
- 12 SINGULARLY AND UNILATERALLY IN THE HANDS OF HANDS OF C.M.S.
- 13 AND IT IS TIED TO THE CURRENT CONTRACT. SHOULD THE CONTRACT
- 14 EXPIRE, EVEN FOR A DAY, THOSE SLOTS ARE GONE. C.M.S. HAS NO
- 15 REGULATORY AUTHORITY TO PUT THEM BACK. SO KEEPING THIS
- 16 CONTRACT AND LETTING US FINISH OUR WORK IS EXTREMELY
- 17 IMPORTANT.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THEY KNOW THIS. THEY KNOW THAT
- 20 THEY HAVE-- WHAT THE CONSEQUENCES ARE OF NOT EXTENDING THE
- 21 CONTRACT?

22

23 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: I BELIEVE SO.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE STATE KNOWS IT, WE KNOW IT.



1

- 2 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THE STATE HAS BEEN SO SUPPORTIVE OF YOUR
- 3 BOARD AND THIS WORK, SO I'M CONVINCED THAT THEY KNOW IT.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. MS. BURKE AND THEN MR.
- 6 ANTONOVICH.

- 8 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO EMPHASIZE WHAT
- 9 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY HAS SAID IS VERY KEY TO REALLY THE
- 10 SURVIVAL OF RESIDENCY TRAINING IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND I
- 11 THINK THAT'S THE REASON WHY WE'VE HAD SO MUCH SUPPORT FROM OUR
- 12 CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATION AND ON A BIPARTISAN BASIS. CERTAINLY
- 13 CONGRESSMAN DRIER AS WELL AS OUR DEMOCRATIC CONGRESSIONAL
- 14 DELEGATION UNIFORMLY HAVE BEEN BEHIND US. OUR SENATORS AND OUR
- 15 GOVERNOR HAS FOUGHT VERY HARD TO TRY TO MAINTAIN THIS BECAUSE
- 16 IT'S NOT A LOCAL ISSUE, IT'S A STATE ISSUE BECAUSE MANY OF
- 17 THESE PHYSICIANS WHO ARE TRAINED IN THIS SCHOOL GO THROUGHOUT-
- 18 ARE ASSIGNED THROUGHOUT AND, AT PRESENT, ARE ASSIGNED REALLY
- 19 THROUGHOUT THE NATION, AS I UNDERSTAND IT, COMPLETING SOME OF
- 20 THEIR RESIDENCY TRAINING. SO IT IS NOT JUST A LOCAL ISSUE.
- 21 THIS IS AN ISSUE THAT'S SO IMPORTANT AND PEOPLE SAY, WELL, YOU
- 22 KNOW, YOU CAN ALWAYS GET THEM BACK BUT THIS WAS A PROGRAM
- 23 THAT, FROM WHAT I HAVE READ, IN 1996, THAT PROGRAM TERMINATED.
- 24 THAT PROGRAM DOES NOT EXIST ANY MORE SO THOSE WERE SLOTS THAT
- 25 WERE RECEIVED PRIOR TO THE TIME THAT THE PROGRAM WAS



- 1 TERMINATED AND, IF WE GIVE THEM UP, THERE'S NO MECHANISM TO
- 2 EVEN GET THOSE SLOTS FOR ANYBODY, NOT JUST US, IT'S NOT A
- 3 MATTER THEY ASSIGN THEM TO SOMEONE ELSE IN THE NATION OR THEY
- 4 ASSIGN THEM SOMEWHERE ELSE, THE PROGRAM NO LONGER EXISTS. AS A
- 5 RESULT, THE SLOTS ARE LOST AND THOSE RESIDENCY POTENTIALS ARE
- 6 GONE. SO I, FIRST OF ALL, WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR HARD
- 7 WORK AND ALL THE PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN WORKING SO HARD,
- 8 MARGOLIS, ALL OF OUR PEOPLE IN WASHINGTON AND PARTICULARLY WE
- 9 HAVE TO SAY TO OUR ELECTED OFFICIALS, INCLUDING OUR GOVERNOR,
- 10 WE APPRECIATE ALL THE HARD WORK THAT THEY'RE DOING.

11

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MR. ANTONOVICH.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE TOLD THEN C.M.S. THAT THESE RESIDENCY
- 15 SLOTS BELONG TO THE COUNTY AND NOT TO KING/DREW?

16

17 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S CORRECT.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEY KNOW THAT?

20

21 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S CORRECT.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HAVE THEY BEEN NOTIFIED THAT THE-- LET'S SAY
- 24 THE KING/DREW HOSPITAL IS STILL IN A DOWNSIZED PROCEDURE,
- 25 METHOD, OPERATION, PROGRAM?



DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: YES, SUPERVISOR. 2 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO WE KNOW WE'RE CONTINUING TO DOWNSIZE? 4 5 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THEY UNDERSTAND-- SUPERVISOR, C.M.S. ASKED 6 7 FOR CLARIFICATION A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO FROM ME ABOUT THE 8 STATUS OF THE DOWNSIZING AND COMPLETING AND STABILIZING THE WORK AND I PROVIDED WRITTEN FOLLOW-UP TO THEM, CONFIRMING THAT WE ARE STILL IN THE PROCESS OF COMPLETING AND STABILIZING THE 10 11 DOWNSIZING IN PREPARATION FOR SURVEY. SO, YES, THEY HAVE BEEN

13

12

1

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW MANY BEDS DO WE CURRENTLY HAVE AT THE
- 15 FACILITY?

INFORMED.

16

17 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WE'RE CURRENTLY RUNNING 48, SUPERVISOR.

18

19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO WE'RE AT 48 NOW?

20

21 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: YES.

22

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WE'RE CONTINUING TO DOWNSIZE. WHAT ARE WE
- 24 DOWNSIZING TO?



- 1 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WELL, WE ARE GOING TO STAY AT THE 48 BED
- 2 LEVEL BUT STABILIZING THE STAFFING PLANS, THE CLINICAL
- 3 PROGRAM, REVISING SOME OF THE RELATED URGENT CARE SERVICES.
- 4 THOSE ARE ALL THE ELEMENTS THAT WE ARE STILL COMPLETING AND
- 5 C.M.S. UNDERSTANDS THAT. I'M ON A WEEKLY PHONE CALL WITH THE
- 6 STATE AND C.M.S. JUST TO UPDATE THEM ON THE KINDS OF WORK THAT
- 7 WE'RE DOING AND THEY'RE WELL AWARE OF THIS.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WHAT CONTINGENCY PLANS HAVE YOU DEVELOPED
- 10 IF WE DON'T HAVE THAT EXTENSION?

11

- 12 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THERE ARE A VARIETY OF CONTINGENCY PLANS IN
- 13 FRONT OF US, WHICH YOUR BOARD WILL NEED TO CONSIDER ONCE WE
- 14 GET TO THE POINT IF-- THAT THE EXTENSION...

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT ARE YOU CONSIDERING? WHAT WOULD IT
- 17 INVOLVE?

- 19 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: SUPERVISOR, IF WE WERE REQUESTED, FOR
- 20 EXAMPLE, TO TAKE IT TO DOWN FURTHER, THAT'S ALWAYS AN OPTION.
- 21 I DON'T THINK, AS A PHYSICIAN, THAT THAT'S A CLINICALLY
- 22 REASONABLE OPTION. THERE ARE THE OPTIONS OF TRYING TO FUND
- 23 THIS IN WHOLE OR IN PART USING COUNTY DOLLARS AND, FINALLY,
- 24 YOU KNOW, GIVEN THE LARGE FUNDING COSTS FOR THE BRIEF PERIOD
- 25 OF TIME THAT WE NEED TO COMPLETE READINESS FOR THE SURVEY, YOU



- 1 KNOW, ULTIMATELY, GIVEN MY DEPARTMENT'S BUDGET DEFICIT, THE
- 2 QUESTION IS, DOES IT MAKE SENSE TO CONTINUE TO RUN THAT
- 3 HOSPITAL IN THAT CIRCUMSTANCES? SO ALL OF THOSE ISSUES ALL ON
- 4 THE TABLE.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: COUNTY DOLLARS OUGHT TO BE AN AUTOMATIC "NO".
- 7 I MEAN, THOSE COUNTY DOLLARS BELONG IN COUNTY RELATED SERVICES
- 8 NOT RELATED TO HEALTH WHICH THEY WERE INTENDED TO BE FOR,
- 9 PROPERTY-RELATED SERVICES, LIBRARIES AND OTHER VITAL NEEDS,
- 10 BUT THESE 250 SLOTS FOR THE INTERN, HOW MANY OF THOSE ARE
- 11 REQUIRED FOR THE 48 BEDS FACILITY THAT'S AT KING/DREW NOW?

- 13 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CURRENTLY, SUPERVISOR, WE'RE NOT DOING ANY
- 14 RESIDENCY TRAINING AT THE FACILITY AT THE MOMENT AND THE
- 15 REASON IS THAT WE'RE TRYING TO RUN A SIMPLE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL
- 16 THAT DOES A REALLY GOOD JOB, MEETS ALL OF THE STANDARDS THAT A
- 17 HOSPITAL NEEDS TO MEET. ONCE WE DO THAT, THE PLAN, THE
- 18 METROCARE PLAN TALKS ABOUT AGGRESSIVELY BRINGING THE HOSPITAL
- 19 BACK UP TO 120 BEDS BY NOVEMBER AND THEN, YOU KNOW, ONCE WE
- 20 HAVE DONE THAT AND SHOWN THAT WE CAN RETAIN OUR C.M.S.
- 21 ACCREDITATION, SHOWN THAT WE CAN REGAIN J.C.A.H.O.
- 22 ACCREDITATION, THEN I THINK IT'S FAIR GAME TO HAVE A
- 23 DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT SIZE AND SHAPE RESIDENCY PROGRAMS WE
- 24 SHOULD HAVE, AS WELL AS WHAT OTHER SERVICES WE MIGHT WANT TO
- 25 ADD OR CHANGE IN THE INPATIENT FOOTPRINT. SO, SUPERVISOR, WE



- 1 DON'T HAVE A SPECIFIC NUMBER FOR THE 48 BEDS BECAUSE HAVING
- 2 RESIDENCY TRAINING IN 48 BEDS DOESN'T MAKE SENSE. ONCE WE
- 3 REGAIN OUR J.C.A.H.O. ACCREDITATION, WHICH IS PRETTY PIVOTAL
- 4 FOR RUNNING A RESIDENCY TRAINING PROGRAM, THAT'S THE PLACE
- 5 WHERE WE WOULD HAVE THAT DISCUSSION.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT DON'T WE KNOW HOW MANY RESIDENTS ARE
- 8 REQUIRED FOR A PATIENT OR EVERY 10 PATIENTS OR EVERY 50
- 9 PATIENTS OR EVERY HUNDRED PATIENTS? I MEAN, YOU HAVE AN
- 10 UNDERSTANDING HOW MANY RESIDENTS WOULD BE REQUIRED FOR SUCH A
- 11 MEDICAL FACILITY?

12

- 13 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: SUPERVISOR, IT'S DETERMINED TRAINING
- 14 PROGRAM BY TRAINING PROGRAM, SO IT WOULD HAVE TO DO WITH THE
- 15 NUMBER OF, SAY, INTERNAL MEDICINE BEDS WE WERE RUNNING OR
- 16 SURGERY BEDS. SO THERE ARE BOTH ATTENDING STAFFING
- 17 REQUIREMENTS AS WELL AS RESIDENCY STAFFING REQUIREMENTS BUT
- 18 THEY'RE NOT DRIVEN BY THE TOTAL SIZE OF THE HOSPITAL, THEY'RE
- 19 DRIVEN BY THE SIZE OF EACH SPECIFIC AREA.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND HOW LONG WERE YOU LOOKING AT RETAINING
- 22 THE FACILITY AS A 48-BED, 23-HOUR...

- 24 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THE FACILITY'S RUNNING AS A 48-BED HOSPITAL
- 25 WITH A FULL SERVICE BASIC EMERGENCY ROOM. THE GOAL WOULD BE TO



- 1 RUN THAT UNTIL WE'VE PASSED THE TWO SURVEYS AS REQUIRED BY
- 2 C.M.S. IN THEIR LAST FORMAL WRITTEN COMMUNICATION.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IS THAT, LIKE, FIVE YEARS?

5

- 6 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WELL, THAT WOULD BE 'TIL AUGUST 15TH AND WE
- 7 WOULD BE BACK UP-- OUR GOAL IS TO HAVE THE HOSPITAL BACK UP AT
- 8 THE 120 BED LEVEL BY NOVEMBER OF THIS YEAR. AT THAT POINT, WE
- 9 WOULD STABILIZE AT THAT LEVEL. WE WOULD BE PREPARING, AT THAT
- 10 POINT, TO GO THROUGH J.C.A.H.O.. WE NEED TO PASS J.C.A.H.O.
- 11 AND THEN I WOULD NEED TO COME BACK TO YOUR BOARD WITH FURTHER
- 12 RECOMMENDATIONS.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHEN IT'S AT 120 BED, IT WOULD STILL BE A 23-
- 15 HOUR MEDICAL FACILITY?

16

- 17 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: IT'S CURRENTLY RUNNING AS A 24-HOUR A DAY,
- 18 7 DAY A WEEK FACILITY, SUPERVISOR.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT, BUT THOSE PATIENTS THAT NEED TO BE
- 21 THERE LONGER THAN THE 23-HOUR TIMEFRAME, YOU'RE PUTTING THEM
- 22 AT HARBOR OR OTHER...

- 24 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: OUR FIRST CHOICE IS ALWAYS TO PUT A PATIENT
- 25 AT MARTIN LUTHER KING HARBOR HOSPITAL IF AN APPROPRIATE BED IS



- 1 AVAILABLE. FOR THOSE SERVICES WHERE THEY'RE NOT AVAILABLE OR
- 2 WHERE WE ARE FULL AT THE MOMENT, WE ARE USING ONE OF OUR FOUR
- 3 CONTRACT PROVIDER PARTNERS, PRIVATE HOSPITALS, OR HARBOR OR
- 4 RANCHO LOS AMIGOS.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT HOW CAN WE SAY IT'S GOING TO BE A 48-BED
- 7 FACILITY WITH TREATMENT UP TO 23 HOURS AND, BEYOND 23 HOURS,
- 8 YOU'LL PLACE THEM IN ANOTHER MEDICAL CENTER AND STILL
- 9 CONTEMPLATE IT WILL BE 120 BED AND NEED ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL?

10

- 11 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THE GOAL OF PRESERVING THE RESIDENCY
- 12 TRAINING SLOTS IS FOR THE LONG-TERM COMMITMENT TO RESIDENCY
- 13 TRAINING IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. SO, SUPERVISOR, I DON'T
- 14 ENVISION US REGAINING OR RESTARTING RESIDENCY TRAINING
- 15 PROGRAMS ANY TIME THIS YEAR. LET ME BE VERY CLEAR BECAUSE WE
- 16 HAVE TO DO THE FUNDAMENTALS FIRST. WHAT WE'RE DOING IS
- 17 PRESERVING YOUR BOARD'S RIGHT, IN THE NEXT FEW YEARS, ONCE THE
- 18 HOSPITAL HAS PASSED C.M.S. AND J.C.A.H.O., TO RECONSIDER
- 19 HAVING AN ACADEMIC PARTNERSHIP AND REIMPLEMENTING RESIDENCY
- 20 TRAINING.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHEN YOU SAY LOS ANGELES COUNTY, YOU DON'T
- 23 MEAN LOS ANGELES COUNTY PUBLIC AND PRIVATE HOSPITALS, YOU'RE
- 24 TALKING ABOUT LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S PUBLIC HOSPITALS?



- 1 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WELL, SUPERVISOR, I AM ACTUALLY TALKING
- 2 ABOUT THE ENTIRE COUNTY BECAUSE, AT THE END OF THE DAY, THERE
- 3 AREN'T ENOUGH-- THERE AREN'T ENOUGH PHYSICIANS IN A WHOLE
- 4 VARIETY OF AREAS IN LOS ANGELES RIGHT NOW AND HELPING TO TRAIN
- 5 THOSE TYPES OF PHYSICIANS LOCALLY IS PROBABLY THE STRONGEST
- 6 WAY TO RETAIN THOSE DOCTORS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. IT'S HARD
- 7 TO MOVE TO LOS ANGELES BECAUSE THE COST OF LIVING IS SO HIGH
- 8 AND SO, WHILE TECHNICALLY THOSE RESIDENCY TRAINING SLOTS WOULD
- 9 BELONG SPECIFICALLY TO M.L.K. HARBOR HOSPITAL AND THUS
- 10 SPECIFICALLY TO THE COUNTY, THE GOAL OF RETAINING THESE SLOTS
- 11 IS FOR THE BENEFIT OF EVERY PERSON IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY AND
- 12 THE DELIVERY SYSTEM, BOTH PUBLIC AND PRIVATE.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ARE RESIDENTS ABLE TO TRAIN IN PRIVATE
- 15 HOSPITALS?

16

- 17 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THEY CAN TRAIN IN PRIVATE HOSPITALS,
- 18 SUPERVISOR, BUT THE RESIDENCY SLOTS THAT WE HAVE AT M.L.K.
- 19 HARBOR HOSPITAL CANNOT BE PERMANENTLY TRANSFERRED TO ANOTHER
- 20 HOSPITAL.

21

- 22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT. BUT PRIVATE HOSPITALS CAN REQUEST
- 23 RESIDENTS TO TRAIN IN THEIR FACILITIES?



- 1 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: BUT THEY'LL RECEIVE NO FEDERAL FUNDING
- 2 UNLESS...

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, BUT THEY HAVE THAT ABILITY?

5

6 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THEY DO.

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEY'RE NOT BEING DENIED THAT OPPORTUNITY?

9

10 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: NO, THAT'S CORRECT, SUPERVISOR.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT. THAT'S WHAT I'M SAYING. THE ONLY
- 13 QUESTION IS, FEDERAL FUNDS-- THERE ARE FEDERAL FUNDS AVAILABLE
- 14 FOR RESIDENTS TRAINING BUT THERE ARE ALSO RESIDENTS TRAINING
- 15 THAT COULD TAKE PLACE WITHOUT FEDERAL FUNDING.

16

- 17 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: IT'S POSSIBLE BUT, GIVEN THE HIGH-- JUST
- 18 THE F.T.E. COST ALONE PER RESIDENT, LET ALONE THE COST OF
- 19 ACADEMIC SUPERVISION MAKES IT PROHIBITIVE.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND DO STATES PARTICIPATE IN THE TRAINING OF
- 22 RESIDENTS OR IS IT ONLY FEDERAL FUNDING?

23

24 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: IT'S FEDERAL FUNDING, SUPERVISOR.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: JUST FEDERAL, THERE'S NO STATE. THERE IS A
- 2 PROCESS, IF WE NEED MORE INTERNS AT A LATER TIME, THAT WE
- 3 COULD REQUEST THOSE?

4

5 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: ASSUMING THAT WE HAVE THE SLOTS, YES.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT. SO IF THE IDEA WAS TO INCREASE THE
- 8 SIZE AT M.L.K. HARBOR MEDICAL CENTER AT A FUTURE TIME, THAT
- 9 PROPOSAL, WHICH WE WOULD HAVE TO HAVE C.M.S. APPROVAL FOR,
- 10 WOULD ALSO INCLUDE SLOTS FOR THE INTERNS, WOULD IT NOT?

11

12 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CORRECT. INTERNS AND RESIDENTS TOGETHER.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND, AT THAT TIME, WHEN THEY CONSIDER IF
- 15 THEY'RE GOING TO GIVE US THAT AUTHORIZATION, THEN FUNDING
- 16 WOULD BE A PART OF THAT PROCESS.

17

- 18 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WELL, C.M.S. DOES NOT HAVE THE RIGHT TO
- 19 MOVE SLOTS AROUND SO, AS LONG AS THE SLOTS REMAIN AT THE
- 20 HOSPITAL, WHAT YOU SAID IS A TRUE STATEMENT. WHAT C.M.S.
- 21 CANNOT DO IS ALLOW-- IF THE SLOTS WERE TO EVAPORATE WITH THE
- 22 TERMINATION OF THE CONTRACT, C.M.S. HAS NO ABILITY TO GIVE US
- 23 NEW SLOTS.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT. SO LET'S SAY YOU DON'T NEED 250
- 2 INTERNS FOR THE 48 BEDS. WHATEVER YOU DO NEED, YOU HAVE A
- 3 NUMBER TO FIGURE OUT WHAT YOU NEED BUT, IF YOU GO UP TO 120
- 4 BEDS OR 30 BEDS, 40 BEDS, YOU PERHAPS NEED THE 250 INTERNS,
- 5 BUT, AT THAT TIME, WOULD IT NOT BE PART OF YOUR FUNDING
- 6 APPLICATION THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO GO UP TO THIS SIZE BUT IT
- 7 WOULD REQUIRE AN ADDITIONAL NUMBER OF INTERNS? AND THEN THEIR
- 8 APPROVAL WOULD INCLUDE THAT TYPE OF FUNDING OR THE
- 9 RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THAT TYPE OF FUNDING.

10

- 11 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WE DON'T ACTUALLY NEED C.M.S. APPROVAL FOR
- 12 THE FUNDING BECAUSE THE FUNDING METHODOLOGIES ARE ALREADY SET
- 13 OUT.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT IT WOULD BE PART OF THEIR RECOMMENDATION
- 16 THAT THAT FUNDING WOULD KICK IN BECAUSE THE FUNDING CAN'T KICK
- 17 IN IF THEY DON'T GIVE THEIR RECOMMENDATION, RIGHT?

- 19 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: C.M.S. DOESN'T APPROVE RESIDENCY TRAINING
- 20 PROGRAMS, SUPERVISOR. THE ACTUAL CREATION OF RESIDENCY
- 21 TRAINING PROGRAMS AND THE APPROVAL TO RUN THEM IS GIVEN BY A
- 22 DIFFERENT BODY, THE AMERICAN COLLEGE OF GRADUATE MEDICAL
- 23 EDUCATION OR A.C.G.M.E. SO A.C.G.M.E. WOULD APPROVE A TRAINING
- 24 PROGRAM, THAT WE HAVE WHAT THEY NEED AND THEN THE FUNDING
- 25 WOULD FLOW.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THEY NEED C.M.S.'S CHOP TO MAKE THAT
- 3 PROCEED, WOULD THEY NOT?

4

- 5 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: I DON'T BELIEVE SO, SUPERVISOR. C.M.S.
- 6 PROVIDES A FUNDING MECHANISM FOR APPROVED SLOTS.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET AT, IF THEY DON'T GIVE
- 9 US THE 250 INTERN POSITIONS, WE STILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY, IF
- 10 THE DESIRE WAS TO INCREASE THE SIZE OF KING/DREW MEDICAL
- 11 CENTER, THAT PART OF THAT REQUEST WOULD BE ADDITIONAL INTERNS
- 12 AND THERE HAS TO BE, IN THAT APPLICATION WITH ONE OF THE
- 13 VARIOUS AGENCIES, A FUNDING APPROVAL.

14

- 15 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THERE IS NO ABILITY TO DO THAT IN C.M.S.
- 16 C.M.S. DOES NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO GIVE US THAT FUNDING
- 17 WITHOUT THOSE SLOTS.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, BUT WE NEED THEIR APPROVAL TO OBTAIN
- 20 THOSE SLOTS IF WE WANTED THOSE SLOTS?

21

- 22 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: C.M.S. HAS NO ABILITY TO APPROVE ADDITIONAL
- 23 SLOTS IN THE FUTURE.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY, THEN WHY DO WE NEED THEM TO KEEP THESE
- 2 250 SLOTS IF IT DOESN'T-- IF WE DON'T NEED THEM? WE CAN STILL
- 3 OBTAIN THEM WHEN NEEDED.

4

- 5 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WE CAN'T-- OUR CONTRACT WITH C.M.S., WHICH
- 6 PREDATES 1996-- 1996, SUPERVISORS, IS A VERY IMPORTANT DATE
- 7 BECAUSE, IN 1996, THROUGH FEDERAL REGULATION, THE NUMBER OF
- 8 RESIDENCY SLOTS IN THE UNITED STATES WAS FROZEN AND LINKED TO
- 9 CURRENT C.M.S. CONTRACTS THAT WERE IN PLACE AT THAT TIME AND
- 10 RESIDENCY TRAINING THAT WAS IN PLACE AT THAT TIME. SO, WHEN
- 11 FACILITIES LOSE THEIR C.M.S. CONTRACTS, THEY GO OFFLINE FOR
- 12 WHATEVER REASON, THERE ISN'T-- C.M.S. HAS NO LEGAL AUTHORITY
- 13 TO GRANT NEW SLOTS TO NEW CONTRACTS OR PERMANENTLY INCREASE
- 14 SLOTS AT HOSPITALS CURRENTLY THAT HAVE CONTRACTS. SO,
- 15 SUPERVISOR, WE WOULD HAVE NO ABILITY TO REGAIN ACCESS TO THOSE
- 16 SLOTS.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THERE IS A PROCESS IN PLACE OR COULD BE
- 19 PLACED IN PLACE TO ALLOW US TO INCREASE THE NUMBER OF INTERNS
- 20 IF THAT WAS OUR DESIRE AND, IF IT TAKES MODIFYING THE 1996
- 21 LAW, WHICH HAPPENS, YOU KNOW, AMENDMENTS OCCUR ALL THE TIME IN
- 22 THE LEGISLATIVE PROCESS, BUT THERE IS SOME TYPE OF MECHANISM,
- 23 IS THERE NOT? IT'S NOT AN ABSOLUTE.



- 1 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: SUPERVISOR, NO, IT'S NOT ABSOLUTE BUT THE
- 2 PROCESS IS FOR US TO RETAIN THE SLOTS TO GO-- C.M.S.-- MY
- 3 OPINION IS THAT C.M.S. WOULD BE VERY, VERY UNWILLING AND VERY
- 4 UNINTERESTED IN SEEING AN EFFORT TO GO BACK IN TO INCREASE OR
- 5 CHANGE THE WAY C.M.S. SLOTS ARE HANDED OUT. YOU ARE RIGHT, YOU
- 6 COULD GO GO FOR...

7

9

12

15

18

22

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: FOR AN ACT OF CONGRESS.

10 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: ...AN ACT OF CONGRESS TO MAKE A CHANGE BUT,

11 ONCE YOU OPEN THAT...

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IT WOULD TAKE AN ACT OF CONGRESS

14 TO MAKE IT POSSIBLE.

16 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: IT WOULD TAKE AN ACT OF CONGRESS,

17 CERTAINLY, AND...

19 SUP. BURKE: AND IT WOULD BE BILLION AND BILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN

- 20 THE PROGRAM BECAUSE IT WOULD-- YOU COULDN'T JUST GET IT FOR
- 21 ONE PLACE, YOU'D HAVE TO HAVE IT NATIONWIDE.
- 23 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: AND THAT'S WHY, SUPERVISOR BURKE, I THINK
- 24 THAT THAT'S EXACTLY RIGHT, THAT THERE'S A LOT OF HESITANCY TO



- 1 REOPEN THIS OUESTION BECAUSE THERE ARE PROBABLY LOTS OF PLACES
- 2 IN THE COUNTRY THAT MIGHT WANT MORE RESIDENCY SLOTS.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO WOULD YOU SAY YOU KEEP THE SLOTS OPEN BUT
- 5 DON'T PAY FOR THEM AND ALLOW US TO DRAW FROM THOSE SLOTS WHEN
- 6 THE NEED ARISES? THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE RECOMMENDING?

7

- 8 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: CORRECT, THAT'S EXACTLY-- THAT'S EXACTLY
- 9 RIGHT, SUPERVISOR.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO YOU WANT THEM AS AN INVENTORY AND NOT AS
- 12 ACTUAL FUNDING...

13

14 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: MONEY IN THE BANK, THAT'S RIGHT.

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: EXACTLY. YOU'RE USING SOME--
- 19 YOU'RE USING THEM NOW, ARE YOU NOT?

20

- 21 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THE CURRENT RESIDENTS THAT USED TO BE AT
- 22 THE FACILITY HAVE BEEN PLACED-- TEMPORARILY PLACED...

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: PLACED ELSEWHERE.



1 SUP. BURKE: ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

2

- 3 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: ACROSS THE COUNTRY, SO THEY ARE ON LOAN.
- 4 C.M.S. HAS THE LEGAL AUTHORITY TO TEMPORARILY LOAN THEM TO
- 5 OTHER HOSPITALS BUT THEN THOSE-- THEY COME BACK TO OUR
- 6 FACILITY AS LONG AS WE HAVE A CONTRACT THAT'S IN FORCE, THE
- 7 CURRENT CONTRACT. AND, IF THE CURRENT CONTRACT IS NOT IN
- 8 FORCE, THEY EVAPORATE.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND WE DID THAT IN ORDER TO
- 11 FULFILL-- SO AS NOT TO DISRUPT THESE RESIDENTS' EDUCATIONAL
- 12 PROGRAM?

13

- 14 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S CORRECT, SUPERVISOR, OUR COMMITMENT
- 15 TO MEET THEIR TRAINING REQUIREMENTS THROUGH THE REMAINDER OF
- 16 THEIR RESIDENCY.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE: AND SOME OF THOSE PEOPLE ARE AT LOMA LINDA
- 19 HOSPITAL, THAT ARE THERE WITH THOSE RESIDENCY SLOTS, MR.
- 20 ANTONOVICH, AND THEY'RE THERE BUT THEY USE OUR SLOTS.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YEAH, I SEE.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ANY OTHER QUESTIONS?



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: WELL, ONLY TO MUDDY IT UP SOME MORE BECAUSE I'M
- 2 NOT SURE I UNDERSTAND IT. SO YOU'RE JUST SAYING THAT, IN
- 3 ANSWER TO MR. ANTONOVICH'S QUESTION, THIS INVENTORY THAT WE
- 4 HAVE OF THESE SLOTS, C.M.S. WILL CONTINUE TO FUND THEM IF
- 5 THEY'RE AT OTHER LOCATIONS, RIGHT?

6

7 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: NO, SUPERVISOR.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA: SO WHAT DID THAT RESIDENCY TAKE-- WHAT DID THAT
- 10 RESIDENT TAKE WITH THEM WHEN...

11

- 12 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: I'M SORRY. IN THE TEMPORARY-- YES, WE
- 13 CAN...

14

15 SUP. MOLINA: THANK YOU.

16

- 17 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: I APOLOGIZE, SUPERVISOR. I DIDN'T
- 18 UNDERSTAND THE QUESTION. FOR THE TEMPORARY PERIOD OF TIME
- 19 WHILE THEY'RE ON LOAN, YES.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA: SO HOW MANY OF THOSE DO YOU KNOW...?

- 23 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: WELL, THEY'RE CURRENTLY-- WE HAVE-- I
- 24 THINK, ESSENTIALLY, ALL OF THEM, SUPERVISOR, ARE ON LOAN.
- 25 ABOUT A HUNDRED OF THOSE GO AWAY IN JUNE BECAUSE THEY'RE



- 1 INTERNS WHO GO OFF TO OTHER PROGRAMS. SO WE HAVE ABOUT 160
- 2 AFTER JUNE AND THEY TAIL OFF PRETTY QUICKLY OVER A COUPLE OF
- 3 YEARS.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. BUT LET'S SAY THAT, DURING THAT PERIOD
- 6 OF TIME, IF WE WERE TO, AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW WHAT C.M.S. IS
- 7 GOING TO DO BUT IF WE WERE GOING TO GET-- LET'S SAY GET BACK
- 8 UP TO SPEED IN AUGUST, SEPTEMBER, OCTOBER, NOVEMBER AND C.M.S.
- 9 WERE TO COME BACK IN, RIGHT, WHICH IS ONE OPTION, WOULD THIS
- 10 AGAIN CONTINUE BECAUSE THEY'RE ALREADY PAYING FOR THIS, SO
- 11 THEY HAVEN'T EVAPORATED YET IS WHAT I'M SAYING. SO THEY WOULD
- 12 STILL BE IN PLACE. NOW WE WOULD HAVE C.M.S. AGAIN.

13

- 14 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: BUT THE NEW CONTRACT-- C.M.S.-- MY
- 15 UNDERSTANDING, SUPERVISOR, IS THE C.M.S. WOULD ALLOW A RUN-OUT
- 16 FOR THOSE TEMPORARY PLACEMENTS BUT THE NEW CONTRACT THAT WOULD
- 17 GO INTO PLACE AUGUST OF 2007 WOULD NOT HAVE, PER FEDERAL LAW,
- 18 WE WOULD-- IT WOULD NOT HAVE THE CONTRACT LANGUAGE THAT WOULD
- 19 ALLOW PERMANENT C.M.S. RESIDENCY TRAINING SLOTS AT THE
- 20 FACILITY. SO WE WOULD HAVE A RUN-OUT PERIOD BUT NO NEW SLOTS.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: SO, WHEN WE ISSUED THE-- WHATEVER THAT RESIDENT
- 23 THAT TOOK OFF WITH THEIR RESIDENCY STATUS TO ANOTHER HOSPITAL,
- 24 THE IDEA IS THAT THERE IS SOME PENDING-- SOME PROVISION THAT
- 25 SAYS IT COMES BACK TO US?



1

2 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S CORRECT.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA: AND SO THAT EVAPORATES AS WELL WITH-- I MEAN, IT
- 5 JUST SEEMS AS THOUGH YOU'RE CREATING A HOLDING PATTERN
- 6 SOMEWHERE AND I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHY YOU CAN'T HOLD
- 7 THEM, WHY THEY CAN'T BE DORMANT, WHY THEY CAN'T BE IN PLACE
- 8 WHILE WE GET OUR ACT TOGETHER.

9

- 10 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THEY CAN ONLY BE DORMANT IF THE 1996
- 11 CONTRACT IS IN PLACE BECAUSE THE RESIDENCY TRAINING SLOTS ARE
- 12 TIED TO THEIR CURRENT CONTRACT.

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: BUT THAT WOULD BE THE CASE BECAUSE OF-- IF THEY
- 15 WERE TO COME BACK IN. SO WHAT I'M SAYING IS THE OTHER SLOTS,
- 16 THEY'RE GOING TO BE FUNDING THEM ANYWAY. YOU SAY THEY JUST
- 17 EVAPORATE WHEN THAT PERSON FINISHES THEIR RESIDENCY.

18

19 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: THAT'S CORRECT.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA: AND THIS HAS ALL BEEN EXPLORED WITH THE LAWYERS
- 22 AND C.M.S. AND EVERYONE ELSE?

23

24 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: YES, SUPERVISOR.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? ALL
- 2 RIGHT, BRUCE, KEEP US POSTED ON THIS. OBVIOUSLY, EVERY DAY
- 3 MATTERS NOW. I KNOW YOU'VE HAD ONGOING DISCUSSIONS BUT I THINK
- 4 TO SAY WE'RE AT CRUNCH TIME IS AN UNDERSTATEMENT.

5

6 DR. BRUCE CHERNOF: AGREED, SUPERVISOR. THANK YOU.

7

- 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MR. CHAIRMAN, THERE'S A COUPLE SPEAKERS ON
- 9 THIS MATTER.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, ALL RIGHT. DR. CLAVREUL AND
- 12 ARNOLD SACHS. IT'S REALLY NOT AN ACTION ITEM BUT I GUESS...

13

- 14 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL, BOARD OF
- 15 SUPERVISORS, GOOD MORNING. ARE WE GO DOWN TO TWO MINUTES
- 16 INSTEAD OF THREE MINUTES NOW?

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: GO AHEAD.

19

20 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: THAT'S OKAY.

21

22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IF YOU NEED MORE TIME...

- 24 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I ALWAYS CAN DO IT IN THE TIME. IT'S
- 25 JUST AMAZING THAT EVERY DAY YOU TRY, YOU KNOW, EVERY WEEK YOU



- 1 TRY TO INFRINGE IN THE FREEDOM OF THE PEOPLE TO EXPRESS
- 2 THEMSELVES. THAT'S FINE.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: GO AHEAD.

5

- 6 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: THE PEOPLE ARE WATCHING AND THEY KNOW
- 7 WHAT'S GOING ON. ANYWAY, I HAVE A QUESTION. DR. CHERNOF STATED
- 8 THAT THE KING/DREW WAS DOWN TO 48 BEDS. I WANT TO KNOW HOW
- 9 COME THEY HAVE 65 PATIENTS AND SOMETIMES MORE EVERY DAY AND
- 10 NOT 48? I WOULD LIKE AN ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION AND HOW THOSE
- 11 PATIENTS ARE IDENTIFIED? AND I DON'T THINK THAT WOULD LOOK
- 12 GOOD FOR C.M.S. TO PRETEND YOU'RE DOWN TO 48 WHEN YOU HAVE 65.
- 13 THAT'S A QUESTION I HAVE. ALSO, LOOKS LIKE DR. CHERNOF IS NOT
- 14 UP TO DATE IN DESIGNATION OF A LOT OF THINGS. IT'S NO LONGER
- 15 J.C.A.H.O., JOINT ACCREDITATION, JOINT COMMISSION, PERIOD. I
- 16 THINK, AS USUAL, WE ARE NOT GETTING VERY PRECISE ANSWERS. I
- 17 LISTENED TO THE BEGINNING OF THE REPORT AND IT DIDN'T SAY
- 18 ANYTHING. FIRST OF ALL, NONE OF US HAD IN FRONT OF US THE
- 19 DIFFERENT, YOU KNOW, POINTS HE WAS REFERRING TO, THE DIFFERENT
- 20 ITEMS. I THINK IT WAS A LOT OF GIBBERISH AND DEFINITELY DID
- 21 NOT GIVE ME, WHO IS AN EXPERT IN HEALTHCARE, A FEEL OF WHAT
- 22 WAS GOING ON AT KING/DREW. ANYWAY, I HAVE TWO ARTICLES FOR
- 23 YOU, A BREACH OF INTEGRITY AND LEARNING FROM HEALTHCARE PLAN.

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. THANK YOU. MR. SACHS.



- 2 ARNOLD SACHS: ARNOLD SACHS. GOOD MORNING, COUNTY BOARD OF
- 3 SUPERVISORS. JUST A FEW POINTS THAT I'D LIKE TO KNOW AND
- 4 EXPRESS MY DISAPPOINTMENT. THIS IS THE SECOND TIME THAT THE
- 5 COUNTY HAS BASICALLY BLAMED THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FOR THE
- 6 COUNTY'S SHORTCOMINGS. THE FIRST TIME, EVIDENTLY, WAS WHEN THE
- 7 HOSPITAL FAILED THE INSPECTION AND C.M.S. DECIDED TO NOTIFY
- 8 THE COUNTY THAT IT WAS CUTTING FUNDS AND THE COUNTY'S RESPONSE
- 9 WAS THAT, IF WE DON'T GET THE FUNDING, THE HOSPITAL WILL
- 10 CLOSE, EVEN THOUGH THE HOSPITAL WAS COMPARATIVELY CLOSE TO
- 11 FLUNKING ITS REQUIRED INSPECTIONS. AND NOW WE COME TO THE
- 12 POINT WHERE, IF THEY DON'T CONTINUE THE FUNDING, THE KING/DREW
- 13 RESIDENCY PROGRAM WAS GOING TO BE AFFECTED. YET, IN OCTOBER,
- 14 WHEN THE COUNTY WAS NOTIFIED, ONE OF THE FIRST THINGS THAT WAS
- 15 DONE, AND I BELIEVE THAT THE PRESIDENT OF DREW UNIVERSITY WAS
- 16 HERE, MAINTAINING THAT THE COUNTY WAS THROWING THE RESIDENCY
- 17 PROGRAM TO THE SIDE OF THE ROAD AND NOW IT'S BEING USED AS A
- 18 CRUTCH TO ASK FOR MORE FUNDING. IN A RECENT ARTICLE IN THE
- 19 "L.A. TIMES" AND I KIND OF FEEL OUEASY ABOUT USING THE "L.A.
- 20 TIMES" AS ANY KIND OF REFERENCE POINT BUT THIS IS FROM MARCH
- 21 1ST, IT REPORTED THAT-- I JUST WROTE IT DOWN HERE-- 1,786
- 22 POSSIBLE EMPLOYEES HAVE BEEN PLACED THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY
- 23 SINCE NOVEMBER. ALMOST 1,400 REMAIN AT M.L.K., DOWN FROM 2,200
- 24 IN '86. NUMBERS DON'T ADD UP THERE. THE COUNTY'S-- THE BUDGET
- 25 FOR KING/DREW IS \$440 MILLION. BASICALLY, PART OF THAT, I



- 1 GUESS, WOULD BE FEDERAL FUNDING. THE \$200 MILLION IS INCLUDED
- 2 IN THAT AMOUNT SO THAT IT LEAVES THE COUNTY TO FUND \$240
- 3 MILLION, GIVE OR TAKE...

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. YOUR TIME IS UP. I'M
- 6 SORRY, MR. SACHS. LET ME JUST BE CLEAR. I DON'T THINK ANY
- 7 MEMBER OF THE BOARD IS BLAMING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. I THINK
- 8 WHAT THE PURPOSE OF DR. CHERNOF'S PRESENTATION TODAY WAS TO
- 9 WARN EVERYONE CONCERNED THAT, IF THIS THING EXPIRES, IT WILL
- 10 HAVE CONSEQUENCES THAT EVERYONE SHOULD KNOW AHEAD OF TIME SO
- 11 THAT THEY CAN BE GUIDED ACCORDINGLY. ALLOWING 250 RESIDENCY
- 12 SLOTS TO EXPIRE, IN LIGHT OF THE PROGRESS THAT'S BEEN MADE, IS
- 13 ALL OF US COLLECTIVELY SHOOTING OURSELVES IN THE FOOT AND I
- 14 THINK THAT'S THE ISSUE HERE. SO NOBODY'S TRYING TO BLAME
- 15 ANYBODY. WE'RE JUST TRYING TO WARN DECISION MAKERS WHAT THE
- 16 CONSEQUENCES ARE OF CERTAIN DECISIONS OR LACK OF DECISIONS
- 17 THAT THEY MAKE, CONSEQUENCES THAT WE WILL PAY FOR IN THIS
- 18 COMMUNITY FOR YEARS TO COME. THANK YOU. I'M GOING TO TURN IT
- 19 OVER-- MS. MOLINA, YOU'RE UP FIRST TODAY AND WE'LL GO BACK TO
- 20 THE REGULAR ORDER OF THINGS.

21

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. I DON'T HAVE ANY ADJOURNMENTS BUT WE HAVE
- 23 ITEM NUMBER 23. THAT HASN'T BEEN DONE, RIGHT?

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO. NOTHING'S BEEN DONE.

24

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. I HAVE A QUESTION ON THAT ONE. 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE ONE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC 4 5 WHO WANTS TO BE HEARD. DO YOU WANT TO HEAR FROM THEM FIRST? 6 7 SUP. MOLINA: SURE. 8 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: 23, DR. CLAVREUL. YOU'RE PASSING? OKAY. THANK YOU. 10 11 SUP. MOLINA: THE OUESTION I HAVE IS THAT I UNDERSTAND THIS 12 13 ITEM MIGHT BE CONTINUED, I DON'T KNOW, BUT WHY ARE WE APPROVING A CONTRACT FOR KING FOR 346 ON THE MEALS? 14 15 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DAVID, WHO'S HANDLING THIS FOR THE 17 DEPARTMENT? 18 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S A VERY HARD QUESTION TO ANSWER, BASED 20 ON THE DISCUSSION WE JUST HAD. WE DON'T KNOW AND I'M NOT SURE 21 THE TERMINATION... 22 23 SUP. MOLINA: SO WILL THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED?

> The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, HOW MUCH TIME DO WE HAVE? EFFECTIVE
- 2 APRIL 1ST. WE HAVE ANOTHER WEEK, I THINK. WE HAVE ANOTHER
- 3 WEEK, I THINK, TO MAKE THIS DECISION. IT HAS TO BE IN PLACE BY
- 4 APRIL THE 1ST.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: WE SHOULDN'T MAKE A CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT WITH
- 7 SOMETHING WE'RE NOT SURE ABOUT.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: COULD WE SEPARATE THAT ONE OUT?

10

- 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH. THAT'S NUMBER TWO. WE COULD CONTINUE
- 12 THAT A WEEK.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND APPROVE THE REST OF THEM ON A
- 15 MONTH FOR MONTH. IS THAT WHAT THE RECOMMENDATION IS?

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, WE WOULD RECOMMEND THAT YOU CONTINUE
- 18 NUMBER 2, 23, NUMBER 2, TO THE CONTRACTED M.L.K. FOR ONE WEEK
- 19 UNTIL WE HEAR SOMETHING FROM C.M.S., HOPEFULLY.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND APPROVE THE REMAINDER?

22

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND APPROVE THE REMAINDER ON A MONTH TO MONTH.
- 24 THE GREEN SHEET IS THE RECOMMENDATION.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THAT CONSISTENT?

2

3 SUP. MOLINA: WHAT IS THAT?

4

- 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE THE M.L.K. ITEM NUMBER
- 6 2 A WEEK TO SEE IF WE HEAR FROM C.M.S.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA: THAT WILL BE FINE. I UNDERSTAND IT'S ON AN AS-
- 9 NEEDED BASIS BUT I DON'T LIKE THE IDEA OF HAVING AN
- 10 CONTRACTUAL AGREEMENT UNTIL WE KNOW. THAT'S FINE, THEN IF
- 11 WE'RE GOING TO CONTINUE THAT PORTION, IT'S NO PROBLEM. YOU
- 12 WANT TO DO...

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND APPROVE THE REMAINDER.

15

16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: APPROVE THE REMAINDER. THAT'S CORRECT.

17

18 SUP. MOLINA: OKAY. NO PROBLEM.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: APPROVE THE REMAINDER ON A MONTH
- 21 TO MONTH. ALL RIGHT, THAT'LL MS. MOLINA'S MOTION. I'LL SECOND
- 22 IT. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. AND THEN, ON ITEM NUMBER 12, IT IS MY
- 2 UNDERSTANDING COUNTY COUNSEL IS GOING TO HAVE THE REPORT READY
- 3 SOMETIME THIS WEEK, SO THEY'RE GOING TO CONTINUE THIS ITEM.

4

5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY DID THAT EARLIER, YES.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: SO I THINK THOSE ARE THE ONLY ITEMS THAT I HAD. I
- 8 HAVE NOTHING ELSE.

9

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO ADJOURNING MOTIONS?

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: NO.

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO ADJOURNING MOTIONS. SUPERVISOR
- 15 BURKE? SHE STEPPED OUT FOR A SECOND. LET ME SEE ABOUT MY
- 16 ADJOURNING MOTIONS. I HAVE ONE ADJOURNING MOTION. I'D LIKE TO
- 17 ASK THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF DICK LOVELL, A LONG-STANDING
- 18 RESIDENT OF WHITLEY HEIGHTS, ABOVE HOLLYWOOD, GOOD FRIEND OF
- 19 MY OFFICE WHO RECENTLY PASSED AWAY. HE'S SURVIVED BY THREE
- 20 BROTHERS, TOM, HARRY AND PETER, AS WELL AS OTHER FAMILY
- 21 MEMBERS AND MANY FRIENDS. HE WAS VERY ACTIVE IN THE COMMUNITY
- 22 IN THE WHITLEY HEIGHTS AREA, A VERY SPECIAL NEIGHBORHOOD AND
- 23 HE WAS A VERY SPECIAL MAN. WITHOUT OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.
- 24 DON, DO YOU WANT TO DO YOUR ADJOURNING MOTIONS WHILE WE WAIT
- 25 FOR MS. BURKE?



- 2 SUP. KNABE: YES. I MOVE TODAY THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF MR.
- 3 JOHN GAINS. JOHN IS A GOOD FRIEND, A FORMER EL SEGUNDO CITY
- 4 COUNCIL MEMBER. WASN'T THAT LONG AGO THAT HE FOUND OUT HE HAD
- 5 A UNIQUE BATTLE WITH CANCER. HE WAS ONLY 56 YEARS OLD. HE
- 6 SERVED AS A NAVAL AVIATOR FROM 1973 TO '79, LOGGED OVER 3,000
- 7 HOURS OF FLIGHT TIME AND MOST RECENTLY WORKED AS AN ARROW
- 8 SPACE EXECUTIVE. HE WAS A COUNCILMAN FROM 1998 TO 2006. HE WAS
- 9 ADEPT AT PLAYING THE BAD COP/GOOD COP WHEN IT CAME TO
- 10 NEGOTIATIONS WITH NEIGHBORING LOS ANGELES INTERNATIONAL
- 11 AIRPORT AND OUR STRONG AND GOOD OPPORTUNITIES TO PRESERVE THE
- 12 LOS ANGELES AIR FORCE BASE, WHICH WE WERE SUCCESSFUL. HE WAS A
- 13 VERY AFFECTIONATE, HANDS-ON FATHER WHO PARTICIPATED AS MUCH
- 14 EVEN IN HIS OWN CHILDREN'S SCHOOL PLAYS. HE COACHED HIS TWO
- 15 SONS IN LITTLE LEAGUE, ENJOYED TRAVEL, ESPECIALLY TO
- 16 YELLOWSTONE NATIONAL PARK AND TO HAWAII. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS
- 17 WIFE OF 34 YEARS, SUSAN, THREE CHILDREN, BECKY, BOBBY AND BEN,
- 18 AND WAS FORTUNATE ENOUGH TO BE ABLE TO SEE HIS GRANDSON,
- 19 COLIN. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF SERGEANT TOM LAMPING,
- 20 A SERGEANT FOR THE WHITTIER POLICE DEPARTMENT WHO PASSED AWAY
- 21 ON SUNDAY, MARCH 11TH. HE WAS VERY ACTIVE IN ADDITION TO HIS
- 22 POLICE WORK, SERVING ON THE WHITTIER POLICE OFFICER'S
- 23 ASSOCIATION, SERVED AS ITS PRESIDENT FOR TWO YEARS, VERY HARD
- 24 WORKING AND DEDICATED. HIS HARD WORK ETHIC WAS A TESTAMENT TO
- 25 HIS LOVE FOR HIS FAMILY AND OF POLICE WORK. HE IS SURVIVED BY



- 1 HIS WIFE, JANET, FOUR DAUGHTERS, AMY, REBECCA, KAITLYN AND
- 2 SAVANNAH. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF DARLENE APELIAN,
- 3 PASSED AWAY LAST NIGHT FROM CANCER. SHE WAS A RESIDENT OF
- 4 BELLFLOWER, WAS EMPLOYED FOR 30 YEARS IN DR. ERIC ACADA'S
- 5 OFFICE, AN OPTOMETRIST'S OFFICE THERE IN BELLFLOWER. SHE'LL BE
- 6 SORELY MISSED BY HER FAMILY AND FRIENDS. SHE IS SURVIVED BY
- 7 HER SON, ERIC, AND DAUGHTER-IN-LAW, TANYA. SHE WILL BE MISSED.
- 8 GREAT GAL. JOHN WAS, TOO. SO THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE. ALL RIGHT.
- 11 SUPERVISOR BURKE IS BACK, SO YOU'RE UP.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: I MOVE THAT, WHEN WE ADJOURN TODAY, WE ADJOURN IN
- 14 THE MEMORY OF CHILTON ALPHONSE, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE
- 15 SECOND DISTRICT, FOUNDER OF THE COMMUNITY SPORTS AND ARTS
- 16 FOUNDATION WHO PASSED AWAY MARCH 16TH AFTER A LENGTHY ILLNESS.

17

18 SUP. KNABE: I'D LIKE TO JOIN IN THAT AS WELL.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL MEMBERS.

- 22 SUP. BURKE: ALL MEMBERS. HE LEAVES TO CHERISH HIS MEMORY A
- 23 HOST OF FRIENDS AND RELATIVES. I KNOW HE HAS A DAUGHTER THAT
- 24 HE ACTUALLY RAISED HIMSELF. HE WAS SOMEONE WHO WAS BEFORE THE
- 25 BOARD OFTEN. HIS PROGRAM WAS ONE THAT RECEIVED A LOT OF



- 1 SUPPORT FROM THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. INTERESTING THING,
- 2 HE'S THE ONLY PERSON I EVER KNEW WHO WON THE LOTTERY TWICE,
- 3 AND HE TOOK THE MONEY AND GAVE IT AWAY AND TO ESTABLISH
- 4 COMMUNITY PROJECTS. SO HE'LL BE SORELY MISSED. AND AUGUSTA
- 5 WILLIAMS, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE SECOND DISTRICT WHO
- 6 PASSED AWAY RECENTLY. SHE LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY, HER
- 7 SONS, LEE AND ELDRIDGE AND DAUGHTER, CHANTEL. AND RICHARD
- 8 SEWELL, THE NEPHEW OF CARL WASHINGTON, PASSED AWAY ON MARCH
- 9 13TH, AFTER SUFFERING A LONG ILLNESS, AT THE AGE OF 33. HE
- 10 LEAVES TO CHERISH HIS MEMORY HIS MOTHER, ORA WASHINGTON,
- 11 FATHER, RICHARD SEWELL AND UNCLE CARL WASHINGTON.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE.

14

15 **SUP. BURKE:** DID WE COMPLETE ITEM 9?

16

17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: NO.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO.

20

21 SUP. BURKE: I'LL CALL UP ITEM 9.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ITEM 9. ARNOLD SACHS.



- 1 ARNOLD SACHS: GOOD MORNING AGAIN, COUNTY SUPERVISORS. JUST A
- 2 BRIEF EXPLANATION ON WHAT EXACTLY THE CONFLICT OF INTEREST
- 3 INVOLVED WITH THESE ADMINISTRATORS THAT ARE LISTED HERE. IS
- 4 THERE ANY DEFINITION -- I MEAN, IS THERE A PRO AND CON FOR THE
- 5 CONFLICT OF INTEREST INVOLVED OR IS IT-- DO THEY NEED TO
- 6 EXPRESS IF THEY HAVE ANY CONFLICT OF INTEREST INVOLVED IN
- 7 THEIR OPERATIONS?

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. JUST SO YOU-- SINCE YOU'RE
- 10 RELATIVELY NEW AT TESTIFYING HERE, I'LL JUST EXPLAIN TO YOU
- 11 THIS TIME. THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR YOU
- 12 TO BE HEARD ON AN ITEM. IT'S NOT A QUESTION AND ANSWER
- 13 SESSION. IF YOU'D LIKE TO HAVE INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT THESE
- 14 ARE, THERE'S PLENTY OF STAFF AROUND HERE WHO CAN ADVISE YOU ON
- 15 IT. THIS IS THE APPROVAL OF CODES, OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST
- 16 CODES FOR THESE BODIES THAT ARE ON THE AGENDA. SO THANKS.

17

- 18 ARNOLD SACHS: OH, THANK YOU, APPRECIATE YOU EXPLAINING THAT TO
- 19 ME.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO PROBLEM. WE HAVE THE ITEM
- 22 BEFORE US. MS. BURKE MOVES, MR. ANTONOVICH SECONDS. WITHOUT
- 23 OBJECTION, UNANIMOUS VOTE.

24

25 SUP. BURKE: I HAVE NO FURTHER ITEMS.



2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'VE DONE MY ADJOURNING MOTIONS
3 AND I DON'T BELIEVE I'M HOLDING ANYTHING. MR. KNABE.
4
5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: CAN I DO MY ADJOURNING MOTIONS?
6
7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU'LL BE UP NEXT. DO YOU WANT TO

8 DO THEM NOW?

9

SUP. KNABE: YEAH, GO AHEAD AND HAVE HIM DO HIS ADJOURNMENTS

11 WHILE I GET MY STUFF...

12

10

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'D LIKE TO ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF PASTOR
- 14 EMERITUS REIN NEGGO, WHO WAS THE FOUNDING FATHER OF THE
- 15 ESTONIAN COMMUNITY IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. HE WAS ORDAINED A
- 16 MINISTER OF THE ESTONIAN EVANGELICAL LUTHERAN CHURCH OF LOS
- 17 ANGELES WHERE HE WAS A PASTOR FOR OVER 50 YEARS, PUBLISHED SIX
- 18 BOOKS COMPILING HIS SERMONS AND STORIES FOR CHILDREN AND THE
- 19 HISTORICAL ACCOUNTS OF THE ESTONIAN PEOPLE. JUDGE RICHARD VAN
- 20 DUSEN, LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE
- 21 AGE OF 62, GRADUATE OF SOUTHWESTERN LAW SCHOOL AND WAS ELECTED
- 22 TO THE RIO HONDO COURT AND ELEVATED TO THE SUPERIOR COURT IN
- 23 THE YEAR 2000. SISTER ELEANOR WAGNER, SISTER OF THE SISTERS OF
- 24 ST. JOSEPH OF CARONDELET WHO PASSED AWAY. MANNY DWORK. MANNY
- 25 WAS A NEIGHBOR OF MINE GROWING UP. HE WAS A BOARD MEMBER OF



- 1 THE CALIFORNIA HOME FOR THE AGED, AN ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE CITY
- 2 OF HOPE, PHILANTHROPIST. IN FACT, HE EVEN MADE CLOTHES FOR
- 3 RONALD REAGAN. HE PASSED AWAY ON JANUARY 15TH. HE LEAVES HIS
- 4 WIFE, RACHEL, AND HIS DAUGHTER, SHARON SUSAN AND SON, TED.
- 5 HELEN LOUISE JONES DEDEAUX. ALONG WITH BEING AN ACCOMPLISHED
- 6 ARTIST, SHE WAS THE U.S.C. TROJAN LEAGUE OF LOS ANGELES KEY
- 7 MOTIVATOR AND CHEERLEADER AND MEMBER. JUST A FEW MONTHS AGO,
- 8 HER HUSBAND, OUR GOOD FRIEND, COACH ROD DEDEAUX HAD PASSED
- 9 AWAY. SHE WAS OUITE ACTIVE AS A TEAM MOM FOR 45 YEARS AT
- 10 TROJAN BASEBALL AND SHE LEAVES HER FAMILY AND GRANDCHILDREN,
- 11 JUSTIN, MICHAEL AND TERRY. THOMAS EDWARD MCNEILL, RETIRED
- 12 COMMANDER OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.
- 13 TEDFORD ANDREWS, EDUCATOR FOR 39 YEARS WITH THE GLENDALE
- 14 UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT AND SERVED 25 YEARS AS THE FIRST VICE
- 15 PRINCIPAL AT CRESCENTA VALLEY HIGH SCHOOL, ALSO AS PRESIDENT
- 16 AND SECRETARY OF THE GLENDALE PARKS AND RECREATION AND THE
- 17 COMMISSION ON SERVICES COMMISSION AND ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE LA
- 18 CRESCENTA PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH OVER 60 YEARS. GERALDINE
- 19 MAROUEZ. SHE WAS SERVING AS A CIVILIAN CONTRACTOR IN
- 20 AFGHANISTAN WHEN SHE WAS KILLED. SHE WAS 31. SHE HAD BEEN
- 21 STATIONED AT TRAVIS AIR FORCE BASE IN NORTHERN CALIFORNIA.
- 22 GEORGE DEBAY WAS A MARINE IN WORLD WAR II IN OKINAWA, HE
- 23 BELONGED TO THE MASONIC LODGE 554 AND WAS ACTIVE IN THE BOY
- 24 SCOUTS, JOB'S DAUGHTERS. ROSEMARY CATHERINE SABO. SHE WAS
- 25 ELECTOR AND ORGANIST AT THE INCARNATION CATHOLIC CHURCH AND



- 1 SERVED AS SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION AS A CLAIMS MANAGER.
- 2 ROBERT TOM PARKER, WHO TAUGHT L.A. UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT FOR
- 3 34 YEARS. HE WAS ALSO THE COACH AT LA CANADA HIGH SCHOOL,
- 4 WHERE HE COACHED ALSO LITTLE LEAGUE, MEN'S TENNIS, WOMEN'S
- 5 SOCCER AND SOFTBALL. STAN DUKE, A LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 6 SPORTSCASTER, WON THE FIRST AFRICAN-AMERICAN LOCAL TELEVISION
- 7 NEWS BROADCASTER WHERE HE WAS FOR MANY YEARS AND THEN WAS
- 8 INVOLVED IN A TRAGEDY, BUT HE WAS A FRIEND. WE COMMEMORATE
- 9 WITH A MEMORIAL HIS PASSING TO HIS WIFE, ELLEN, AND HIS
- 10 CHILDREN, BRANDON, DAVID, BEVERLY AND TAMELA PAGE. WILHELMINA
- "BILLIE" EDSTROM WHO WAS AN ACTIVE MEMBER OF ZION LUTHERAN
- 12 CHURCH WHERE SHE WAS QUITE INVOLVED AND SHE PASSED AWAY. AND
- 13 JENNIFER "LEE LEE" AVERY, WHO PASSED AWAY, FROM SUN VALLEY.
- 14 SHE WAS 35 YEARS OF AGE AND SHE LEAVES HER DAUGHTERS--
- 15 DAUGHTER, ALEDA, AND SON, ROGER, AND HER BROTHERS JAMES AND
- 16 THOMAS. THOSE ARE MY ADJOURNMENTS.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: I FORGOT ONE ADJOURNMENT, THAT WE ADJOURN IN
- 21 MEMORY OF IZZY BLACK. WE'LL GET YOU THE INFORMATION. I DO NOT
- 22 HAVE THE ADJOURNMENT ON IT BUT I JUST SAW THE EMAIL. THANK
- 23 YOU.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNANIMOUS VOTE. I'D LIKE TO BE
- 2 ADDED TO STAN DUKE, ALSO. ALL MEMBERS. HE WAS A GREAT
- 3 SPORTSCASTER IN HIS DAY.

4

5 SUP. BURKE: UNFORTUNATE CIRCUMSTANCE.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. MR. ANTONOVICH.

8

9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 12, DID YOU DO? 12?

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE DID 12. ITEM 60 I THINK IS THE
- 12 ONLY ONE REMAINING.

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY, THEN, SO ITEM 60. (OFF-MIKE).

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE SEVERAL PEOPLE WANT TO BE
- 17 HEARD ON ITEM 60, SO LET'S HAVE THE PUBLIC HEARING FIRST.
- 18 PETER BAXTER.

19

20 **PETER BAXTER: MR. CHAIRMAN...**

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HANG ON A SECOND. ALAN CLAYTON AND
- 23 DR. CLAVREUL AND MR. SACHS. GOOD MORNING, MR. BAXTER.



- 1 PETER BAXTER: GOOD MORNING, SIR. MR. CHAIRMAN, MEMBERS OF YOUR
- 2 HONORABLE BOARD, MR. JANSSEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN. MY NAME IS
- 3 PETER BAXTER AND I LIVE IN LOS ANGELES. IT IS RESPECTFULLY
- 4 SUBMITTED THAT THIS PROPOSED NEW ORDINANCE IS A THRILLING
- 5 PROPOSAL TO BRING TO THE BOARD. HITHERTO, COUNTY OFFICERS IN
- 6 THE POSITION OF DIRECTOR HAVE BEEN ISOLATED AS DEPARTMENT
- 7 HEADS, RELYING ON THEMSELVES FOR ISOLATED RESPONSIBILITY. WHEN
- 8 THE ORDINANCE IS ADOPTED, THERE SHALL BE A NEW SENSE OF
- 9 CAMARADERIE AMONG DEPARTMENT HEADS, AMONG DEPARTMENTS FROM NOW
- 10 ON. EXCUSE ME. THIS IS A PARTICULARLY SIGNIFICANT-- IS
- 11 PARTICULARLY SIGNIFICANT IN BOTH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AS WELL
- 12 AS THE OFFICE OF THE REGULAR-- OF THE REGISTRAR OF ORDERS. THE
- 13 COUNTY OFFICERS-- TWO COUNTY OFFICERS SHALL BE WELCOME, A
- 14 WELCOME CHANGE TO THE STUDY OF BOTH THESE MAJOR PUBLIC
- 15 DEPARTMENTS, ALL OF WHICH-- I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED ABOUT WHAT
- 16 THE INTRUSION MEANS ON THE RECOMMENDATION SO I'M NOT GOING TO
- 17 MENTION THAT BUT I JUST HOPE THAT THE ORIGINAL ORDINANCE IS
- 18 ADOPTED, AS PRESENTED, ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
- 19 AND I THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MR. BAXTER. MR.

22 CLAYTON.

20

- 24 ALAN CLAYTON: MY NAME IS ALAN CLAYTON. I'M HERE REPRESENTING
- 25 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CHICANO EMPLOYEES ASSOCIATION. I SPEAK



- IN SUPPORT OF ITEM 60. I THINK IT'S A GOOD REFORM PROPOSAL. IT
- 2 GIVES OPPORTUNITY TO GO IN AND TALK TO AN INDIVIDUAL THAT WILL
- 3 HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS ON WHETHER THEY
- 4 KEEP DEPARTMENT HEADS. OVER THE YEARS, WE'VE HAD OUR
- 5 AGREEMENTS AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH A NUMBER OF DEPARTMENT HEADS
- 6 BUT IT'S SOMETIMES VERY TOUGH TO GET THOSE AGREEMENTS-- OR
- 7 DISAGREEMENTS TO A HIGHER LEVEL WHERE THEY CAN BE HEARD. SOME
- 8 OF THOSE ISSUES DEAL WITH EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION AND
- 9 SERVICE ISSUES DEALING WITH DELIVERY OF SERVICES. I THINK THIS
- 10 IS A POSITIVE CHANGE BECAUSE, NOW, IF WE DO HAVE A DISPUTE AND
- 11 IT'S ON AN ISSUE WHERE WE THINK WE CAN RESOLVE IT, WE HAVE AN
- 12 OPPORTUNITY TO GO TO-- AND TALK TO THE C.A.O.'S OFFICE AND TRY
- 13 TO RESOLVE THAT ISSUE AMICABLY AND IT MAKES IT EASIER TO HAVE
- 14 A CHAIN OF COMMAND. I THINK THAT ONE OF THE PROBLEMS HAS BEEN
- 15 IT'S JUST VERY TOUGH SOMETIMES TO BRING ISSUES UP AND GET
- 16 THINGS RESOLVED. WE'VE DEALT WITH ISSUES DEALING WITH
- 17 EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION CASES SINCE-- I'VE BEEN DEALING WITH
- 18 THEM OVER THE LAST 20 YEARS AND USUALLY IT TAKES A VERY LONG
- 19 TIME TO GET ISSUES RESOLVED. THEY END UP IN FEDERAL
- 20 COMPLAINTS, THOSE COMPLAINTS DRAG ON YEARS, COSTING TAXPAYER
- 21 DOLLARS AND COSTING BASICALLY ADMINISTRATIVE OVERSIGHT. THAT
- 22 HAPPENED WITH THE HEALTH SERVICES DEPARTMENT, WITH THE EEOC
- 23 COMMISSIONERS CHARGE, WHICH I THINK COULD HAVE BEEN RESOLVED
- 24 WITHOUT FILING A CHARGE IF WE HAD HAVE HAD THE ABILITY TO
- 25 RESOLVE IT AT A HIGHER LEVEL. IT'S HAPPENED WITH OTHER ISSUES



- 1 THAT HAVE COME UP, WHERE THEY'VE DRAGGED ON AND WHERE ACTUALLY
- 2 COMPLAINTS GET FILED BECAUSE BASICALLY THERE'S NO RESOLUTION.
- 3 SO I DO BELIEVE THIS IS A POSITIVE STEP. I THINK WE OBVIOUSLY
- 4 ARE GOING TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF IT AND WE THINK THAT THERE
- 5 SHOULD BE A CHAIN OF COMMAND AND THERE SHOULD BE A REVIEW AND
- 6 WE APPRECIATE YOU MOVING FORWARD ON THIS REFORM. THANK YOU
- 7 VERY MUCH.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU, MR. CLAYTON. DR.
- 10 CLAVREUL.

11

- 12 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: YES. GOOD MORNING AGAIN, BOARD OF
- 13 SUPERVISORS. DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. AS YOU KNOW, I AM OPPOSED
- 14 TO ADDING LAYER AFTER LAYER. WE DID THAT FOR D.H.S. NOW WE
- 15 HAVE MORE PEOPLE AND THE WORK IS STILL NOT BEING DONE.
- 16 PROBABLY IT'S WORSE NOW THAN IT WAS BEFORE AND ALSO I WOULD
- 17 HAVE NEVER SAID THAT, THAT, YOU KNOW, GARTHWAITE WAS BETTER
- 18 THAN WE HAVE NOW. WE ARE ADDING A TOTAL NEW LAYER AND FIRST OF
- 19 ALL, I THINK, YOU KNOW, MR. JANSSEN HAD RESIGNED, HE SHOULD
- 20 HAVE STAYED RESIGNED, AND I...

21

22 **SUP. KNABE:** (LAUGHTER).

23

24 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: ...READ SOME OF HIS COMMENTS AND...



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I THINK HE AGREES WITH YOU.

2

- 3 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: NO, NO, NO. I SAID AS QUOTED-- RETIRE.
- 4 I SAID, AS TO JANSSEN'S COMMENT, "I COULD DO THIS FOR FREE BUT
- 5 IT WOULD HAVE NO MEANING". WHAT AN ARROGANT AND CONDESCENDING
- 6 STATEMENT THAT INSULTS EVERY VOLUNTEER THAT GIVES OF HIS OR
- 7 HER TIME TO THIS COUNTRY AND COUNTY AND TO EVERY PROFESSIONAL
- 8 THAT PROVIDES A SERVICE PRO BONO. THIS IS A GOOD REASON WHY
- 9 JANSSEN SHOULD HAVE REMAINED IN RETIREMENT AND I GIVE A LOT OF
- 10 MY FREE TIME AND I'M TOTALLY APPALLED BY THE COMMENT OF MR.
- 11 JANSSEN. I FEEL RIGHT NOW WE ARE ADDING A TOTALLY NEW LAYER
- 12 WITH NO RESPONSIBILITY TO THE ELECTORAL PEOPLE -- YOU KNOW,
- 13 PEOPLE WHO ELECT YOU. I THINK IT'S GOING TO COST US A LOT OF
- 14 MONEY AND I'M CONVINCED WE'RE NOT GOING TO HAVE BETTER
- 15 SERVICE. YOU KNOW, I HAVE NO PROBLEM ABOUT THE DEPARTMENT HAD
- 16 RESPONDED ANSWERING TO THE C.A.O. I HAVE A PROBLEM ADDING A
- 17 TOTAL NEW LAYER. I THINK IT'S INEFFICIENCY OVER INEFFICIENCY.
- 18 THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

19

20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU.

21

- 22 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: AND I AM HAVING A COPY OF MY LETTER TO
- 23 THE EDITOR. I THINK HE WILL APPRECIATE IT. FOR THE RECORD.



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND I THINK IT WOULD ONLY BE FAIR IF I WAS
- 2 ADDRESSED AS "DOCTOR" AS WELL.

3

- 4 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: WELL, I WOULD BE MORE THAN GLAD TO,
- 5 DR. JANSSEN.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ARE YOU A DOCTOR?

8

9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES, PH.D. FROM U.C. DAVIS.

10

11 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DID THEY GIVE PH.D.S AT DAVIS WHEN
- 14 YOU WERE COLLEGE AGE? I DIDN'T KNOW THAT. (LAUGHTER). MR.
- 15 ANTONOVICH.

16

- 17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE JUNIOR C.A.O.S THAT YOU'RE CREATING, ARE
- 18 THOSE TO BE CLASSIFIED OR NONCLASSIFIED POSITIONS?

19

- 20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IS-- AND WE DON'T
- 21 HAVE A PROPOSAL YET, I THINK I SAID THE FIRST OF MAY WILL BE
- 22 HERE BUT UNCLASSIFIED, WE WOULD NOT WANT TO CREATE CLASSIFIED
- 23 POSITIONS.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THEY WOULDN'T BE CLASSIFIED?



1 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S NOT MY INTENTION, NO. 3 SUP. KNABE: THEY WOULD BE AT THE LEVEL, RIGHT, OF MEASURE... 4 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, WE NEED TO DO IT SO THAT IT IS. 6 7 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THE -- WOULD THE DEPARTMENTS ALL RECEIVE WHO WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE SALARY INCREASES? 10 11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THAT'S ONE OF THE MANY ITEMS THAT WE'RE STILL TALKING ABOUT. I WOULD THINK THE BOARD WOULD HAVE TO BE VERY 12 INVOLVED IN ANY SALARY INCREASES. IT'S NOT SOMETHING THAT I 13 WOULD BE ABLE TO DO OR A C.E.O. 14 15 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: UNDER THE DRAFT ORDINANCE, THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE I.S.D. DIRECTOR PURCHASING AGENT WOULD 17 18 NO LONGER REPORT TO THE BOARD. HOWEVER, THOSE POSITIONS HAVE 19 AUTHORITY DELEGATED TO THEM BY THE STATE. DOES THE DRAFT ORDINANCE CONFLICT WITH THE CHARTER OR CURRENT STATE LAW? 20 21 22 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: MR. CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH,

- 23 AS DRAFTED, WE DON'T THINK THAT THE ORDINANCE PROVISIONS
- 24 REGARDING THE C.A.O.'S DUTIES AND THE DEPARTMENT HEAD'S
- 25 REPORTING DUTIES CONFLICT WITH STATE LAW. THERE IS A PROVISION



- I IN THE CHARTER SPECIFICALLY RELATING TO THE PURCHASING AGENTS
- 2 AND CHARTER OFFICER BUT THAT POSITION HAS LONG BEEN HELD IN
- 3 THE DEPARTMENT OF INTERNAL SERVICES AND IT IS SOMETHING THAT
- 4 COULD BE CLEANED UP IN THE CHARTER BUT I DON'T THINK CREATES A
- 5 SPECIFIC PROBLEM IN THE CHARTER.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: UNDER THE PROPOSAL, THE DEPARTMENT HEADS
- 8 WOULD REPORT TO THE C.A.O., SO WOULD THAT MEAN THEY WOULD BE
- 9 LESS LIKELY TO CONSULT WITH BOARD OFFICES ON MATTERS AFFECTING
- 10 THEIR DEPARTMENTS WITHOUT SEEKING THE C.A.O.'S APPROVAL FIRST?

11

12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT ARE THE PLANS TO ENSURE THAT THE BOARD
- 15 MEMBERS WOULD HAVE ACCESS TO INFORMATION THAT'S NOT BLOCKED,
- 16 SCREENED OR CENSORED FOR BOARD OFFICES?

- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MR. CHAIR, SUPERVISOR, WE ARE-- THE PROCESS
- 19 THAT WE'RE GOING THROUGH RIGHT NOW IS TO DEFINE WHAT THIS
- 20 ORGANIZATION IS GOING TO LOOK LIKE IN LOS ANGELES BECAUSE WE
- 21 CAN LEARN FROM OTHER COUNTIES BUT LOS ANGELES IS VERY
- 22 DIFFERENT AS AN ORGANIZATION AND I HAVE INCLUDED THE CHIEFS OF
- 23 STAFF AND BOARD DEPUTIES IN THE DISCUSSIONS WITH DEPARTMENT
- 24 HEADS ABOUT HOW WE SHOULD OPERATE. SO IT IS MY INTENT AND I
- 25 THINK ALL OF OUR INTENTS TO NOT HAVE AN ORGANIZATION THAT DOES



- 1 WHAT YOU'RE CONCERNED ABOUT AND THAT IS BLOCKING ANY ELECTED
- 2 OFFICIAL OR ANY OFFICE FROM OBTAINING THE INFORMATION THEY
- 3 NEED TO GET THEIR JOB DONE. WE HOPE TO MAKE IT A CLEANER
- 4 PROCESS BUT IN NO WAY TRY TO BLOCK ANY INFORMATION AND THOSE
- 5 ARE THE DISCUSSIONS WE'RE HAVING NOW WITH DEPARTMENT HEADS AND
- 6 BOARD OFFICES.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WITH THE ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES, THAT
- 9 USUALLY WOULD MEAN ADDITIONAL COSTS, SO WOULD YOU THEN BE
- 10 GIVING THE DEPARTMENTS AND THE C.A.O. STAFF THE INCREASE IN
- 11 SALARY BECAUSE OF THE ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES?

12

- 13 C.A.O. JANSSEN: IT IS-- NO. I MEAN, WHEN YOU HIRE A NEW
- 14 C.A.O., YOU'RE GOING TO PAY THEM MORE THAN I'M MAKING, I THINK
- 15 THERE'S NO QUESTION ABOUT THAT, REGARDLESS OF WHAT THE
- 16 STRUCTURE IS. BUT, NO, IT'S NOT OUR INTENT TO INCREASE
- 17 PEOPLE'S SALARIES BASED ON THE NEW ORGANIZATION.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WOULD THE BOARD HAVE AN APPEAL PROCESS IN
- 20 PLACE TO APPEAL THE C.A.O.'S EVALUATION OF A DEPARTMENT HEAD?

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, UNDER THE CHARTER CHANGE, THESE ARE
- 23 STILL RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE BOARD, RIGHT? SO CERTAINLY UNTIL
- 24 THE CHARTER IS ACTUALLY CHANGED, YOU WILL HAVE AS MUCH ABILITY
- 25 AS YOU DO NOW TO DISCUSS DEPARTMENT HEAD EVALUATIONS.



1

2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. IF THERE WAS A CHARTER CHANGE, WOULD

3 YOU HAVE THAT ABILITY?

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, IT HASN'T BEEN DRAFTED YET.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: WELL, THAT WOULD BE DEPENDENT ON THE LANGUAGE OF
- 8 THE CHARTER, WOULDN'T IT?

9

- 10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT, I GUESS THAT'S THE ANSWER. I WOULD
- 11 THINK THE DEPARTMENT-- THE BOARD IS ALWAYS GOING TO BE
- 12 INVOLVED IN THE OPERATIONS OF THE COUNTY. IT WILL JUST BE
- 13 THROUGH THE CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER FOR THOSE KINDS OF
- 14 EVALUATIONS OR DISCUSSIONS ABOUT PERFORMANCE.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THE BOARD COULD STILL-- THOSE
- 17 RECOMMENDATIONS COULD BE APPEALED TO THE BOARD OR THE BOARD
- 18 WOULD HAVE THE ABILITY TO MAKE A DECISION OR CRITICIZE OR
- 19 PRAISE?

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IF I CAN JUST INTERJECT. I THINK
- 22 THAT'S A DECISION THAT THE BOARD'S GOING TO MAKE WHEN IT
- 23 DECIDES HOW THE CHARTER IS GOING TO BE-- THE AMENDMENT IS
- 24 GOING TO BE DRAFTED.



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: BUT RIGHT NOW, YOU CAN'T.

2

- 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT RIGHT NOW, HOW IS IT GOING TO BE IN
- 4 THIS...

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: UNDER THE ORDINANCE?

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU CAN. THEY'RE ALL RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE
- 9 BOARD.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WITH THIS PROPOSED-- THE PROPOSAL THAT'S
- 12 BEFORE US TODAY, WHAT IS THE PROCESS FOR BOARD MEMBERS
- 13 INTRODUCING MOTIONS AT A BOARD MEETING?

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'RE NOT-- WELL, AS PART OF-- THIS IS NOT
- 16 GOING TO CHANGE THAT. THERE'S NOTHING IN THIS ORDINANCE THAT
- 17 WILL CHANGE ANYTHING RELATED TO THAT. WE HAD A DISCUSSION LAST
- 18 WEEK WITH THE C.E.O. FROM SANTA CLARA COUNTY, HAD HIM COME
- 19 DOWN AND TALK TO ALL OF US ABOUT HOW DOES SANTA CLARA COUNTY
- 20 WORKED. HE TALKED ABOUT A REFERRAL PROCESS THAT THEY USED IN
- 21 SANTA CLARA THAT WE WERE INTRIGUED WITH BUT WE'RE LOOKING AT
- 22 THINGS LIKE THAT BUT DOING THIS DOESN'T RESULT IN ANY SPECIFIC
- 23 REFERRAL CHANGE BY THE BOARD.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND WHAT WAS THE SYSTEM THAT THEY HAVE UP
- 2 THERE?

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THEY HAD A SECTION OF THE-- THEY HAD AN ITEM
- 5 ON THE AGENDA ITSELF. SACHI, CORRECT ME IF I AM WRONG, THEY
- 6 HAD AN ITEM ON THE AGENDA THAT WAS BOARD REFERRALS AND SO,
- 7 WHERE WE HAVE BOARD MOTIONS, A BOARD MEMBER WOULD COME IN
- 8 UNDER THAT ITEM WITH A REQUEST FOR...

9

10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: A REFERRAL.

11

- 12 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ...TO DO SOMETHING, TO LOOK INTO SOMETHING, TO
- 13 EVALUATE SOMETHING AND THEN IT WOULD GO TO THE ORGANIZATION
- 14 AND FORMALLY COME BACK. THEY WOULD ALSO HAVE, AS PART OF THE
- 15 AGENDA, A REPORT ON THE RECORD OF THE PROGRESS OF MEETING THE
- 16 REFERRAL SO IT WAS TRACKED PUBLICLY ONCE THE BOARD HAD ACTED.
- 17 SO THE BOARD MEMBERS AREN'T DOING INDIVIDUAL MOTIONS, THEY'RE
- 18 DOING REQUESTS, REFERRALS TO THE ORGANIZATION IN A MORE FORMAL
- 19 PROCESS. IS THAT THE WAY YOU HEARD IT?

20

21 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CORRECT.

- 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH. AND IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE'RE TALKING
- 24 ABOUT WITH BOARD OFFICES AND, IF WE THINK IT WORKS HERE, WE'LL
- 25 RECOMMEND IT, BUT THAT'S YOUR DECISION, NOT MINE.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I KNOW BUT THAT PROCESS WOULD DELAY A BOARD
- 3 MEMBER FROM BRINGING IN A MOTION TO BE ACTED UPON.

4

- 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW. ALL WE HEARD WAS
- 6 HIS PRESENTATION. WE'RE NOT ADOPTING IT, WE'RE LOOKING AT IT
- 7 AND YOU WOULD HAVE TO MAKE THAT DECISION, SUPERVISOR, NOT US.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THE PROPOSAL THAT'S BEFORE US TODAY, THE
- 10 ABILITY OF BOARD MEMBERS TO BRING IN MOTIONS RELATIVE TO
- 11 EVENTS OR PROCEDURES OR POLICIES OR QUESTIONS OR INFORMATION
- 12 WILL REMAIN THE SAME?

13

14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES. THERE'S NO CHANGE THERE.

15

16 **SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT?**

17

18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH, NO CHANGE.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HOW DOES THIS SYSTEM MAKE THE PROCESS WE HAVE
- 21 NOW BETTER AND EFFECTIVE?

22

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE PROCESS BEING...



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE PROPOSAL BEFORE THE BOARD TODAY, IF WE
- 2 ADOPT THIS, HOW IS THIS GOING TO MAKE OUR ABILITY TO GOVERN
- 3 BETTER AND THE ABILITY OF ADMINISTERING THE COUNTY A VERY
- 4 EFFECTIVE MEANS OF ADMINISTRATION?

- 6 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I SEE IT AS THE LATTER, NOT THE FORMER. THIS,
- 7 TO ME, IS NOT TAKING AWAY YOUR ABILITY TO GOVERN. IT IS
- 8 INTENDED TO IMPROVE THE ADMINISTRATION, THE IMPLEMENTATION OF
- 9 DECISIONS OF THE BOARD, NOT TO CHANGE THE DECISIONS OF THE
- 10 BOARD. HOPEFULLY, TO DEVELOP AN INFORMATION PROCESS THAT GIVES
- 11 YOU EVEN BETTER INFORMATION THAN YOU HAVE NOW BUT NOT THAT.
- 12 IT'S ON THE ADMINISTRATION SIDE AND THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT, BY
- 13 HAVING A DIRECT ACCOUNTABILITY FROM DEPARTMENT HEADS TO A
- 14 SINGLE INDIVIDUAL RATHER THAN FIVE DIFFERENT INDIVIDUALS WHO
- 15 CAN ONLY ACT IN PUBLIC ON TUESDAYS OR WHEN YOU MEET, THAT YOU
- 16 WILL HAVE MORE ACCOUNTABILITY AND BETTER RESULTS, PROGRAM
- 17 RESULTS. MANY OF THE PROGRAMS, AS YOU KNOW, THAT THE COUNTY
- 18 PROVIDES ARE CROSS-DEPARTMENTAL AND SO WE'RE ALL CONSTANTLY
- 19 STRUGGLING WITH HOW DO WE ORGANIZE A CROSS-DEPARTMENTAL
- 20 DECISION TO IMPLEMENT IT? MACLAREN IS A GOOD EXAMPLE OF THAT.
- 21 WE HAD TO CREATE A SEPARATE COMMISSION COMPOSED OF DEPARTMENT
- 22 HEADS, A FORMAL COMMISSION. YOU WOULDN'T HAVE TO DO THAT UNDER
- 23 THIS. SO THE IDEA IS TO MOVE FROM 85, 88% EFFECTIVE, WHICH I
- 24 THINK WE'RE DOING A VERY GOOD JOB NOW, TO 95% EFFECTIVE IN THE
- 25 ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROGRAMS.



1

- 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHERE WERE WE NOT EFFECTIVE? YOU SAY WE'RE 85
- 3 AND GOING UP TO 95...

4

- 5 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AGAIN, I THINK IT'S PRIMARILY IN CROSS-
- 6 DEPARTMENTAL ISSUES. WE HAVE A VERY DIFFICULT, IF NOT
- 7 IMPOSSIBLE PROBLEM, D.O.J., FOR EXAMPLE, MULTIPLE DEPARTMENTS
- 8 AND THE ONLY WAY YOU CAN REALLY LEGALLY NOW TRY TO ORCHESTRATE
- 9 THAT IS EITHER IN THESE MEETINGS ON TUESDAY IN PUBLIC OR IN A
- 10 CLOSED SESSION, WHICH I THINK IS A VERY DIFFICULT
- 11 ORGANIZATIONAL WAY OF MANAGING CHANGE AND RESULTS. WE ALSO-- I
- 12 DON'T THINK-- I MEAN, SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY SAID THAT, I
- 13 DON'T WANT TO OVERSTATE IT, WE TEND TO DEFER DECISIONS.

14

15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHICH DECISION?

16

- 17 C.A.O. JANSSEN: GENERALLY, AND NOT ALL. WE TEND TO DEFER
- 18 DECISIONS BECAUSE THE ORGANIZATION IS TRYING TO FIGURE OUT
- 19 WHERE THE FIVE DIFFERENT SUPERVISORS ARE GOING IN ANY
- 20 PARTICULAR ISSUE. WE DON'T-- WE OCCASIONALLY WILL GET
- 21 DIRECTION-- DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS FROM BOARD MEMBERS BUT I
- 22 DON'T THINK THAT'S A SERIOUS PROBLEM. SO IT REALLY IS IN THE
- 23 CROSS-DEPARTMENTAL ISSUES AND THE ABILITY OR THE NEED FOR
- 24 DEPARTMENTS TO REPORT TO A SINGLE PLACE.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, THE SERVICE INTEGRATION BRANCH WAS
- 2 UNDER YOU AND THAT'S WHAT IT WAS SUPPOSED TO DO.

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. AND IT CAME OUT OF A REQUEST TO ME BY A
- 5 NUMBER OF OUR COMMISSIONS WHO DEALT WITH CHILDREN AND FAMILY
- 6 ISSUES, WHO WERE UNSUCCESSFUL IN ADVANCING THE FIVE OUTCOMES
- 7 ADOPTED BY THE BOARD IN 1993 BECAUSE NO ONE HAD ANY AUTHORITY
- 8 OVER THE COUNTY. EVERYBODY REPORTED TO THE BOARD. AND WE
- 9 ESTABLISHED THAT SPECIFICALLY AS AN-- IN A FACILITATOR TO
- 10 INTEGRATION, THEY DO NOT HAVE THE AUTHORITY, DEPARTMENTS WERE
- 11 NOT WILLING TO GIVE THEM THE AUTHORITY, TO DEMAND THAT
- 12 DEPARTMENTS DID CERTAIN THINGS. AGAIN, THE ORGANIZATION, 37
- 13 PEOPLE REPORT TO THE BOARD. THEY DON'T REPORT TO ME. IT'S NOT
- 14 JUST A SUBTLE CHANGE TO MAKE THAT CHANGE BUT THE
- 15 ACCOUNTABILITY AND RESPONSIBILITY WILL CHANGE ONCE THE HIRING
- 16 AND FIRING CHANGES.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THE EXISTING CHARTER, AGAIN, UNDER 208050
- 19 AND 208060, GIVES THE C.A.O. THE ABILITY TO EXECUTE ON BEHALF
- 20 OF THE BOARD THOSE ISSUES. ISN'T THAT A...

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND ANY C.A.O. IS AS EFFECTIVE IN PERFORMING
- 23 THAT FUNCTION AS HE OR SHE HAS THE TRUST AND CONFIDENCE OF THE
- 24 BOARD. WHEN I CAME TO LOS ANGELES 10 YEARS AGO, THE
- 25 ORGANIZATION, FRANKLY, WAS IN DISARRAY. THE C.A.O., FOR A



- 1 NUMBER OF YEARS, HAD NOT HAD THE CONFIDENCE OF THE BOARD.
- 2 DEPARTMENT HEADS WERE NOT TALKING TO THE C.A.O. DEPARTMENT
- 3 HEADS WERE NOT TALKING TO BOARD OFFICES. THERE WAS NO ONE IN
- 4 CHARGE OF THE ORGANIZATION. AND WE HAVE MADE, I THINK, GREAT
- 5 PROGRESS IN THE 10 YEARS USING THAT SECTION BUT IT'S NOT A
- 6 TRANSFERABLE ORGANIZATION. IT'S AN ORGANIZATION BASED ON
- 7 PERSONALITIES AND INDIVIDUALS. THIS CHANGE WILL MAKE--
- 8 INSTITUTIONALIZE A DIFFERENT ORGANIZATION THAT SHOULD PRODUCE
- 9 BETTER RESULTS.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL, I WOULD SAY HARRY HUFFORD, RICHARD
- 12 DIXON DID WORK WITH THE DEPARTMENT HEADS AND WITH THE BOARD
- 13 MEMBERS. SALLY REED CAME IN AND HAD A PROPOSAL SHE WAS
- 14 ATTEMPTING TO IMPLEMENT THAT WAS REJECTED BY MEMBERS OF THE
- 15 BOARD. BUT THE EXISTING CHARTER GIVES THE C.A.O. THE ABILITY
- 16 TO PROVIDE THAT DIRECTION AND OVERSIGHT OF THE DEPARTMENTS AND
- 17 YOUR ABILITY TO MAKE THEIR BUDGETS FOR THEM IS A VERY POWERFUL
- 18 TOOL IN YOUR MANAGEMENT. YOU HAVE 512 PEOPLE IN YOUR OFFICE.
- 19 THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO WORK WITH THOSE DEPARTMENTS. SO I
- 20 DON'T SEE HOW THE CURRENT SYSTEM IS GOING TO BE BETTER OR MORE
- 21 EFFECTIVE THAN WHAT WE ALREADY HAVE IF WE'RE AT A-- YOU CALL
- 22 IT AN 85% RATIO.

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND 85 IS A B-PLUS OR A B. THAT'S NOT BAD. I
- 25 MEAN, WE'RE NOT-- WE'RE DOING OKAY. WE CAN DO A LOT BETTER. I



- AM NOT IN THE CHARTER. THAT'S SPLITTING HAIRS BUT THE C.A.O. 1
- IS NOT IN THE CHARTER, IT'S IN THE ORDINANCE. AND THE 500 2
- 3 PEOPLE THAT I HAVE, MOST OF THOSE PEOPLE ARE PERFORMING LINE
- OPERATIONS, THEY'RE NOT CONTROLLING DEPARTMENTS, THEY'RE 4
- 5 LEASING AS A LARGE OPERATION, WORKERS' COMPENSATION IS A LARGE
- OPERATION. COMP CLASSIFICATION IS A LARGE OPERATION, IT IS 6
- LINE, IT IS NOT STAFF. I DON'T HAVE REALLY ANY POLICY PEOPLE 7
- 8 IN MY OFFICE OUTSIDE OF PEOPLE IN THE BUDGET AND THEIR FOCUS
- IS PRIMARILY ON BUDGET, NOT BROADER ISSUES. SO WHEN WE GET
- INTO AN ISSUE LIKE THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, WHICH IS BOTH A 10
- BUDGET AND POLICY ISSUE, I, MYSELF, AM INVOLVED IN THAT 11
- BECAUSE OF THE MAGNITUDE OF IT. AS LONG AS DEPARTMENT HEADS 12
- ARE HIRED AND FIRED BY THE BOARD, THEY HAVE THE ABILITY, AND 13
- SOME OF THEM DO AND SOME OF THEM DON'T AND WE'RE DOING PRETTY 14
- 15 WELL ON THAT, TO GO TO THE BOARD ANY TIME THEY'RE NOT HAPPY
- 16 WITH ANYTHING I'M DOING AND GET IT CHANGED, UNDERMINED,
- CRITICIZED, YOU NAME IT. IT IS VERY DYSFUNCTIONAL TO TRY TO 17
- 18 SAY THAT SOMEONE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR SOMETHING WHEN THEY DON'T
- 19 REALLY HAVE THE AUTHORITY OVER THE IMPLEMENTATION AND THAT'S
- THE SITUATION WE'RE IN RIGHT NOW. 20

22

SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT WHEN HAVE YOU EVER MADE THE

- 23 RECOMMENDATION TO DISMISS A DEPARTMENT HEAD TO THE BOARD IN AN
- 24 EXECUTIVE SESSION MEETING?

25



- 1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WE'VE HAD THOSE CONVERSATIONS FREQUENTLY OVER
- 2 THE LAST 10 YEARS.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT, AS FAR AS NOW, THE BOARD HAS NEVER TOLD
- 5 YOU NOT TO FIRE A PERSON IN A MEETING. I HAVE BEEN CRITICAL
- 6 THAT WE DIDN'T FIRE THE GARTHWAITE AND THE BOCK PUBLICLY AND
- 7 IN EXECUTIVE SESSION. IN OUR MONDAY MEETINGS, I HAVE BEEN VERY
- 8 CANDID ON HOW-- WHERE THERE HAVE BEEN SHORTFALLS AND WHERE
- 9 THERE HAS TO BE OVERSIGHT. I KNOW IN THE D.O.J., WITH THE
- 10 PROBATION ISSUE, WE DIRECTED DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION AND
- 11 MENTAL HEALTH AND PROBATION TO WORK COOPERATIVELY ON
- 12 ADDRESSING THOSE CONCERNS THAT WERE BROUGHT TO OUR ATTENTION
- 13 AND DIRECTED YOU TO COORDINATE THAT OVERSIGHT AND THOSE
- 14 BARRIERS BETWEEN DEPARTMENTS ENDED UP MORE OF A COOPERATIVE
- 15 EFFORT WHERE WE WERE ABLE TO WORK COOPERATIVELY, THOSE THREE
- 16 DEPARTMENTS. SO, UNDER THE CURRENT SYSTEM, I DON'T SEE HOW YOU
- 17 ARE BEING DENIED THOSE OPPORTUNITIES.

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: A COUPLE OF THINGS. ONE, I WOULD HOPE TO ARGUE
- 20 THAT THE D.O.J. SITUATION WOULD NEVER HAVE HAPPENED UNDER A
- 21 DIFFERENT ORGANIZATION. I CAN'T GUARANTEE THAT BUT YOU WOULD
- 22 HAVE HAD ALL OF THOSE DEPARTMENTS WORKING TOGETHER TO DEAL
- 23 WITH THE PROBLEMS THAT WE ALL FOUND IN THE CAMPS. YOU WOULDN'T
- 24 HAVE TO ORDER PEOPLE AND, ONCE YOU ORDER THEM, THERE IS NO
- 25 ADMINISTRATIVE ABILITY FOR YOU TO RIDE HERD ON THEM. UNLESS



- 1 YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE YOUR DEPUTIES DO IT, THEY STILL COME AND
- 2 REPORT TO BOARD OFFICES, BOARD OFFICES STILL QUERY ALL OF THEM
- 3 ON EVERYTHING THEY'RE DOING DIRECTLY AND THE C.A.O. WILL-- HE
- 4 OR SHE CAN HAVE A GREAT DEAL OF INFLUENCE AND COERCIVE
- 5 AUTHORITY IN THE ORGANIZATION, CAN'T HAVE THE FINAL CONTROL OR
- 6 RESPONSIBILITY OR ACCOUNTABILITY UNLESS THERE'S THE HIRING AND
- 7 FIRING ABILITY, WHICH IS NOT A COMPLICATED ISSUE AND PROBABLY
- 8 NOT INCONSISTENT WITH WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF
- 9 WHO MAKES THOSE DECISIONS. BUT A DIFFERENT ORGANIZATION SHOULD
- 10 NOT-- SHOULD BE ABLE TO PREVENT THE KIND OF SITUATION THAT WE
- 11 HAD AT MACLAREN OR ARGUABLY WE HAD M.L.K. THAT'S MUCH MORE
- 12 COMPLICATED AND IN A NUMBER OF OTHER AREAS WHERE WE HAVE
- 13 CROSS-DEPARTMENTAL ISSUES.

- 15 SUP. BURKE: MAY I JUST INTERJECT? I'D LIKE TO GIVE A VERY
- 16 SPECIFIC RESPONSE AS IT RELATES TO THE ISSUE OF THE JUSTICE
- 17 DEPARTMENT. PROBATION HAS NEED FOR ALL OF THE SPACE THAT THEY
- 18 HAVE. HOWEVER, THERE WERE MENTAL HEALTH ISSUES THAT HAD TO BE
- 19 ADDRESSED AS WELL AS EDUCATION ISSUES. IT WAS IMPORTANT TO
- 20 HAVE SPACE ALLOCATION TO MENTAL HEALTH AND ADDITIONAL SPACE
- 21 FOR EDUCATION TO BRING IN THE NECESSARY NUMBER OF PEOPLE THAT
- 22 WERE REQUIRED BY THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT. IF THE BOARD HAD NOT
- 23 BEEN PART OF SAYING, "OKAY, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO SET ASIDE
- 24 THIS SPACE FOR THEM, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO ALLOCATE THEM
- 25 SPACE SO THAT THEY CAN HAVE THE ABILITY TO ADDRESS THE



- 1 COMPLAINTS", THAT JUST WASN'T HAPPENING. NOW, IT TOOK A WHOLE
- 2 BOARD MEETING, EXECUTIVE MEETING AND MAYBE THIS SHOULD NOT BE
- 3 SHARED BUT I'M GOING TO DO IT ANYHOW, THAT EVERYBODY HAD TO
- 4 SAY, OKAY, SOMEONE'S GOING TO HAVE TO ALLOCATE THAT SPACE.
- 5 NOW, THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT WE WERE HAVING TO FACE IN TERMS
- 6 OF THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT. BUT, IF I HAVE THE TIME, I'D LIKE
- 7 TO SHARE ONE OF MY EXPERIENCES. AUGUSTUS HAWKINS HAS MENTAL
- 8 HEALTH AND IT'S A MENTAL HEALTH FACILITY. MARTIN LUTHER KING
- 9 HAD THE HOSPITAL. THERE ARE PEOPLE WHO HAVE HEALTH AND MENTAL
- 10 HEALTH ISSUES OR DRUG ISSUES, CO-OCCURRING ILLNESSES. THE ONLY
- 11 WAY WE WERE ABLE TO SET UP A MECHANISM FOR THOSE PEOPLE TO
- 12 HAVE JOINT TREATMENT BETWEEN THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT AND MENTAL
- 13 HEALTH WAS TO HAVE PROBABLY 10 DIFFERENT MEETINGS BECAUSE IT
- 14 RELATES TO BUDGET. NO ONE WANTS TO GIVE UP PART OF THEIR
- 15 BUDGET TO ANOTHER DEPARTMENT. YOU HAD TO HAVE A JOINT REQUEST
- 16 FOR A BUDGET ALLOCATION TO SERVICE THAT PARTICULAR GROUP OF
- 17 PEOPLE. SO YOU HAD TO HAVE A TASK FORCE OF PEOPLE FROM HEALTH
- 18 AND PEOPLE FROM MENTAL HEALTH TO COME TOGETHER ON THE BUDGET
- 19 ISSUES. ALSO, ON THE ALLOCATION OF PERSONNEL. AND I HAVE A
- 20 WHOLE BOOK SETTING UP THE PROCESS, THE NUMBER OF MEETINGS, THE
- 21 KIND OF COMMITTEES THAT WERE NECESSARY FOR THE TWO DEPARTMENTS
- 22 CAME TOGETHER TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE OF CO-OCCURRING ILLNESSES
- 23 WHERE ONE WAS DOWNSTAIRS AND ONE WAS UPSTAIRS. I'M NOT TALKING
- 24 ABOUT ONE IN ANOTHER BUILDING, ONE IN ANOTHER BUILDING. THEY
- 25 WERE ALL ADJACENT. NOW, EVERYONE WORKED IT OUT OVER A PERIOD



- 1 OF SIX MONTHS. ALL THE MEETINGS WERE HELD AND ALL THESE TASK
- 2 FORCES CAME TOGETHER AND TODAY THERE IS A CENTER OF WHERE THE
- 3 TWO DEPARTMENTS WORK TOGETHER SUCCESSFULLY ADDRESSING THE
- 4 NEEDS OF PEOPLE WHO HAVE HEALTH PROBLEMS AND ALSO DRUG OR
- 5 ALCOHOL OR MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS. AND I REMEMBER WHEN WE
- 6 ESTABLISHED THAT, MR. YAROSLAVSKY SAID, "WHY DON'T WE HAVE
- 7 THAT AT OLIVE VIEW?". I SAID, "OKAY, YOU DO THE SAME THING WE
- 8 DID HERE, I'LL GIVE YOU THE BOOKLET" AND SO-- AND I THINK THEY
- 9 WERE DIRECTED TO TRY TO ESTABLISH IT AT OLIVE VIEW. SO, YOU
- 10 KNOW, WE LIKE TO BELIEVE EVERYTHING IS PERFECT BUT MY
- 11 EXPERIENCE IS THAT IT'S VERY DIFFICULT, JUST FROM THE
- 12 BUDGETARY APPROACH. YOU HAVE TO HAVE SOMETHING THAT'S
- 13 REQUESTED OF YOUR DEPARTMENT BY THE TWO DEPARTMENTS IN ORDER
- 14 FOR THEM NOT HAVE TO GIVE UP PART OF THEIR BUDGET ALLOCATION,
- 15 PART OF THEIR FTES IN ORDER TO ACCOMPLISH JOINT PROJECTS. SO
- 16 WHAT I HOPE HAPPENS IS THAT THERE IS A PERSON WHO COORDINATES
- 17 ALL OF THESE THINGS WHERE THERE IS AN ISSUE THAT HAS TO BE
- 18 THREE OR FOUR DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS COMING TOGETHER TO ADDRESS
- 19 A PROBLEM.

- 21 C.A.O. JANSSEN: LET ME COMMENT ON THE-- YOU MENTIONED CLOSED
- 22 SESSION AND IT GETS TO THE BROWN ACT, I THINK, IN PART, THE
- 23 COMPLEXITY OF THIS ORGANIZATION. THE BROWN ACT IS INTENDED TO
- 24 APPLY TO THE LEGISLATIVE DECISION MAKING PROCESS. IT DOES NOT
- 25 APPLY TO THE EXECUTIVE. IT DOESN'T APPLY TO THE GOVERNOR, IT



- 1 DOESN'T APPLY TO THE MAYOR OF ANY CITY. THEY'RE NOT
- 2 CONSTRAINED BY THE BROWN ACT. CITY COUNCILS ARE, BOARDS OF
- 3 SUPERVISORS ARE BECAUSE THE PUBLIC HAS A RIGHT TO BE INVOLVED
- 4 AND KNOW ABOUT POLICY DECISIONS, LAWS THAT ARE MADE,
- 5 ORDINANCES THAT ARE PASSED BY ELECTED OFFICIALS SO THE BROWN
- 6 ACT APPLIES. WITHOUT AN EXECUTIVE IN CHARGE HERE, YOU'RE
- 7 CONSTANTLY DEALING WITH THAT ISSUE AND YOU JUST REFERENCED IT
- 8 HOW DO YOU CONTROL, MONITOR, GUIDE THE ADMINISTRATIVE SIDE OF
- 9 THE COUNTY WITHOUT VIOLATING THE BROWN ACT? IT IS VERY
- 10 DIFFICULT. AND THE TIMES WE DO APPROACH GETTING IN TROUBLE,
- 11 IT'S GENERALLY RELATED TO THE ADMINISTRATIVE SIDE, NOT THE
- 12 PUBLIC POLICY SIDE.

13

17

19

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AGAIN, GOING BACK TO THE EXISTING CHARTER, IT

- 15 SAYS THE DUTY OF THE C.A.O. IS TO COORDINATE ADMINISTRATION OF
- 16 THOSE DEPARTMENTS. SO YOU HAVE...
- 18 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE ORDINANCE, YOU MEAN?
- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YEAH, RIGHT. SO YOU HAVE THE POWER ALREADY
- 21 AND WE HAVE HAD COORDINATION WITH OTHER DEPARTMENTS WITHOUT
- 22 THIS CHARTER PROPOSAL THAT'S BEFORE US OR THE ORDINANCE THAT
- 23 IS BEFORE US AND A VERY, VERY GOOD EXAMPLE IS THE OFFICE OF
- 24 EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS. WE HAVE EVERY COUNTY DEPARTMENT
- 25 PARTICIPATING. WE PARTICIPATE IN PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS.



- 1 WE HAVE HAD, I BELIEVE, 15 EXERCISES THIS PAST YEAR DEALING
- 2 WITH BIOTERRORISM, RIOTS, EARTHQUAKES AND FIRES. UNLIKE
- 3 LOUISIANA, WE TRAIN. WE HAVE MUTUAL AID PACKS AND WE ARE
- 4 PREPARED TO ASSIST AT ANY TIME, JUST AS WE WERE TWO WEEKS AGO
- 5 WHERE OUR PERSONNEL WENT TO ORANGE COUNTY FOR THAT BAD FIRE
- 6 AND, BEFORE THAT, TO SANTA BARBARA FOR THEIR TRAGIC FIRE IN
- 7 SIMI VALLEY, VENTURA COUNTY. SO WE HAVE THIS ALREADY IN
- 8 OPERATION AND, AS THE C.A.O., IF YOU NEED ADDITIONAL POLICY
- 9 ASSISTANCE, HAVING THE BOARD APPROVE THOSE IS A NORMAL PROCESS
- 10 AND I DON'T SEE ANY ROADBLOCKS FROM HAVING ADDITIONAL POLICY
- 11 ADVISORS IF THAT'S WHAT YOU, YOU KNOW, NEED. YOU SAY YOU HAVE
- 12 A SHORTAGE IN THAT AREA BUT TO CHANGE THE WHOLE FRAMEWORK,
- 13 WHICH WILL PUT BARRIERS FROM THE ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES WITH
- 14 THE PUBLIC, BECAUSE NOW YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT DOING DECISION
- 15 MAKING BEHIND CLOSED DOORS BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BE
- 16 UNDER THE BROWN ACT. WELL, THE BROWN ACT IS IN THE BEST
- 17 INTERESTS OF THE PUBLIC. THAT'S WHY IT WAS ADOPTED. AND, WHILE
- 18 SOME AREAS MAY NOT HAVE THE BROWN ACT, THAT DOES NOT MEAN THE
- 19 BROWN ACT OUGHT NOT TO APPLY TO THOSE AREAS OF CONCERN. I
- 20 PREFER TO HAVE OPEN GOVERNMENT THAN CLOSED GOVERNMENT. AND SO
- 21 WHAT YOU ARE ADVOCATING IS NOW A STEP CLOSER TO A CLOSED
- 22 GOVERNMENT PROCESS.

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. EVERY CITY IN
- 25 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, AND THERE ARE 470 OF THEM, ARE NOT



- 1 STRUCTURED THAT WAY. THAT IS NOT THE WAY GOVERNMENT IS RUN IN
- 2 CALIFORNIA. THE STATE DOES NOT OPERATE THAT WAY. THE COUNTY
- 3 GOVERNMENT...

4

- 5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE LEGISLATURE DOESN'T, I KNOW, AND MAYBE
- 6 THEY OUGHT TO.

7

- 8 C.A.O. JANSSEN: MAYBE THEY OUGHT TO AND MAYBE CITIES OUGHT TO
- 9 DO THAT AS WELL BUT THEY DON'T. THERE IS A SEPARATION, THERE
- 10 IS A CHECKS AND BALANCES BETWEEN THE POLICY MAKERS AND THE
- 11 ADMINISTRATORS FOR GOOD OR BAD. I MEAN, THAT IS THE WAY OUR
- 12 CONSTITUTION HAS BEEN LAID OUT. IT'S VERY INEFFICIENT BUT IT
- 13 COMES DOWN, IN MY MIND, TO ACCOUNTABILITY, THE ABILITY TO HOLD
- 14 SOMEONE ACCOUNTABLE FOR ACCOMPLISHING SOMETHING THAT YOU HAVE
- 15 DIRECTED. AND, UNLESS YOU HAVE THAT ACCOUNTABILITY FOCUSED,
- 16 YOU CANNOT BE AS EFFECTIVE OR AS EFFICIENT AND I THINK THAT'S
- 17 THE WAY GOVERNMENT IS STRUCTURED IN CALIFORNIA WITH THE
- 18 EXCEPTION OF COUNTIES.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THE CURRENT CHARTER GIVES THE C.A.O. THE
- 21 AUTHORITY...

22

23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE ORDINANCE, NOT THE CHARTER.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE EXISTING CHARTER I SHOULD SAY GIVES YOU
- 2 THAT AUTHORITY...

3

- 4 C.A.O. JANSSEN: THE CODE, THE ORDINANCE. IT'S SPLITTING HAIRS
- 5 BUT I AM NOT IN THE CHARTER.

6

7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY. IT GIVES YOU THAT AUTHORITY.

8

- 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: ORDINANCE. RIGHT. BUT AS LONG AS-- I
- 10 UNDERSTAND. BUT-- AND IT'S AS EFFECTIVE AS I AM AND THE
- 11 INDIVIDUAL BOARD MEMBERS ARE. IT'S BASED ON PERSONALITIES.
- 12 ONCE ALL OF US LEAVE, THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO START ALL OVER
- 13 AGAIN WITH AN ORGANIZATION. THAT IS NO WAY THAT A-- WE ARE THE
- 14 87TH, WE LOOKED THIS UP, 87TH LARGEST COMPANY IN THE UNITED
- 15 STATES. IN THE FORBES 500, L.A. COUNTY IS 87. 100,000
- 16 EMPLOYEES, \$21 BILLION BUDGET. YOU CANNOT HAVE 37 CORPORATIONS
- 17 REPORTING TO FIVE ELECTED OFFICIALS AND EXPECT TO BE AS
- 18 EFFECTIVE AS AN ORGANIZATION THAT HAS A FOCUSED ADMINISTRATIVE
- 19 ARM.

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THERE'S ONE DIFFERENCE. WE ARE A
- 22 GOVERNMENT AND THEY ARE A PUBLIC -- AS A PUBLIC ENTITY AND THEY
- 23 ARE A PRIVATE BUSINESS, A PRIVATE ENTITY AND, IF YOU DON'T
- 24 LIKE A PARTICULAR PRODUCT, YOU CAN GO BUY ANOTHER PRODUCT FROM
- 25 THEIR COMPETITOR, WHEREAS THE VOTERS WHO ELECT THE



- 1 REPRESENTATIVES DON'T HAVE ANOTHER GOVERNMENT TO GO TO IF THEY
- 2 DON'T LIKE THOSE DECISIONS BEING MADE. THE PRIVATE SECTOR HAS
- 3 COMPETITION AND THE PROFIT MOTIVE. THE PUBLIC SECTOR DOESN'T
- 4 HAVE COMPETITION AND, AS A RESULT, WE HAVE THE PUBLIC PAY FOR
- 5 OUR MISTAKES.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ACTUALLY, MIKE, EVEN WHEN THE GAS
- 8 COMPANY WAS A MONOPOLY AND THE PHONE COMPANY WAS A MONOPOLY,
- 9 THERE WAS ONE PERSON IN CHARGE. THEY DIDN'T OPERATE WITH A--
- 10 THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS DIDN'T MICROMANAGE THE DAY-TO-DAY
- 11 OPERATIONS OF THE COMPANY, EVEN IN A MONOPOLY, WHEN THEY HAD
- 12 NO COMPETITION.

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND, AS A RESULT, THEY WERE BROKEN UP AND
- 15 OTHER FORMS OF COMMUNICATIONS WERE DEVELOPED SO YOU HAVE
- 16 GREATER COMPETITION IN THE COMMUNICATION AREA TODAY.
- 17 GOVERNMENT DOESN'T HAVE THAT ABILITY. GOVERNMENT DOESN'T HAVE
- 18 THE ABILITY FOR VERIZON OR OTHER TYPE OF SYSTEM TO COME INTO
- 19 PLACE AND NOW THEY HAVE COMPUTERS, YOU CAN USE TO TELEPHONE
- 20 FROM YOUR COMPUTER TO COMMUNICATE. GOVERNMENT IS A MONOPOLY
- 21 AND, AS A RESULT, THE ONLY VOICE THAT THE VOTER HAS IS THROUGH
- 22 THEIR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES AND, WHILE THE PERSONALITIES
- 23 WILL CHANGE, THAT'S PART OF A DEMOCRATIC PROCESS. THAT'S A
- 24 DYNAMIC PROCESS. BUT, IF YOU BEGIN PLACING BARRIERS AND
- 25 SHUTTING OUT THE PUBLIC, THEN IT'S GOING TO BECOME A VERY



- I INSENSITIVE AGENCY REPRESENTING THE PUBLIC. I PUT FORTH A
- 2 COUPLE AMENDMENTS, ONE THAT THIS ORDINANCE IS BEING PROPOSED
- 3 FOR US TODAY OUGHT TO HAVE A SUNSET CLAUSE, A PILOT PROCESS TO
- 4 IT WHERE WE, FIRST OF ALL, KNOW WHAT YOUR BUDGET IS, WHAT
- 5 YOU'RE GOING TO CREATE AND THEN ALLOW US TO OPERATE UNDER THAT
- 6 STRUCTURE FOR, LET'S SAY, SIX MONTHS AND HAVE A THOROUGH
- 7 ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION ON HOW THE SYSTEM WOULD WORK; IN YOUR
- 8 OPINION, HOW IT WOULD BE MORE EFFECTIVE AND HOW IT WOULD
- 9 ANSWER THE CRITICISMS THAT I RAISED AND OTHERS HAVE RAISED
- 10 RELATIVE TO THIS PROPOSAL. AND THE SECOND AMENDMENT I HAD
- 11 OFFERED WAS TO EXEMPT A FEW OF THE DEPARTMENTS BECAUSE THOSE
- 12 OF US WHO HAVE LARGE UNINCORPORATED AREAS, WE ARE THE MAYOR,
- 13 WE ARE THEIR CITY COUNCIL, THEY HAVE SPECIAL NEEDS AND THERE
- 14 ARE SPECIAL REASONS BUT LET ME ASK THE QUESTION TO COUNTY
- 15 COUNSEL. WHAT IS A SPECIAL DISTRICT?

16

- 17 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: MR. CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISOR, A SPECIAL
- 18 DISTRICT IS USUALLY A SEPARATE PUBLIC ENTITY OR AGENCY THAT'S
- 19 EITHER CREATED IN OR ESTABLISHED OR AUTHORIZED BY STATE LAW,
- 20 IT MAY BE ANOTHER ENTITY IS AUTHORIZED BY STATE LAW TO CREATE
- 21 A SPECIAL DISTRICT AND IT'S GENERALLY THE GENERIC DEFINITION
- 22 WOULD BE AN AGENCY THAT'S DEVISED TO PERFORM SPECIFIC SERVICES
- 23 WITHIN A SPECIFIED GEOGRAPHIC AREA.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND CAN A GOVERNING BODY RELINQUISH THEIR
- 2 RESPONSIBILITIES TO A SPECIAL DISTRICT?

3

- 4 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: WELL, THAT QUESTION IS A BIT
- 5 DIFFICULT TO ANSWER. THERE COULD BE SPECIAL DISTRICTS CREATED
- 6 IN STATE LAW THAT ARE INTENDED TO RECEIVE FROM ANOTHER PUBLIC
- 7 AGENCY THOSE-- THE PERFORMANCE OF THE DUTIES. SO I WOULD HAVE
- 8 TO SAY THAT IS POSSIBLE.

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IS A SPECIAL DISTRICT AND
- 11 IT'S A PUBLIC SAFETY ISSUE AND PUTTING ANOTHER LEVEL OR
- 12 BARRIER BETWEEN THE SUPERVISORS AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IS
- 13 DANGEROUS WHEN YOU CONSIDER THE IMMEDIATE EMERGENCY NEEDS
- 14 WHICH ARE REQUIRED WHEN WE DO HAVE SUCH AN EMERGENCY AND, IN
- 15 MY OPINION, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OUGHT TO BE RESPONSIBLE
- 16 FOR THE HIRING, INTERVIEWING OF THE FIRE CHIEF. PUBLIC WORKS
- 17 IS ALSO A SPECIAL DISTRICT AND, IN THE WINTER OF 2005, TO GIVE
- 18 YOU AN EXAMPLE, WE HAD LIFE-THREATENING STORMS. IN FACT, WE
- 19 LOST PEOPLE IN MY AREA BECAUSE OF THAT LOSS OF LIFE IN THE
- 20 ANTELOPE VALLEY. IN THE CITY OF SANTA CLARITA, A MOBILE HOME
- 21 PARK WAS FLOODED AND THE ONLY ROAD WAS BLOCKED AND THE PARK
- 22 HAD A LARGE NUMBER OF ELDERLY RESIDENTS WHO WERE TRAPPED, SO
- 23 OUR OFFICE IMMEDIATELY WORKED WITH PUBLIC WORKS AND WITH THE
- 24 CITY OF SANTA CLARITA AND, AS A RESULT, WE MADE A DECISION ON
- 25 THE SPOT FOR PUBLIC WORKS TO BRING IN A BULLDOZER TO CLEAR THE



- 1 ROAD AND THE CITY AGREED TO REIMBURSE THE COUNTY. NOW, IF OUR
- 2 OFFICE HAD TO GO THROUGH ANOTHER INDIVIDUAL, INTERMEDIARY TO
- 3 SECURE RESOLUTION, THE OUTCOME MAY HAVE TAKEN LONGER AND
- 4 RESULTED IN LOSS OF LIFE. THE PARKS AND RECREATION, THE BOARD
- 5 OF SUPERVISORS IS THE DIRECTOR OF THE REGIONAL OPEN SPACE
- 6 DISTRICT PROP "A" FUNDS. THE VOTERS DESIGNATED SUPERVISORS AS
- 7 HAVING DIRECT OVERSIGHT REGARDING BUDGET AND ALLOCATIONS,
- 8 DIRECT OVERSIGHT. THIS INCLUDES A LONG-TERM OVERSIGHT OF
- 9 PROJECTS THAT HAVE BEEN FUNDED AND THESE FACILITIES ARE
- 10 CENTERS OF MANY OF OUR COMMUNITIES AND, WHEN THERE IS A
- 11 PROBLEM, WE NEED TO ACT-- REACT IMMEDIATELY. SO THOSE ARE
- 12 SUGGESTIONS THAT I HAD MADE AS AN AMENDMENT TO HAVE THE BOARD
- 13 OF SUPERVISORS HAVE THAT RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE INTERVIEWING
- 14 AND HIRING OF THOSE DEPARTMENT HEADS.

15

- 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I THINK THAT-- SUPERVISOR, I THINK THE MOTION
- 17 WOULD NEED TO BE CHANGED BECAUSE IT SAYS, "EXEMPT THE
- 18 FOLLOWING DEPARTMENTS FROM THE NONINTRUSION CLAUSE WHEN
- 19 ASSISTING CONSTITUENTS". WHAT I HEAR YOU SAYING, WHICH IS MORE
- 20 CONSISTENT I THINK WITH WHAT YOU WANT, THEY SHOULD BE EXEMPTED
- 21 FROM THE HIRING AND FIRING OF THE C.A.O. AND TAKEN OUT OF THAT
- 22 EQUATION AS WELL.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT'S FINE, YEAH. THAT'S FINE. SO THERE ARE
- 25 TWO SEPARATE AMENDMENTS, MR. CHAIRMAN THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO



- 1 OFFER. THEY CAN BE, I MEAN, THEY CAN BE VOTED ON SEPARATELY,
- 2 NOT AS A WHOLE BUT I BELIEVE EXPERIENCE HAS INDICATED IN THE
- 3 PAST THIS BOARD HAS BEEN ABLE TO MEET AT ANY TIME WHEN THERE
- 4 IS A NEED. WE HAVE MET ON THE TELEPHONE, WE HAVE MET WHEN
- 5 THERE'S AN EMERGENCY. THE BROWN ACT ALLOWS US THE OPPORTUNITY
- 6 TO ACT WHEN THERE IS AN EMERGENCY, SO WE'RE NOT HANDCUFFED BY
- 7 THAT PROVISION. BUT THE CURRENT SYSTEM, THE CURRENT
- 8 AUTHORITIES THAT THE C.A.O. HAS ARE IN PLACE.

10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY.

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THESE TWO AMENDMENTS, IN MY OPINION, WOULD
- 13 BRING GREATER ACCOUNTABILITY AND ALLOW PUBLIC INPUT THROUGH
- 14 THEIR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES TO TAKE PLACE VERSUS ELIMINATING
- 15 THESE AMENDMENTS.
- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. SO YOUR TWO AMENDMENTS
- 18 ARE, ONE, TO SUNSET IT IN SIX MONTHS? IS THAT...
- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, PILOT PROJECT.
- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND SUNSETS IN SIX MONTHS.
- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YEAH, YEAH.

25

9

11

16

19

21



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THE SECOND ONE IS TO EXEMPT
- 2 THE ENUMERATED DEPARTMENT HEADS?

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RIGHT.

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CAN I MAKE A SUGGESTION ON THE
- 7 ENUMERATED DEPARTMENT HEADS, THAT THAT PORTION OF IT, SINCE,
- 8 RIGHT NOW-- WELL, WHAT MY RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE IS TO ASK
- 9 THAT THAT PIECE OF YOUR MOTION BE REFERRED TO DAVID SO THAT,
- 10 WHEN HE COMES BACK IN, IS IT MAY, IS THAT WHEN YOU'RE COMING
- 11 BACK? EVALUATE THESE BECAUSE THIS ISN'T THE FIRST TIME THIS
- 12 HAS COME UP. THERE'S SOME DIFFERENT PEOPLE HAVE DIFFERENT
- 13 VIEWS ABOUT WHICH DEPARTMENT HEADS ARE IN OR OUT BUT I THINK
- 14 IT WOULD BE-- SINCE THIS IS FOR THE CHARTER AMENDMENT IS WHERE
- 15 IT REALLY MAKES A DIFFERENCE, THE ORDINANCE, WE STILL, AT THE
- 16 END OF THE DAY, WE'RE GOING TO HEAR A RECOMMENDATION IF THERE
- 17 IS IN THE NEXT 18 MONTHS, WHATEVER IT IS BETWEEN NOW AND THE
- 18 ELECTION, WE WILL HEAR FROM DAVID A RECOMMENDATION OR HIS
- 19 SUCCESSOR, A RECOMMENDATION, THAT HE WILL NOT HIRE AND FIRE
- 20 UNDER THE ORDINANCE. SO I WOULD RECOMMEND THAT. RATHER THAN
- 21 EXEMPT NOW-- HANG ON. I'M GOING TO WAIT UNTIL I HAVE HIS
- 22 ATTENTION. RATHER THAN JUST MAKE THAT-- HAVE THAT DEBATE NOW,
- 23 HAVE IT, LET DAVID BRING IT BACK AS PART OF A COMPREHENSIVE
- 24 RECOMMENDATION ON THE CHARTER AMENDMENT. SO, ANYWAY, I WILL
- 25 MOVE THAT THAT ITEM BE REFERRED TO DAVID JANSSEN-- TO THE



- 1 C.A.O.'S OFFICE FOR HIM TO COME BACK WITH A RECOMMENDATION ON
- 2 THESE AND ANY OTHER DEPARTMENT HEADS AS PART OF HIS CHARTER
- 3 AMENDMENT RECOMMENDATION. AND, ON THE SUNSET, I THINK WE HAD
- 4 THAT DEBATE LAST WEEK. I'M NOT GOING TO GO INTO IT AGAIN, I'M
- 5 NOT GOING TO SUPPORT A PILOT OR A SIX-MONTH. I MEAN, IT'S-- I
- 6 THINK WE HAD THAT DISCUSSION LAST WEEK. MR. KNABE.

- 8 SUP. KNABE: YEAH. I MEAN, I STILL OBVIOUSLY SUPPORT THE SUNSET
- 9 IN DECEMBER OF 2008, I MEAN, FROM THAT PARTICULAR SITUATION--
- 10 VERSUS THE SIX-MONTH KIND OF A SITUATION. I THINK THE CHARTER
- 11 LANGUAGE IS BASICALLY THE PILOT PROGRAM. UP TO THE POINT THAT
- 12 WE ADDRESS THE CHARTER LANGUAGE, WE'RE GOING TO SEE HOW THIS
- 13 THING WORKS TO FINE TUNE IT. SO, IN ESSENCE, THAT'S YOUR PILOT
- 14 PROGRAM IS WHAT WE'RE DOING RIGHT NOW WITH THE ORDINANCE AS
- 15 IT'S PRESENTED AND SEE WHAT PARTS WORK AND WHAT PARTS DON'T AS
- 16 WE DEAL WITH THE ULTIMATE CHARTER LANGUAGE. SO, I MEAN, FROM
- 17 THAT STANDPOINT, YOU CAN DEFER IT UNTIL MAY IF YOU WANT BUT, I
- 18 MEAN, I'M STILL AT THE LEVEL WHERE I SUPPORT THE SUNSET CLAUSE
- 19 IN DECEMBER OF 2008 VERSUS THE SIX-MONTH PROGRAM. AS FAR AS
- 20 THE EXEMPT POSITIONS, I THINK ALL OF YOU KNOW THAT I STRONGLY
- 21 SUPPORT THAT THE FIRE CHIEF SHOULD BE EXEMPT-- ONE OF THOSE
- 22 EXEMPT POSITIONS, SO I HAVE NOT REALLY-- YOU KNOW, I MEAN, WE
- 23 COULD KEEP GOING AND KEEP EXEMPTING MORE, THEN WE'RE BACK TO
- 24 SQUARE ONE BUT THE FIRE CHIEF SPECIFICALLY SHOULD BE EXEMPT,



- 1 ONE OF THE EXEMPT POSITIONS, ALONG WITH THE COUNTY COUNSEL,
- 2 AND THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

3

4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. MS. MOLINA.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: AGAIN, THE ISSUE HERE IS THAT WE ARE TRYING TO
- 7 MAKE A CHANGE AND WE DON'T KNOW EXACTLY HOW IT'S GOING TO WORK
- 8 AND THAT'S WHY IT'S IMPORTANT TO DO THE ANALYSIS AND THE
- 9 EVALUATION. BUT I WOULD CAUTION YOU, MR. JANSSEN. I THINK THAT
- 10 YOU'RE OVERSELLING CERTAIN PARTS OF IT AND I'M NERVOUS ABOUT
- 11 THAT. FIRST OF ALL, THE EXECUTIVE PORTION OF OUR
- 12 RESPONSIBILITY STAYS. I CLARIFIED THAT LAST WEEK. YES? WE ARE
- 13 NOT PURELY LEGISLATIVE AFTER THAT.

14

15 SUP. BURKE: BECAUSE WE DO THE POLICY.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA: I KNOW BUT HE DIDN'T SAY THAT AND THAT'S WHY I'M
- 18 CONCERNED.

19

20 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DIDN'T ANSWER THAT LAST TIME.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA: NO, YOU DID. YOU SAID SOMETHING...

- 24 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NO, THE COUNSEL, I THINK, ANSWERED IT. I MEAN,
- 25 IT'S A LEGAL QUESTION THAT I DON'T FEEL I CAN ANSWER.



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA: NO, NO. IN ON OF YOUR RESPONSES TO MR.
- 3 ANTONOVICH, BASICALLY, YOU HAVE THE LEGISLATURE AND THE WAY
- 4 THE STATE IS SET UP BUT UNDER THE RESPONSIBILITY THAT WE HAVE
- 5 AS SUPERVISORS, THIS IS THE FIRST PLACE I'VE COME WHERE-- I'VE
- 6 BEEN IN THE LEGISLATURE, I'VE BEEN IN THE CITY COUNCIL, AND
- 7 NOW I COME HERE. THIS IS THE FIRST PLACE I'VE HAD EXECUTIVE
- 8 RESPONSIBILITIES AND LEGISLATIVE RESPONSIBILITIES.

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT, BOTH. CORRECT.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: SO I UNDERSTAND THAT DOES NOT CHANGE, CORRECT?

13

14 C.A.O. JANSSEN: AND YOU STILL HAVE AUTHORITY OVER...

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: NO, I UNDERSTAND WE HAVE AUTHORITY. I JUST WANT
- 17 TO CLARIFY THAT.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YEAH, OVER THE C.A.O., AUDITOR, COUNTY COUNSEL
- 20 AND CLERK SO YOU DO.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. SO THEN THE EXECUTIVE RESPONSIBILITY
- 23 DOES NOT CHANGE, AND A LOT OF OUR EXECUTIVE RESPONSIBILITY IS
- 24 TO OVERSEE HOW YOU ARE DOING THAT ADMINISTRATIVE WORK WHICH IS
- 25 BASICALLY, AS I UNDERSTAND, HOW OTHER SUPERVISORS ARE DOING IN



- 1 OTHER COUNTIES THAT HAVE A SIMILAR OPERATION. BUT I THINK IT
- 2 HAS TO BE CLEAR, I'M GOING TO SAY IT AGAIN, BECAUSE I'M
- 3 TELLING YOU WE'RE NOT GIVING UP THAT PART AND I DON'T WANT
- 4 ANYONE TO BE TOLD THAT BECAUSE THAT IS INCORRECT. AND YOU GUYS
- 5 ARE SITTING OUT THERE STARING AT ME AND NOT ANSWERING MY
- 6 QUESTION.

7

- 8 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: WELL, SUPERVISOR, I THINK IT'S
- 9 ACCURATE TO SAY THAT YOU WOULD BE DELEGATING TO THE C.A.O. THE
- 10 RESPONSIBILITY TO CARRY OUT A GREAT DEAL OF THE EXECUTIVE
- 11 FUNCTION BUT YOU STILL WOULD RETAIN EXECUTIVE OVERSIGHT OF THE
- 12 C.A.O. AND THE COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE AUDITOR.

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA: BUT IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE RETAIN EXECUTIVE
- 15 POWERS, EXECUTIVE RESPONSIBILITIES. IT'S GOING TO BE DEFINED
- 16 IN THE CHARTER OR IN HOWEVER IT'S GOING TO BE DONE AND THAT
- 17 DEFINITION IS CLEARLY GOING TO SAY THAT DEPARTMENT HEADS OR
- 18 THE ENUMERATED DEPARTMENT HEADS ARE GOING TO BE HIRED AND
- 19 FIRED BY THE C.A.O., WHATEVER IS DETERMINED, BUT IT DOESN'T
- 20 TAKE AWAY OUR EXECUTIVE RESPONSIBILITY, CORRECT?

21

22 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: IN TOTAL, THAT'S CORRECT.

- 24 SUP. MOLINA: SO I THINK THAT THAT'S IMPORTANT AND WE HAVE TO
- 25 BE CAREFUL WITH THIS. I NEED TO-- I THINK WE HAVE TO BE



- 1 CAREFUL ABOUT OVERSELLING THIS IN MANY RESPECTS. FIRST OF ALL,
- 2 NONE OF THESE DEPARTMENTS WERE EVER MANAGED BY FIVE OF US.
- 3 THEY WERE AUTONOMOUS IS WHAT THEY WERE. WE ALLOWED THEM TO
- 4 OPERATE AND THEY WERE IN CHARGE OF THEIR OWN DEPARTMENTS. WE
- 5 DIDN'T CREATE, YOU KNOW, STRATEGIC GOALS FROM EVERY SINGLE--
- 6 WE DIDN'T SAY THESE ARE THE THINGS YOU NEED TO DO. THEY
- 7 BASICALLY OPERATED AUTONOMOUSLY. WHAT WE DID IS WE APPROVED
- 8 THEIR BUDGET, WHICH IS A BASIC DOCUMENT THAT BASICALLY SETS
- 9 THE PRIORITIES FOR A DEPARTMENT, SERVICES LEVELS AND THINGS OF
- 10 THAT SORT AND THAT WE WILL CONTINUE TO DO. DAY-TO-DAY
- 11 MANAGEMENT IS NOTHING THAT WE WERE EVER INVOLVED IN, OTHER
- 12 THAN WHEN WE HAD TO ADDRESS THOSE ISSUES, WHICH I UNDERSTAND
- 13 WE WILL CONTINUE TO ADDRESS. WHEN THE PUBLIC WORKS DID NOT
- 14 RESPOND TO A CONSTITUENT, WE CALLED PUBLIC WORKS, YOU KNOW,
- 15 WHEN, YOU KNOW, THE LIBRARY DIDN'T OPEN ON TIME, WE CALLED THE
- 16 LIBRARY, CORRECT? SO-- BUT THEY OPERATE AUTONOMOUSLY. WE
- 17 DIDN'T TELL THEM WHAT TO DO, WE DIDN'T SET UP THEIR HOURS,
- 18 THEIR HOURS WERE SET BY WHATEVER. I MEAN, I PARTICULARLY DON'T
- 19 LIKE THE IDEA THAT PUBLIC WORKS DOESN'T WORK ON FRIDAY BUT
- 20 THOSE ARE DECISIONS THAT WERE MADE. I COULDN'T COME IN THERE
- 21 AND CHANGE IT AND SAY, "IN MY DISTRICT, YOU HAVE TO COME IN ON
- 22 FRIDAY, " SO THEY BASICALLY OPERATED AUTONOMOUSLY. SO THAT-- TO
- 23 SAY THAT FIVE OF US WERE DIRECTING THEM IS NOT TRUE. WE DID
- 24 HAVE CONCERNS WITH CERTAIN DEPARTMENTS. WE DID THE EVALUATIONS
- 25 AND I MUST SAY VERY POORLY. I THINK THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE



- 1 MORE EFFECTIVELY. I KNOW WE SPENT A LOT OF TIME ON IT AND WE
- 2 WOULD SET GOALS AS WE THOUGHT IN AS FAR AS RESPONSIBILITY,
- 3 OWNERSHIP, LEADERSHIP AND VARIOUS AREAS FOR THE DEPARTMENTS
- 4 BUT CERTAINLY WASN'T THAT THEY HAD TO MANAGE THINGS, YOU KNOW?
- 5 WE DIDN'T SAY, YOU HAVE TO BRING IN YOUR BUDGET ON, YOU KNOW,
- 6 ON THE DIME OR WHATEVER. THAT WASN'T OUR RESPONSIBILITY AND WE
- 7 DIDN'T TRY AND DO THAT. BUT THE ISSUES THAT MS. BURKE TALKS
- 8 ABOUT, WHICH I THINK ARE REALLY ONE OF THE MOST IMPORTANT, ARE
- 9 THE COORDINATION ISSUES. BUT, VERY FRANKLY, I'M NOT SO SURE
- 10 THAT THAT'S GOING TO HAPPEN UNLESS YOU KNOW OUT THERE AND
- 11 YOU'RE NOT GOING TO BE IN TOUCH WITH THE CONSTITUENTS OR THE
- 12 PATIENTS AND THE DEPARTMENT'S NOT GOING TO COME UP TO YOU,
- 13 WHETHER IT BE HEALTH OR MENTAL HEALTH, AND SAY, "HELP US
- 14 RESOLVE THIS BLENDING OF FUNDS". IT'S STILL GOING TO BE MS.
- 15 BURKE'S CONSTITUENTS AND MS. BURKE'S OFFICE WHO ARE GOING TO
- 16 HEAR THOSE ISSUES. I THINK THE ONLY MORE EFFECTIVE ASPECT IS
- 17 YOU'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO BRING THESE PEOPLE TOGETHER, AS WE
- 18 DID AT L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. WITH THE SAME ISSUE ON THE PSYCH
- 19 DEPARTMENT, AND THEY BOTH HELD THEIR GROUND THAT THEY WEREN'T
- 20 GOING TO PAY FOR IT, YOU KNOW, BECAUSE THEY DIDN'T WANT TO
- 21 SHARE THEIR FUNDING AT ALL. THAT IS GOING TO BE FACILITATED,
- 22 HOPEFULLY, BUT, YOU KNOW, AS FAR AS IT COMING TO YOUR
- 23 ATTENTION, FAT CHANCE THAT THOSE PATIENTS ARE GOING TO BE
- 24 CALLING YOU, DAVID. THEY'RE GOING TO BE CALLING US. AND YOU'RE
- 25 NOT GOING TO KNOW ABOUT THE PROBLEM, THE DEPARTMENT'S NOT



- 1 GOING TO TELL YOU BECAUSE, AS FAR AS THEY'RE CONCERNED,
- 2 THEY'RE REALLY NOT THAT INTERESTED.

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE HAVE HIS HOME NUMBER.

- 6 SUP. MOLINA: (LAUGHTER). WELL, WE'RE GOING TO PRINT IT. BUT
- 7 THAT'S THE ISSUE, IS THEY'RE NOT GOING TO CALL YOU AND YOU'RE
- 8 NOT GOING TO KNOW THAT. SO THERE'S STILL GOING-- THERE HAS TO
- 9 BE AN UNDERSTANDING OF SOMETHING, SO LET'S NOT OVERSELL IT.
- 10 IT'S NOT LIKE YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TENTACLES OUT THERE
- 11 UNDERSTANDING THOSE THINGS. YOU'RE GOING TO BE AT THIS
- 12 EXECUTIVE LEVEL, HOPEFULLY RESOLVING EXECUTIVE ISSUES AS WE
- 13 ARE. WE CONFRONT THESE ISSUES EVERY SO OFTEN BECAUSE WE SEE
- 14 AND HEAR WHAT'S GOING ON IN OUR DISTRICTS. WE TRY NOT TO
- 15 INTERVENE IN OTHER MEMBERS' DISTRICTS, I KNOW I DON'T, BUT
- 16 EVERY SO OFTEN YOU'LL SEE SOMETHING THAT NEEDS TO BE DONE AND
- 17 YOU'LL RAISE IT TO AN OFFICE OR PEOPLE CONTACT US ABOUT
- 18 SOMEBODY ELSE'S DISTRICT AND WE REFER IT OVER TO THEM AND
- 19 HOPEFULLY THEY FOLLOW UP ON IT. BUT I DO THINK WE HAVE TO BE
- 20 VERY, VERY CAREFUL NOT TO OVERSELL THIS AND MAKE IT SOUND LIKE
- 21 IT'S SOMETHING VERY DRAMATIC. AND WITH REGARD TO THE BROWN ACT
- 22 OR THOSE ISSUES AND, AGAIN, I THINK THIS IS THE OVERSELLING OF
- 23 IT, I THINK WE HAVE TO BE CAREFUL BECAUSE YOU CAN ALWAYS TALK
- 24 ABOUT EFFICIENCY IN MANY WAYS. AND EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT THE
- 25 AMERICAN WAY IS A LITTLE DIFFERENT THAN OTHER WAYS.



- 1 DICTATORSHIPS ARE VERY EASY. ONE PERSON'S IN CHARGE, THEIR WAY
- 2 OR THE HIGHWAY AND EVERYBODY UNDERSTANDS. UNDER OUR FORM OF
- 3 GOVERNMENT IN A DEMOCRACY, WE HAVE THESE VARIOUS CHECKS AND
- 4 BALANCES THAT GO ON ALL OF THE TIME, AN OPEN PROCESS AND A
- 5 DIALOGUE, SO WE HAVE TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO OVERSELL THAT ASPECT
- 6 OF IT. IT'S STILL INTENDED TO BE AS OPEN AS POSSIBLE. THIS
- 7 DIALOGUE IS GOING TO HAPPEN. I WAS CONCERNED LAST WEEK WHEN
- 8 SOMEBODY SAID THE ONLY THING WE DO AROUND HERE IS CONTINUE
- 9 ITEMS. WELL, THAT'S NOT TRUE. I MEAN, WE SPEND A LOT OF TIME
- 10 DELIBERATING OVER THESE ISSUES AND MOST OF THE TIME IT'S
- 11 GATHERING INFORMATION. MY STAFF SPEND WEEKS TRYING TO FIGURE
- 12 OUT WHEN A CONTRACT IS AND THEY INVESTIGATE AND THEY FOLLOW UP
- 13 AND THEY DO THIS WORK. THEY WANT TO KNOW WHAT IS COMING, THEY
- 14 LOOK AT THE 65 ITEMS, THEY GO THROUGH THEM, THEY DIVIDE THEM
- 15 UP, THEY HAVE DIFFERENT AREAS OF RESPONSIBILITY AND THEY
- 16 INVESTIGATE THESE THINGS. WE DON'T JUST RUBBER STAMP. NOW,
- 17 THERE'S CERTAIN DEPARTMENTS THAT YOU NEVER RUBBER STAMP
- 18 ANYTHING BECAUSE YOU CAN'T TRUST ANYTHING THEY TELL YOU. AND
- 19 SO YOU'RE GOING TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT END OF IT BUT NOW
- 20 WE'RE GOING TO SAY, "YOU TELL US WHY THIS CONTRACT FOR MARTIN
- 21 LUTHER KING IS GOING AT 326,000 A MONTH". SO, I MEAN, EVEN ON
- 22 THE ISSUE THAT I CAN-- THAT WE CAN-- I MEAN, I'M NOT
- 23 CONTINUING THE ITEM ON THE RISK MANAGEMENT BECAUSE I DON'T
- 24 KNOW WHAT TO DO. I CERTAINLY KNOW WHAT TO DO BUT COUNTY
- 25 COUNSEL HASN'T GIVEN US THE REPORT THAT WAS DUE LAST WEEK. SO



- 1 THE ITEM COMES BEFORE US WITH INCOMPLETE INFORMATION. THAT'S
- 2 WHY IT GETS CONTINUED. SO LET'S CLARIFY SOME OF THESE ISSUES.
- 3 IT'S NOT BECAUSE OF INDECISIVENESS. VERY RARELY, YOU KNOW,
- 4 MOST OF THESE SUCH IS BECAUSE OF INDECISIVENESS. I THINK
- 5 POLICY ISSUES ARE PUT BEFORE US BUT THE WHO, WHAT, WHERE, HOW
- 6 AND WHEN HAS TO BE ANSWERED AND THEN WE HAVE TO MAKE A
- 7 DETERMINATION IF THAT'S A POLICY DIRECTION WE WANT TO GO IN.
- 8 SO I THINK WE HAVE TO BE CAUTIOUS AS TO HOW WE APPROACH THIS
- 9 ASPECT OF IT AND I AM WILLING TO TEST IT, I WANT IT TO WORK
- 10 BUT I AM VERY CONCERNED THAT IT'S GOING TO BE IN THE
- 11 EFFECTIVENESS AND THE TEAM THAT YOU PUT TOGETHER, THE PLAN
- 12 THAT YOU DEVISE. YOU TOLD US THAT IT WOULD PROBABLY TAKE YOU A
- 13 COUPLE WEEKS TO DEVISE A PLAN THAT YOU WOULD SHARE WITH US,
- 14 AND, THAT MORE THAN LIKELY, SO THAT I UNDERSTAND, MORE THAN
- 15 LIKELY, WE WILL SEE THE FULL FUNDING OF IT IN THE BUDGET AND
- 16 THAT YOU WILL HAVE THOSE NUMBERS FOR US AND IT WOULD ENUMERATE
- 17 WHO IS GOING TO BE-- HOW IT'S GOING TO BE FUNDED, WHO IS GOING
- 18 TO BE HEADING UP THIS THING AND AN OPPORTUNITY TO HOPEFULLY
- 19 DESCRIBE THOSE FUNCTIONS OF THESE FOLKS AND THESE INDIVIDUALS.
- 20 AND THEN YOU'LL PROBABLY TAKE A WHILE, MAYBE UP TO AUGUST, YOU
- 21 SAID, TO GET THESE PEOPLE HIRED UP AND READY FOR THE MOST
- 22 PART. SO WE ARE IN A SENSE ARE GOING THROUGH, AS MR. KNABE
- 23 SAID, THROUGH THAT KIND OF PILOT THAT WE'RE GOING THROUGH BUT
- 24 I DO THINK WE NEED TO TEST THOSE THINGS BECAUSE IF, AT THE END
- 25 OF THE DAY, ALL I GET IS A BUREAUCRAT THAT TELLS ME TO "HOLD



- 1 ON, I'LL GET TO YOU WHEN I GET TO YOU", THAT'S NOT GOING TO
- 2 MAKE IT VERY APPEALING FOR ME AT ALL. EFFICIENCY AND
- 3 EFFECTIVENESS WILL BE MEASURED BY US IN THIS POSITION AND I
- 4 THINK THAT WE HAVE TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO OVERSELL THIS BECAUSE
- 5 I THINK IT'S GOING TO EVOLVE. I THINK THERE IS NO DOUBT THAT
- 6 HAVING ONE PERSON RESPONSIBLE TO US, AND I LIKE THE IDEA, IT
- 7 HAS NO PROBLEM, I'D RATHER SAY TO DAVID JANSSEN, "COULD YOU
- 8 GET BACK TO ME ON THAT CONTRACT AND LET ME KNOW WHAT'S GOING
- 9 ON?" INSTEAD OF HAVING TO GO THROUGH THE DEPARTMENTS AND
- 10 FIGURE OUT WHO'S IN CHARGE. I MEAN, THIS THING OF MONITORING
- 11 CONTRACTS IS-- DRIVES ME CRAZY. I STILL CAN'T GET THE ANSWERS
- 12 THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES WHO WAS IN CHARGE OF
- 13 MONITORING THAT CONTRACT THAT WENT SOUTH. SAME THING WITH
- 14 CHILDREN'S SERVICES, YOU KNOW? GETTING THE PERSON WHO WAS
- 15 RESPONSIBLE FOR IT BECAUSE I PAY IN A BUDGET FOR CONTRACT
- 16 MONITORS. THE CONTRACT SAYS WE'RE GOING TO DO THE FOLLOWING
- 17 THINGS AND WE FIND OUT THE CONTRACT IS DOING SOMETHING
- 18 DIFFERENT, MY ACCOUNTABILITY IS, WHO IS THE CONTRACT MONITOR?
- 19 NOW, YEAH, I COULD BRING UP THE DEPARTMENT HEAD ASK SAY,
- 20 "OKAY, WHY DIDN'T YOU DO THAT?" AND HE'LL SIT THERE AND GO, "I
- 21 DON'T EVEN KNOW WHO THE DEPARTMENT HEAD IS OR THE CONTRACT
- 22 MONITOR IS," BUT NOW I'M GOING TO SAY TO YOU, THAT'S WHAT HAS
- 23 TO HAPPEN. THAT'S WHY WE SHOULD NEVER SEE A RETROACTIVE
- 24 CONTRACT HERE AGAIN RIGHT, DAVID JANSSEN?



1 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT.

2

3 SUP. KNABE: HA.

- 5 SUP. MOLINA: AND BECAUSE I'VE PASSED A MOTION ABOUT FIVE YEARS
- 6 AGO WE HAVE NO RETROACTIVE CONTRACTS AND THE DEPARTMENTS DO IT
- 7 ALL THE TIME, BUT WE'RE HAVING LESS BECAUSE THEY DON'T LIKE
- 8 COMING TO THE FRONT DESK AND HAVING TO HEAR ABOUT IT. BUT THE
- 9 ISSUE IS THAT THAT'S THE KIND OF EFFICIENCY WE'RE GOING TO
- 10 SEE. NOW, IF WE DON'T SEE IT VERY EFFECTIVELY AND ALL I AM
- 11 TOLD BY THE DEPUTY IS, "I'LL GET TO YOU WHEN I GET TO YOU",
- 12 THAT'S WHEN WE'RE GOING TO START SEEING THESE PROBLEMS.
- 13 DEPARTMENT HEADS DO THAT TO US, BY THE WAY. INDIRECTLY, YOU
- 14 KNOW, "I'LL HAVE THE REPORT NEXT WEEK". OH, CAN I HAVE ANOTHER
- 15 30 DAYS? IT'S SORT OF I'LL GET TO YOU WHEN I GET TO YOU. IT'S
- 16 NOT LIKE THEY'RE WORKING ON IT. IT'S JUST THAT THEY'RE NOT
- 17 READY TO WORK ON IT NOW. SO IS TO BE MEASURED AND I DON'T-- I
- 18 THINK IT COULD BE EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT. I SEE IT IN ANY
- 19 KIND OF ORGANIZATIONAL CHART, IT MAKES MORE SENSE BUT I THINK
- 20 WE HAVE TO BE CAREFUL NOT TO OVERSELL THIS. I THINK THE
- 21 DEPARTMENTS ARE GOING TO HAVE THE MOST DRAMATIC CHANGE
- 22 BECAUSE, GUESS WHAT, THEY'VE BEEN AUTONOMOUS ALL ALONG. WE
- 23 HAVEN'T BEEN INVOLVED IN THEIR OPERATION. I MEAN, I TRIED
- 24 BECAUSE I COULDN'T GET THEM TO DO THEIR EVALUATIONS, THEIR OWN
- 25 DEPARTMENTS TO DO THEIR EVALUATIONS AND I PUT A MOTION IN THAT



- 1 EVERY DEPARTMENT SHOULD DO THEIR EVALUATIONS EVERY YEAR. WELL,
- 2 I'VE BEEN SORT OF SUCCESSFUL BUT I'M SURE THAT, IF I DID A
- 3 TALLY RIGHT NOW, WE WOULD FIND DEPARTMENTS THAT HAVE STILL NOT
- 4 DONE EVALUATIONS OF ALL OF THEIR PERSONNEL AND THAT ISN'T MY
- 5 RULE, THAT'S A LAW. AND SO THAT IS WHAT-- THAT IS THE STANDARD
- 6 THAT YOU'RE GOING TOP HELD TO IN THIS POSITION, WHOEVER TAKES
- 7 ON THAT JOB AND I THINK THAT'S NOT A BAD THING, IT'S A GOOD
- 8 THING. AND YOU SHOULD HAVE THE PEOPLE THAT ARE GOING TO HELP
- 9 YOU TO GET TO THOSE GOALS. THAT'S WHY WE NEED TO SEE THE PLAN
- 10 BUT I AM SUPPORTIVE OF IT. I THINK THAT IT'S NOT A PILOT BUT
- 11 IT IS FOR THE MOST PART TAKING THE CONCEPT, PUTTING IT ON THE
- 12 TABLE, FUNDING THE CONCEPT, GETTING IT UP TO SPEED, BEING
- 13 SUPPORTIVE OF IT AND, YOU KNOW, I KNOW, THAT IN TALKING TO
- 14 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, HE SAYS "THAT'S WHAT WE SHOULD DO
- 15 FIRST", AND I THINK IN A SENSE WE'RE DOING THAT, ALTHOUGH
- 16 WE'RE NOT CALLING THAT BECAUSE WE'RE TAKING THE CONCEPT AND WE
- 17 ARE BACKING IT UP, WE'RE WILLING TO SUPPORT IT AND SO ON BUT
- 18 IT DOES REALLY REQUIRE US TO EVALUATE HOW IT'S GOING TO WORK
- 19 BECAUSE IT IS GOING TO BE VERY HARD TO TAKE BACK AFTER THAT,
- 20 AND IT MAKES SENSE, IT LOOKS LIKE IT MAKES SENSE BUT IT'S ONLY
- 21 AS EFFECTIVE AS THE PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO BE OPERATING UNDER
- 22 IT. AND WE WILL ONLY BE AS EFFECTIVE AS-- BECAUSE, AT THE END
- 23 OF THE DAY, I KNOW-- BELIEVE ME, I WAS ON THE CITY COUNCIL
- 24 WHERE I HAD NO AUTHORITY, ALL RIGHT, YET I KNEW COUNCIL
- 25 MEMBERS WHO WENT DIRECTLY TO DEPARTMENT HEADS ON A REGULAR



- 1 BASIS TO GET WHAT THEY NEEDED FOR THEIR PARKS, FOR THEIR
- 2 POTHOLES AND SO ON. SO, YOU KNOW, THAT'S-- IF YOU DON'T WANT
- 3 THAT TO HAPPEN, IS GET IT DONE WHEN IT'S ASKED OF YOU. IF NOT,
- 4 PEOPLE WILL START GOING BEHIND YOU AND DEPARTMENT HEADS ARE
- 5 GOING TO START REALIZING IT, YOU KNOW, NOW I, OH, I HAVE TWO
- 6 MASTERS, A C.A.O. AND A SUPERVISOR. SO IT'S GOING TO BE BASED
- 7 ON HOW EFFECTIVE THE INDIVIDUAL WHO IS CARRYING OUT THAT WORK
- 8 AND THE ORGANIZATIONAL CHART AND SO ON. SO I WANT US TO BE
- 9 CAREFUL, WE HAVE TO GIVE IT THE ROOM THAT IT NEEDS IN ORDER TO
- 10 BE SUCCESSFUL BUT LET'S NOT OVERSELL IT BECAUSE I WAS ON THE
- 11 CITY COUNCIL WHERE I DIDN'T HAVE THAT AUTHORITY AND I KNOW
- 12 THAT I WAS ON THE LOW END OF THE RUNG ON A REGULAR BASIS,
- 13 WHETHER IT WOULD BE INNER CITY PARKS, WHETHER IT WAS OUR
- 14 POTHOLES IN THE COMMUNITY, CLEANING OUR STREETS. IN FACT, JOAN
- 15 FLORES USED TO STAND UP ON A REGULAR BASIS AND SHE SAID, I
- 16 REPRESENT AN AREA THAT HAS, IN ONE INTERSECTION, THE CITY OF
- 17 L.A. IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THREE OF THOSE CORNERS AND THE COUNTY
- 18 IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ONLY ONE. THE CLEANEST CORNER IN THAT WAS
- 19 THE COUNTY ONE, NOT THE CITY ONES. SO, YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT A
- 20 MAGIC, YOU KNOW, BULLET OF ANY MEANS. WE HAVE TO WORK AT IT.
- 21 WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO MAKE IT WORK. WE WANT IT TO BE
- 22 RESPONSIVE. PAR OF ITS SUCCESS WILL BE OUR ABILITY, NOT JUST
- 23 THE C.A.O.'S RESPONSIBILITY, OUR ABILITY TO MAKE IT A
- 24 SUCCESSFUL MODEL AND THAT SUCCESS IS GOING TO BE BASED ON OUR
- 25 ABILITY TO GET THE KIND OF RESPONSE THAT WE WANT. I WANT



- 1 EFFICIENCY AND EFFECTIVENESS. I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY NEVER
- 2 TO STEP INTO CHILDREN'S SERVICES AND HAVE ONE OF THOSE
- 3 ROUNDTABLES AGAIN TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO GET CHILDREN OUT
- 4 OF SKID ROW. I'D RATHER SAY, "HERE'S A MOTION, YOU GO OUT AND
- 5 YOU DO IT" AND IF IT HAS THE SUPPORT HERE OF THIS BOARD, THEN
- 6 I WOULD EXPECT THAT IT WOULD GET CARRIED OUT AND I'D HAVE TO
- 7 HAVE NOTHING TO DO WITH IT BECAUSE IT WOULD BE DONE BY VIRTUE
- 8 OF MAKING IT A MOTION THAT WOULD BE SUPPORTED BY THIS BOARD
- 9 AND IT WOULD BE CARRIED OUT BY YOUR MAKING SURE THAT ALL THE
- 10 DEPARTMENTS WOULD RESPOND ACCORDINGLY. SO THAT'S WHAT I HOPE
- 11 WILL HAPPEN HERE. SO I UNDERSTAND MR. ANTONOVICH'S CONCERNS
- 12 BUT WE'VE RAISED THIS ISSUE TIME AND TIME AGAIN. THE CONCEPT
- 13 IS VALID AND IT IS A GOOD ONE. THE PLAN WILL BE AS EFFECTIVE
- 14 AS ALL OF US UNDERSTAND OUR ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES TO IT
- 15 AND THAT WE FUND IT APPROPRIATELY AND THAT THE PEOPLE THAT YOU
- 16 HIRE RESPECT OR RESPONSIBILITIES AS WELL.

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION?

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU'RE SAYING THE AMENDMENTS TO THE ORDINANCE
- 21 FOR THE FIRE CHIEF IS GOING TO BE VOTED ON TODAY OR WHAT
- 22 WAS...



- 1 SUP. MOLINA: AND I DON'T SEE A PROBLEM WITH THAT PART OF IT.
- 2 WE EXEMPTED-- DO YOU SEE A PROBLEM WITH THAT NOW, AS COMPARED
- 3 TO DOING IT LATER?

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT I SAID ABOUT...

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA: MR. ANTONOVICH IS INTERESTED IN REMOVING THE FIRE
- 8 CHIEF OUT OF-- AS AN EXEMPT POSITION.

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, HE PROPOSED FOUR DIFFERENT...

11

12 SUP. MOLINA: NO, I UNDERSTAND.

13

14 SUP. BURKE: PUBLIC WORKS AND REGIONAL PLANNING AND...

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA: I UNDERSTAND. I DIDN'T ASK THAT QUESTION. I ONLY
- 17 ASKED THE ONE QUESTION ON THE FIRE CHIEF.

18

- 19 SUP. KNABE: WE COULD DO THAT AS PART OF THE ORDINANCE, TOO,
- 20 THE FIRE CHIEF.

- 22 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, I THINK, BACK TO-- THE ORDINANCE DOES
- 23 NOT CHANGE THE HIRING AND FIRING. IT JUST SETS UP A DIFFERENT
- 24 PROCESS. THE BOARD RETAINS THE AUTHORITY TO HIRE AND FIRE
- 25 UNDER THIS.



1 SUP. MOLINA: SO YOU DON'T NEED TO DO IT HERE. 2 3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T THINK YOU NEED TO DO IT... 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S WHY I RECOMMENDED... 6 7 8 SUP. MOLINA: ALL RIGHT. THAT'S WHY I'M ASKING THE QUESTION. 9 C.A.O. JANSSEN: BUT CLEARLY, THERE ARE TWO VOTES TO DO FIRE. 10 11 THAT'S PRETTY OBVIOUS. THERE MAY BE MORE. BUT THAT, I THINK, COMES WHEN WE HAVE THE CHARTER DISCUSSION. 12 13 14 SUP. MOLINA: VERY GOOD. 15 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: NOT RIGHT NOW. 17 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT FOR THE ORDINANCE... 19 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE ORDINANCE, YOU DON'T NEED IT 21 BECAUSE YOU'RE GOING TO HIRE, IF THERE'S... 22 23 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I DON'T THINK YOU NEED TO BECAUSE YOU STILL 24 ARE IN CHARGE.



1 **SUP. ANTONOVICH: PARDON?**

2

- 3 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YOU'RE STILL IN CHARGE. WE JUST HAVE A
- 4 PROCESS...

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, I'M SAYING BUT THE PROCESS IS THAT THE
- 7 BOARD WOULD DO THE INTERVIEW AND HIRING OF THE FIRE CHIEF AND
- 8 THE NONINTERFERENCE CLAUSE DOES NOT PERTAIN TO THE FIRE CHIEF.

9

10 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, THAT'S A POLICY DECISION FOR THE BOARD.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THAT'S WHAT SUPERVISOR MOLINA WAS ASKING.
- 13 YOU HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH THAT?

14

- 15 C.A.O. JANSSEN: I SAID IT'S A POLICY DECISION OF THE BOARD.
- 16 I'M...

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE ONLY THING THAT'S IN THE SO-
- 19 CALLED-- WE CALL IT NOW THE NONINTRUSION CLAUSE, IS THAT NO
- 20 BOARD MEMBER, AS AN INDIVIDUAL OR HIS OR HER STAFF, CAN
- 21 INSTRUCT OR ORDER, IF MY MEMORY SERVES ME CORRECTLY, IS WHAT
- 22 THE ORDINANCE SAYS.

- 24 SUP. KNABE: WELL, WE ALSO EXEMPTED EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND
- 25 COUNTY COUNSEL UNDER THAT.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THEY'RE EXEMPT FROM ALL OF IT IN
- 3 TERMS OF HIRING AND FIRING JUST TO BE CONSISTENT BECAUSE
- 4 THAT'S CLEAR THAT THEY ARE ESSENTIALLY CONFIDENTIAL, IT'S THE
- 5 WRONG WORD, BUT CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYEES OF THE BOARD, THE
- 6 EXECUTIVE OFFICER WORKS FOR THE BOARD. THE COUNSEL IS OUR
- 7 ATTORNEY. SO THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH THE WAY EVERY OTHER
- 8 JURISDICTION DOES IT. BUT ON THIS ISSUE OF THE NONINTRUSION,
- 9 THE ONLY THING-- I DON'T HAVE THE ORDINANCE IN FRONT OF ME
- 10 BUT, IF MY MEMORY SERVES ME CORRECTLY, THE ONLY THING WE ARE
- 11 PRECLUDED FROM DOING IS INSTRUCTING OR ORDERING A DEPARTMENT
- 12 HEAD, IS THAT CORRECT? I DON'T KNOW WHY WE'D WANT TO ALLOW A
- 13 MEMBER TO INSTRUCT OR ORDER THE FIRE CHIEF IN THE NEXT 18 OR
- 14 12 TO 18 MONTHS. I MEAN, I CAN'T IMAGINE WHAT I WOULD ORDER
- 15 THE FIRE CHIEF TO DO.

16

- 17 SUP. BURKE: WELL, WE GET INVOLVED IN CONTRACTS FOR EQUIPMENT
- 18 AND I THINK THAT WE NEED TO LOOK AT THAT VERY CAREFULLY.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WELL, I CERTAINLY WOULDN'T WANT TO
- 21 ORDER HIM TO DO THAT.

- 23 SUP. BURKE: YEAH. WELL, I THINK WE HAVE TO LOOK AT IT VERY
- 24 CAREFULLY BECAUSE THERE'S ONE THING IN TERMS OF KEEPING THE
- 25 FIRE CHIEF ABLE TO FUNCTION BUT THERE ARE MANY THINGS THAT



- I INVOLVE THE FIRE CHIEF. I KNOW THAT ALL OF US HAVE BEEN VERY
- 2 INVOLVED IN TERMS OF THE ASSESSMENTS IN VARIOUS SPECIFIC AREAS
- 3 AS IT RELATES TO THE FIRE CHIEF.

4

- 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT NONE OF THEM INVOLVE ORDERING
- 6 HIM OR INSTRUCTING HIM. I THINK THAT'S THE DIFFERENCE.

7

8 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I'M AGREEING WITH YOU, YOU DON'T UNDERSTAND.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OH, I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND. I'M
- 11 SORRY.

12

- 13 SUP. BURKE: I'M SAYING THAT IT'S THE FIRE CHIEF HAS FUNCTIONS
- 14 OTHER THAN JUST FIGHTING THE FIRE. THERE ARE SOME THINGS THAT
- 15 ARE CONTRACTS THAT WE OFTEN GET INVOLVED IN, WHETHER OR NOT
- 16 IT'S FOR HELICOPTERS OR WHETHER OR NOT IT'S FOR ANY OTHER
- 17 CONTRACT. I THINK WE NEED TO LOOK AT IT VERY CAREFULLY. I
- 18 THINK WE NEED TO LOOK AT HIS FUNCTIONS AND SEPARATE OUT SOME
- 19 OF THOSE THAT WE MAY WANT TO HAVE SOME LIMITATION IN TERMS OF
- 20 OUR DIRECTING THE FIRE CHIEF. SO I THINK THAT HIS ROLE IS
- 21 MULTIFACETED AND WE DO NEED TO LOOK AT IT MUCH MORE CAREFULLY.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST SAY WE ARE ALREADY DOING THAT
- 24 WITH THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE AND THE
- 25 COUNTY COUNSEL, SO DOING FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, THE



- 1 RECOMMENDATION AS AN AMENDMENT IS GIVING THEM THE SAME--
- 2 PUTTING THEM UNDER THE SAME CATEGORY WITH THE AUDITOR-
- 3 CONTROLLER, EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND COUNTY COUNSEL, SO I BELIEVE
- 4 THE FIRE DEPARTMENT SHOULD BE EXEMPT FROM THE INTERVENTION
- 5 CLAUSE AND BE UNDER THE SAME CATEGORY AS WE DID THE AUDITOR-
- 6 CONTROLLER, EXECUTIVE OFFICER, COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE SHERIFF,
- 7 WHICH IS AN ELECTED POSITION AS WELL. BUT I BELIEVE WE HAVE AN
- 8 AREA THAT-- OF PUBLIC SAFETY THAT THE BOARD SHOULD BE INVOLVED
- 9 IN THE INTERVIEWING, HIRING AND NOT HAVE THIS NONINTERFERENCE
- 10 CLAUSE APPLY TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

11

- 12 SUP. BURKE: WELL, SEE, I GUESS WHAT I'M TRYING TO GET CLEAR IS
- 13 ARE WE TALKING ABOUT THE HIRING OF THE FIRE CHIEF OR THE
- 14 SELECTION OF OTHER PEOPLE UNDER HIM?

15

16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO, NO, THE FIRE CHIEF.

17

- 18 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I THINK SOME OF IT NEEDS TO BE VERY CLEAR
- 19 BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, WHEN IT COMES TO SELECTION OF PERSONNEL
- 20 ISSUES IN TERMS OF ADVANCEMENT AND A NUMBER OF OTHER ISSUES, I
- 21 THINK WE NEED TO LOOK AT THE WHOLE ISSUE.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT'S THAT GOT TO DO WITH-- I DON'T
- 24 UNDERSTAND YOUR LOGIC. FIRST OF ALL, THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
- 25 GOES OUT TO THE COMMUNITIES HE REPRESENTS AND THE COMMUNITY IS



- I INVOLVED IN THE DECISION MAKING PROCESS FOR THOSE CAPTAINS FOR
- 2 THOSE STATIONS AND THAT'S A VERY HEALTHY AREA AND HAVING THE
- 3 FIRE...

4

- 5 SUP. BURKE: OF COURSE. AND HE'S ELECTED INDEPENDENTLY. THAT'S
- 6 ONE OF THE REASONS HE DOES THAT.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND HAVING THE FIRE CHIEF, WHICH IS A SPECIAL
- 9 DISTRICT, BE SELECTED BY THE BOARD THROUGH AN INTERVIEW
- 10 PROCESS, I THINK WE DID A FINE JOB WITH CHIEF MICHAEL FREEMAN,
- 11 AS WE'VE HAD WITH THE PREVIOUS CHIEFS, IS A PROCESS WE OUGHT
- 12 TO RETAIN AND HAVE THE SAME ABILITIES AS THEY CURRENTLY HAVE,
- 13 AS I SAID, WITH THE OTHER THREE DEPARTMENTS, THE COUNTY
- 14 COUNSEL...

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT AND I AGREE WITH THE SELECTION, IF
- 17 THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE SAYING, THE SELECTION OF THE FIRE CHIEF. IF
- 18 WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS WHETHER OR NOT THERE IS A CONTRACT IN
- 19 MONTEREY PARK FOR THE FIRE CHIEF TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THAT, I
- 20 THINK THOSE ARE SOME OF THE THINGS-- I WANT TO HEAR MORE ABOUT
- 21 THAT.

22

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: OH, ABSOLUTELY, ABSOLUTELY, I AGREE WITH YOU
- 24 ON THAT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: BEFORE WE SAY THAT WE WOULD NOT ABIDE BY THE SAME
- 2 RULES AS IT RELATES TO INTERFERENCE AND ORDERING. SO THERE'S
- 3 SOME THINGS IN THE FIRE CHIEF'S OFFICE THAT I THINK THAT WE
- 4 SHOULD LOOK AT BECAUSE THEY ARE VERY SIMILAR TO OTHER
- 5 DEPARTMENTS. HIRING OF THE FIRE CHIEF, I HAVE NO PROBLEM WITH
- 6 THAT. BUT, WHEN IT COMES TO SOME OF THE OTHER ISSUES AS IT
- 7 RELATES TO THE FIRE CHIEF AND THE OPERATION OF THE FIRE
- 8 DEPARTMENT, I WANT TO HEAR MORE ABOUT IT AT THIS POINT BEFORE
- 9 I WOULD...

10

- 11 SUP. KNABE: BUT THAT'S WHAT THE EXEMPT POSITION IS, TO BE ABLE
- 12 TO HIRE AND FIRE THE FIRE CHIEF.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT THAT'S GOING TO BE DEALT WITH
- 15 IN THE CHARTER.

16

17 SUP. BURKE: THAT I AGREE WITH.

18

- 19 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THE CHARTER-- I'M
- 20 TALKING ABOUT THE ORDINANCE.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH, RIGHT NOW, UNDER THE
- 23 ORDINANCE, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, IF THE FIRE CHIEF RETIRES
- 24 AND WE HAVE TO HIRE A NEW FIRE CHIEF, IT'S GOING TO BE THE
- 25 BOARD'S DECISION TO HIRE THE FIRE CHIEF. IT'S GOING TO BE THE



- 1 C.A.O. THAT'S GOING TO BE VETTING IT, HE'LL BE INTERVIEWING
- 2 HIM, HE'LL MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO US. WE CAN TAKE OR NOT
- 3 TAKE HIS RECOMMENDATION. THAT'S THE WAY THIS IS STRUCTURED AND
- 4 THAT'S THE WAY IT IS FOR ALL THE DEPARTMENT HEADS EXCEPT FOR
- 5 THE ONES THAT ARE SPECIFICALLY ENUMERATED, THE ELECTEDS AND
- 6 THE SO-CALLED CONFIDENTIAL EMPLOYEES, THE COUNTY COUNSEL, THE
- 7 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER, THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER.

8

- 9 SUP. KNABE: SO YOU'RE SAYING, IS THAT CORRECT, YOU'RE SAYING,
- 10 THEN, THAT IF THE CHIEF WERE TO RETIRE BETWEEN NOW AND
- 11 WHATEVER CHARTER LANGUAGE COMES UP WITH, WE WOULD STILL HAVE
- 12 CONTROL OVER THAT?

13

14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO.

15

16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: LET HIM ANSWER THE QUESTION.

17

18 SUP. KNABE: LET ME ASK THE QUESTION.

- 20 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: MR. CHAIRMAN, SUPERVISOR KNABE, YOU
- 21 WOULD HAVE THE ULTIMATE DECISION AS TO WHETHER TO HIRE OR
- 22 FIRE-- TO HIRE THE NEW CHIEF BUT THERE WOULD BE A DIFFERENT
- 23 PROCESS THAN CURRENTLY EXISTS. THAT PROCESS WOULD BE WHAT
- 24 WOULD BE IN PLACE FOR ALL DEPARTMENT HEADS EXCEPT FOR THE
- 25 THREE CONFIDENTIAL DEPARTMENT HEADS, WHERE THE C.A.O. WOULD



- 1 HAVE THE ABILITY OF DOING THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS, IDENTIFYING
- 2 THE BEST CANDIDATE IN HIS OR HER MIND, SENDING THAT NAME TO
- 3 YOU AND THEN YOU WOULD ACCEPT THAT NAME OR NOT. IF YOU DON'T,
- 4 THEN THE C.A.O. WOULD BE REQUIRED TO BRING ANOTHER CANDIDATE
- 5 FORWARD.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: WELL, I DON'T SUPPORT THAT, THEN. I SUPPORT THE
- 8 DIRECT INVOLVEMENT OF OUR BOARD WITH THE FIRE CHIEF.

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THAT WAS THE AMENDMENT THAT I WAS MAKING.

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THE HOUR INTERVIEW. THAT'S THE
- 13 ONLY DIFFERENCE IS WHETHER THE FIVE OF US CONDUCT AN HOUR
- 14 INTERVIEW OR A 45-MINUTE INTERVIEW WITH FOUR OR FIVE
- 15 CANDIDATES AND WE COULD ASK, IF WE WANTED TO, IF IT'S THAT
- 16 IMPORTANT ON THIS OR ANY OTHER DEPARTMENT HEAD UNDER THIS
- 17 SYSTEM, DAVID, WHO DID YOU BRING IN? WHO ELSE DID YOU
- 18 EVALUATE? YOU COULD BRING THEM IN, WE WOULD CERTAINLY WANT TO
- 19 TALK TO THE PERSON YOU RECOMMENDED. THAT'S NOT-- I MEAN, THAT
- 20 GOES WITHOUT SAYING. IF WE WANTED TO, WE COULD DO THAT. WE
- 21 COULD DO-- WE COULD ASK YOU TO TELL US WHO ELSE YOU
- 22 INTERVIEWED, COULDN'T WE? WE COULD ASK YOU WHAT PROCESSES YOU
- 23 GO THROUGH. WE'VE DONE THAT NOW WHEN WE'VE ASKED THE DIRECTOR
- 24 OF HUMAN RESOURCES AND YOURSELF, WE'VE DONE IT PRIVATELY AND
- 25 MR. ANTONOVICH HAS BLASTED YOU PUBLICLY SEVERAL TIMES ON THE



- 1 LAST C.A.O. RECOMMENDATION, IN MY OPINION, UNJUSTIFIEDLY
- 2 BECAUSE IT WAS OUR DECISION, NOT YOURS, TO DO IT THE WAY WE
- 3 DID IT. WE COULD ASK YOU TO COME IN AS WE HAVE ASKED YOU
- 4 BEFORE. WHO ELSE DID YOU INTERVIEW? WHO WERE THE OTHER 10
- 5 PEOPLE BEFORE YOU NARROWED IT DOWN TO THREE? SO THERE'S-- THE
- 6 ONLY DIFFERENCE IS WHETHER THE BOARD IS GOING TO SIT-- I WON'T
- 7 CHARACTERIZE OUR INTERVIEWS. EVERYONE HERE KNOWS HOW THEY ARE
- 8 AND, OF COURSE, ALL THE PEOPLE WHO INTERVIEW WITH US KNOW HOW
- 9 THEY ARE BUT ALL-- THE ONLY DIFFERENCE IS WHETHER THE FIVE OF
- 10 US SIT FOR AN AFTERNOON AND INTERVIEW THREE, FOUR, OR FIVE
- 11 CANDIDATES FOR THE FIRE CHIEF. AND, AT THE END OF THE DAY,
- 12 WE'RE GOING TO MAKE, UNDER THE ORDINANCE, WE'RE GOING TO MAKE
- 13 THAT DECISION. WHETHER WE WANT TO RETAIN THE RIGHT TO MAKE
- 14 THAT DECISION IN THE LONG TERM, IF THERE EVER IS A CHARTER
- 15 AMENDMENT, WHICH I'M INCREASINGLY BELIEVING THERE ISN'T GOING
- 16 TO BE...

17

18 SUP. KNABE: WHY DO YOU CONTINUE TO SAY THAT?

19

20 SUP. BURKE: WHY?

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BECAUSE I JUST FEEL IT. BECAUSE I
- 23 FEEL IT. I'VE JUST LISTENING TO THE CONVERSATION.

24

25 SUP. KNABE: SO WE CAN'T ASK QUESTIONS?



1

2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DON'T. ANYWAY, I JUST FEEL THAT

3 WAY. I'M ENTITLED TO MY POINT OF VIEW.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: WELL, SO ARE WE. EXACTLY. GREAT POINT. I AGREE
- 6 WITH YOU. YOU'RE EXACTLY. YOU'RE ENTITLED TO IT.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I'M ENTITLED TO MY PROJECTIONS,
- 9 DON. OKAY.

10

11 SUP. KNABE: SO ARE WE.

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DON, RELAX. I JUST-- WELL...

14

15 SUP. KNABE: WELL, YOU RELAX.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ...WHEN IT GETS ON THE BALLOT, I
- 18 WILL BUY YOU DINNER. I'M NOT-- ALL OF YOU. ALL OF YOU.

19

20 SUP. BURKE: OKAY.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: MAYBE EVEN IN CERRITOS OR CLOSE
- 23 BY. BUT ANYWAY, I JUST DON'T-- ENOUGH. ARE WE READY TO-- LET'S
- 24 JUST VOTE ON THESE THINGS AND WE HAVE TWO AMENDMENTS-- ARE YOU



- 1 DROPPING YOUR OTHER THREE DEPARTMENTS, MR. ANTONOVICH? JUST
- 2 FIRE NOW?

3

4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'LL PUT FORTH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.

5

6 SUP. KNABE: FIRE CHIEF. FIRE CHIEF.

7

8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I'M TALKING ABOUT THE FIRE CHIEF.

9

- 10 SUP. KNABE: I MEAN, I WOULD SECOND THE MOTION AS IT RELATES TO
- 11 FIRE CHIEF BUT NOT THE OTHERS.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. SO THERE'S A MOTION TO
- 14 EXEMPT THE FIRE CHIEF. CALL THE ROLL, FROM THE ORDINANCE.

15

- 16 C.A.O. JANSSEN: RIGHT. OKAY. IT'S NOT JUST NONINTRUSION, IT'S
- 17 HIRING AND FIRING.

18

19 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CAN I READ SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S MOTION?

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SURE.

22

23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: JUST PERTAINING TO THE FIRE.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CORRECT. I BELIEVE HE IS MOVING HIS MOTION
- 2 TO EXCLUDE THE FIRE CHIEF FROM THE OVERSIGHT EVALUATION
- 3 RECOMMENDATION FROM APPOINTMENT AND REMOVAL OF SPECIFIED
- 4 DEPARTMENT HEADS AND OFFICERS.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND AS DAVID SAID, THE INTERVIEW.

7

8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: COULD YOU READ IT AGAIN.

9

10 SUP. BURKE: ONLY APPOINTMENT OF THE FIRE CHIEF.

11

- 12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MOVING TO EXCLUDE THE FIRE CHIEF FROM THE
- 13 OVERSIGHT EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATION FOR APPOINTMENT AND
- 14 REMOVAL OF SPECIFIED DEPARTMENT HEADS AND OFFICERS. THAT'S THE
- 15 SAME LANGUAGE THAT'S IN THE ORDINANCE FOR THE OTHER...

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. I HAD MADE AN AMENDMENT TO
- 18 REFER THAT AND I WILL MAKE IT AGAIN SINCE BEFORE IT WAS ALL
- 19 THOSE FOUR DEPARTMENTS. I MAKE AN AMENDMENT THAT WE REFER THAT
- 20 ITEM TO THE C.A.O. FOR CONSIDERATION AS PART OF HIS CHARTER
- 21 LANGUAGE AND NOT DEAL WITH IT IN THE ORDINANCE LANGUAGE.

- 23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CORRECT, AND YOU'RE REQUESTING THE C.A.O.
- 24 TO REPORT BACK BY MAY 1ST, 2007, WITH A RECOMMENDATION FOR THE



- 1 CHARTER AMENDMENT ON WHICH DEPARTMENT HEADS WILL REPORT TO THE
- 2 C.A.O.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BECAUSE IF WE APPROVE THIS CHANGE
- 5 NOW, THIS WILL PUT THE ORDINANCE BACK ON FIRST READING,
- 6 CORRECT? AND THEN WE'LL BE BACK NEXT WEEK AND SO IT GOES. I
- 7 JUST-- OKAY.

8

9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THOSE ARE THE TWO AMENDMENTS BEFORE US.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE AMENDMENT. WE'RE JUST DOING THE FIRE.
- 12 WE'RE NOT DOING THE OTHERS.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: I HAVE MOVED THAT THE ITEM ON FIRE
- 15 BE REFERRED TO THE C.A.O. AND LET HIM COME BACK ON MAY 1ST
- 16 WHEN HE COMES BACK WITH THE CHARTER FRAMEWORK, I GUESS IS WHAT
- 17 YOU'RE CALLING IT, OR WHATEVER.

18

- 19 C.A.O. JANSSEN: WELL, MAY 1ST WE'RE COMING BACK WITH THE
- 20 ORGANIZATIONAL-- DISCUSSION OF THE ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE. I
- 21 DID NOT DIRECTLY TRADE TO THE CHARER.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I THINK THE OTHER THREE POSITIONS, YOU CAN
- 24 COME BACK ON MAY 1ST, THAT'S FINE, BUT THE ISSUE WE'RE TALKING
- 25 ABOUT TODAY IS JUST THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. FIRE CHIEF.

25

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: AND THAT PUTS US BACK ON FIRST 3 READING. 4 5 SUP. BURKE: I DON'T WANT TO HAVE ANOTHER READING ON THIS. 6 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A READING A 8 WEEK, THE WAY WE'RE GOING. 9 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE MOTION WAS SECONDED, SO... 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH. OKAY. I'VE A MOTION TO REFER 12 13 IT TO THE C.A.O., TO ALL OF THEM. TO THE DEPARTMENT HEAD 14 RELATIVE TO THE DEPARTMENT HEAD, FIRE AND ANY OF THE OTHERS. 15 MS. BURKE, DO YOU SECOND THAT? ALL RIGHT. CALL THE ROLL. 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA? 17 18 19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: NO. 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR BURKE? 21 22 23 SUP. BURKE: AYE. 24

CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

25

C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



```
1
    SUP. KNABE: NO.
2
3
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?
4
5
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO.
6
7
8
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?
9
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AYE. OKAY. IT DOESN'T PASS. SO NOW YOU HAVE
10
11
    MR. ANTONOVICH'S MOTION ON THE FIRE DEPARTMENT-- ON THE FIRE
12
    CHIEF.
13
    C.A.O. JANSSEN: CAN WE-- I THINK WE NEED TO CLARIFY THAT
14
    AGAIN. I THINK THE INTENT IS TO PUT THE FIRE CHIEF IN THE SAME
15
16
    POSITION AS COUNTY COUNSEL, CLERK OF THE BOARD, NOT THE WAY
    YOU STATED IT. SO IF WE CAN JUST HAVE THAT UNDERSTANDING, THEN
17
18
    YOU COULD WRITE IT THAT WAY. ISN'T THAT RIGHT?
19
20
    SUP. KNABE: AND THAT WILL BE COMING BACK ON MAY 1ST.
21
22
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: NO. IT'S JUST TO EXCLUDE THE FIRE CHIEF,
23
    CORRECT?
24
```

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IT'S JUST TO ADD THE FIRE CHIEF TO
- 3 THE LIST-- WHEREVER YOU HAVE THE OTHER DEPARTMENTS, THE
- 4 ELECTEDS AND THE NON-- AND THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND THE
- 5 COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE AUDITOR, THAT YOU ADD FIRE CHIEF.

6

7 C.A.O. JANSSEN: YES.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: BUT YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO DO
- 10 THAT NOW SO THAT YOU CAN GET THIS-- SO THAT YOU'RE
- 11 INTERLINEATED OR WHATEVER YOU CALL IT, SO WE CAN VOTE ON IT
- 12 NOW AS THE FIRST READING. CAN YOU DO THAT, MR. FORTNER?

13

14 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU LET US KNOW WHEN YOU'RE READY.
- 17 ARE YOU READY NOW, ASSUMING WE APPROVE THAT AMENDMENT?

18

19 SUP. BURKE: THEN IT COMES BACK NEXT WEEK, IS THAT IT?

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO. IT WILL BE FIRST READING
- 22 TODAY. YOU'VE GOT AN AMENDMENT WE'VE GOT TO VOTE ON AND THEN,
- 23 IF THE AMENDMENT IS APPROVED, THEN YOU'LL HAVE THE ORDINANCE
- 24 ON FIRST READING AGAIN.



1 SUP. BURKE: AND SO IT COMES BACK FOR SECOND READING NEXT WEEK?

2

3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NEXT WEEK.

4

5 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: YES, THAT'S CORRECT.

6

- 7 SUP. BURKE: WELL, I'M IN FAVOR OF IT BUT I DON'T THINK-- I
- 8 DON'T WANT TO COME BACK NEXT WEEK AND GO THROUGH THIS WHOLE
- 9 THING OVER AGAIN.

10

11 SUP. KNABE: WE'VE GOT TO DO SECOND READING ANYWAY.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IF THE AMENDMENT PASSES, WHICH IT
- 14 APPEARS IT WILL...

15

- 16 SUP. BURKE: BUT IF IT DOESN'T PASS AND WE HAVE AN
- 17 UNDERSTANDING THAT'S GOING TO BE IN THERE, IT'S NOT GOING TO
- 18 BE-- THIS WILL NOT BE FIRST READING, THIS WILL BE SECOND
- 19 READING.

20

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: LET'S TAKE A VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT
- 22 TO INCLUDE THE FIRE CHIEF AND THEN WHILE HE'S WORKING ON THAT.
- 23 CALL THE ROLL ON THAT.

24

25 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?



1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ON MR. ANTONOVICH'S AMENDMENT? 2 3 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CORRECT, TO INCLUDE THE FIRE CHIEF. 4 5 SUP. MOLINA: AYE. 6 7 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR BURKE? 9 SUP. BURKE: NO. 10 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE? 12 13 SUP. KNABE: AYE. 14 15 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH? 17 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AYE. 19 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY? 21 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: NO. IT'S APPROVED. ALL RIGHT. SO NOW WE HAVE 23 THE ORDINANCE, ONCE MR. FORTNER GETS THROUGH DOING THIS, WE'LL HAVE THE ORDINANCE AS AMENDED. HE'S GOT TO INTERLINEATE THE 24 NEW LANGUAGE INTO THE ORDINANCE SO THAT WE CAN VOTE ON IT. 25



1 2 C.A.O. JANSSEN: HE'S ADDING THE FIRE CHIEF TO THE... 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WE HAVE TO HAVE A FIRST READING... 4 5 SUP. BURKE: AND WE'RE GOING TO HAVE A WHOLE SERIES OF NEW 6 7 AMENDMENTS NEXT WEEK. 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YOU BET. 10 11 SUP. MOLINA: IS THAT HARD FOR YOU, MR. FORTNER? 12 13 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: I'M SORRY, SUPERVISOR? 14 15 SUP. MOLINA: IS THAT A HARD THING FOR YOU TO DO FOR...? 16 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: NO. IT'S JUST TAKING ME A MINUTE TO 17 18 READ THE THROUGH THE ENTIRE ORDINANCE... 19 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: HE'S GOT TO DO IT BEFORE WE VOTE. 21 22 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: IT HAS TO BE INSERTED IN ABOUT 10 23 PLACES. 24



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ARE THERE ANY OTHER ITEMS THAT WE
- 2 HAVE TO DEAL WITH?

3

4 SUP. KNABE: WHAT IF WE WAIVE READING?

5

6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: DO WE HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT?

7

- 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THERE IS PUBLIC COMMENT BUT THERE WAS ALSO
- 9 THE OTHER AMENDMENT BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH ABOUT THE PILOT.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CALL THE ROLL ON HIS PILOT. SIX
- 12 MONTH SUNSET.

13

14 SUP. KNABE: NO, HE DIDN'T HAVE A SECOND FOR THAT.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: IS THERE A SECOND FOR THAT?
- 17 THERE'S NO SECOND. IT FAILS FOR LACK OF A SECOND. ALL RIGHT.
- 18 SO WHILE MR. FORTNER IS WORKING ON THAT, LET'S HAVE THE PUBLIC
- 19 COMMENT. IS IT JANICE LEWINGS OR JAMIE?

20

- 21 SUP. BURKE: WHILE WE'RE WAITING, I HAVE AN ADDITIONAL
- 22 ADJOURNMENT.

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SURE. GO AHEAD.



- SUP. BURKE: I MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN THE MEMORY OF GEORGE 1
- 2 WHITE, A LONG-TIME RESIDENT OF THE SECOND DISTRICT, THE OWNER
- 3 AND OPERATOR OF MIRACLE MARKET IN COMPTON. HE'S A 40-YEAR
- BUSINESS OWNER IN COMPTON. HE OPENED HIS FIRST MARKET IN 4
- 5 WILLOWBROOK IN THE 1960S. HIS SECOND STORE WAS OPENED IN THE
- CITY OF COMPTON WHERE HE REMAINED THE OWNER AND OPERATOR UNTIL 6
- 7 HE PASSED AWAY ON SATURDAY. NOT ONLY DID HE PROVIDE QUALITY
- 8 FOOD AND MERCHANDISE TO HIS COMMUNITY, HE ALSO PROVIDED MANY
- JOBS TO LOCAL RESIDENTS. HE WAS A MEMBER OF LITTLE ZION NBC
- WHERE REVEREND JEROME FISHER IS SENIOR PASTOR. HE LEAVES TO 10
- 11 CHERISH HIS MEMORY HIS FIVE LOVING DAUGHTERS, ONE SON AND A
- HOST OF GRANDCHILDREN AND GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. 12

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT, UNANIMOUS VOTE. DR. 14

- 15 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL, I DIDN'T KNOW THAT YOU HAD ASKED, SO COME
- 16 ON UP WHILE WE WAIT FOR THE -- ARE YOU MISS LEWINGS?
- 18 JANIE LEWINGS: YES, I AM.
- SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: ALL RIGHT. HAVE A SEAT. YOU'RE 20
- 21 THERE FIRST SO YOU'LL GO FIRST. MISS LEWINGS, YOU'RE ON.
- 22 PLEASE.
- JANIE LEWINGS: OH, I'M ON, I'M SORRY. 24

25

23

13

17



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: NO PROBLEM.

- 3 JANIE LEWINGS: MY NAME IS JANIE LEWINGS. I AM HERE THIS
- 4 AFTERNOON BECAUSE I AM FOLLOWING UP ON MY TWO FRIENDS, NORM
- 5 RYAN AND APRIL IN TERMS OF RAISING SOME CONCERNS ABOUT THE LOS
- 6 ANGELES COUNTY OFFICE HEAD START DIVISION. SOME OF THE THINGS
- 7 THAT THEY WERE GOING TO DO THAT THEY WERE UNABLE TO DO IS READ
- 8 SOME OF THE DOCUMENTATION IN TERMS OF VERIFICATION OR LACK OF
- 9 VERIFICATION FOR CHILDREN IN THE HEAD START PROGRAM. I WOULD
- 10 LIKE TO READ SOME OF THE DOCUMENTATION AND MAKE A POINT OF
- 11 CLARIFICATION THAT CHILDREN THAT ARE IN THE HEAD START
- 12 PROGRAM, EVEN THOUGH YOU MAY UNDERSTAND THAT THE FEDERAL
- 13 GOVERNMENT HAS SAID THAT YOU DON'T HAVE TO SHOW VERIFICATION
- 14 OF INCOME, THE ISSUE HERE IS ERRONEOUS PAYMENT. I HAVE A
- 15 LETTER, DOCUMENTATION FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT. I HAVE MINUTES
- 16 THAT INDICATE L.A.C.O.E., COUNTY OFFICE OF EDUCATION, WAS NOT
- 17 GOING TO PAY FOR ERRONEOUS PAYMENTS. TO DEFINE ERRONEOUS
- 18 PAYMENTS, ERRONEOUS PAYMENTS MEANS THAT, WHEN CHILDREN ENTER
- 19 THE PROGRAM AND THEY ARE OVER INCOME, THAT MEANS THAT THE
- 20 COUNTY OFFICE HAS TO PAY FOR THE FACT THAT THAT CHILD WAS
- 21 ENROLLED IN THE PROGRAM, IT WAS OVER INCOME AND IT WAS OVER
- 22 THE MANDATE OF CHILDREN, WHICH IS 10% OF CHILDREN CAN BE OVER
- 23 INCOME FOR EVERY SINGLE DELEGATE AGENCY. L.A.C.O.E. HAD
- 24 RECEIVED INFORMATION FROM ITS INTERIM DIRECTOR AND THEN
- 25 DIRECTOR TO SHRED, DESTROY, TAKE OUT OF FILES AND GET RID OF



- 1 DOCUMENTATION THAT WOULD VERIFY INCOME. IT REMINDS ME OF COOK
- 2 COUNTY, IT REMINDS ME OF WATERGATE, IT REMINDS ME OF ENRON.
- 3 SOME OF THOSE DOCUMENTATION IN TERMS OF EMAILS ARE THE
- 4 FOLLOWING. INCOME VERIFICATION AND I QUOTE AND THIS IS FROM,
- 5 AT THAT TIME, THE INTERIM OR THE DIRECTOR, THANKS SO MUCH AND
- 6 IT REGARDS INCOME VERIFICATION, AND IT'S TALKING ABOUT THANKS
- 7 FOR KEEPING ME ABREAST OF WHAT'S GOING ON DURING THE FIRST
- 8 FEDERAL REVIEW AND I QUOTE, "THANKS SO MUCH. HOWEVER, THIS
- 9 SHOULD NOT BE MAINTAINED IN THE FILE." THIS IS REFERRING TO
- 10 VERIFICATION OF CHILDREN AND DOCUMENTATION TO PROVE THAT THE
- 11 CHILD IS ELIGIBLE, FOR EXAMPLE, WORK STUBS, ANY KIND OF FORM
- 12 OF DOCUMENTATION THAT WOULD SHOW INCOME AND I CONTINUE TO
- 13 QUOTE, "NOTHING THAT DOCUMENTS INCOME SHOULD INCLUDED IN THE
- 14 FILE. ONLY THE CERTIFICATION SHOULD BE IN THE FILE SO THAT
- 15 THERE IS NO POSSIBLE SLIP-UP. NO ONE NEEDS TO KEEP THIS
- 16 INFORMATION IN THE FILES" AND IT DOES QUOTE PER A.C.F. THERE
- 17 ARE OTHER LETTERS OF DOCUMENTATION THAT SAY, TAKE OUT, REMOVE
- 18 ALL INCOME VERIFICATION, ONLY USE THE FORM. I'M GOING TO GO
- 19 BACK TO WHAT IS CLEAR AND THAT IS YOU HAVE SITES THAT HAVE
- 20 FULL ENROLLMENT. THERE IS NO WAY FOR A FEDERAL TEAM, FOR THE
- 21 AUDIT DEPARTMENT OR FOR AUDITORS TO GO IN, VERIFY AND JUSTIFY
- 22 WHETHER OR NOT CHILDREN ACTUALLY MEET THE HEAD START INCOME
- 23 CRITERIA. IF YOU WERE TO SEND A TEAM IN TO REVIEW AND TO
- 24 AUDIT, YOU COULD NOT DO THAT. I AM ASKING FOR A FORENSIC AUDIT
- 25 BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. I HAVE OTHER DOCUMENTATION THAT I



- 1 CAN SHOW YOU. DOES THAT MEAN THAT MY TIME IS UP? THAT WENT BY
- 2 SO FAST BUT THANK YOU.

3

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: YEAH. WELL, TIME FLIES WHEN YOU'RE
- 5 HAVING FUN. MR. KNABE, I THINK YOU WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: YEAH, I MEAN, THESE ARE PART OF NUMEROUS
- 8 ALLEGATIONS AND OUR OFFICE IS LOOKING INTO THAT AND SO WE WILL
- 9 SEE WHAT WILL COME OF OUR INVESTIGATION.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. DR. CLAVREUL.

- 13 DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: WELL, NOW IT'S GOOD AFTERNOON. DR.
- 14 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL. WELL, YOU KNOW, AFTER LISTENING TO YOU ON
- 15 ITEM 60, IT'S CLEAR AS MUD AND I THINK, YOU KNOW, BEFORE YOU
- 16 TAKE A POSITION ON SUCH AN ORDINANCE, A CLEAR DESCRIPTION OF
- 17 HOW IT'S GOING TO FUNCTION WILL HAVE BEEN A GOOD THING BUT
- 18 ALSO I WANT TO THANK SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH TO DEFEND THE BROWN
- 19 ACT AND I COULD NOT BELIEVE MY EARS WHEN I HEARD THE C.A.O.
- 20 SAY THERE WILL BE A WAY TO BYPASS THE BROWN ACT. THAT'S SCARY
- 21 TO ME. IT'S VERY SCARY THAT SOMEBODY WHO IS SUPPOSED TO BE IN
- 22 A POSITION OF REPRESENTING THE INTERESTS OF THE PEOPLE. AND
- 23 THAT'S WHY YOU HAVE CONCERN WITH THE C.A.O. POSITION EXPANDING
- 24 TO THE EXTENT YOU WANT TO EXPAND IT. THAT POSITION IS NOT AN
- 25 ELECTED POSITION AND I THINK YOU'RE GIVING IT TOO MUCH POWER.



- I AM VERY CONCERNED OF THE WAY THINGS LOOK. I AM CONCERNED
- 2 THAT MAYBE THE REASON WE HAVE NOT FOUND A NEW C.A.O. IS
- 3 BECAUSE THE PRESENT C.E.O. WAS INVOLVED IN A RECRUITING
- 4 EFFORT, CONSTANTLY PUTTING HIS THUMBTACK ON IT. THAT'S NOT THE
- 5 WAY YOU RECRUIT A NEW PERSON. AND I THINK THAT PROBABLY THE
- 6 NEXT ONE WILL BE TRYING TO-- ALSO HAVE THE SAME POSITION THAT
- 7 THE PRESENT PERSON. I THINK THAT'S THE WRONG WAY TO GO ABOUT
- 8 IT. I THINK YOU SHOULD NOT BE THE ONE INTERVIEWING THE PEOPLE
- 9 WHO ARE COMING FOR THE POSITION, AND I THINK IF SOME WERE TO
- 10 REVIEW ACTUALLY WHERE ALL THE MONEY HAS GONE, OR THOSE 25%
- 11 EXTRA HE GETS WITH EVERY CONTRACT AND BE ABLE TO LOCATE THE
- 12 PEOPLE. I THINK IT'S TIME TO REALLY REVIEW WHERE EVERYTHING
- 13 HAS GONE. THANK YOU.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THANK YOU. THAT CLOSES THE PUBLIC
- 16 HEARING. MR. FORTNER, ARE YOU READY ON THE...

17

- 18 RAYMOND G. FORTNER, JR.: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN. THE EXECUTIVE
- 19 OFFICER HAS BEFORE HER AN ORDINANCE THAT IS READY FOR
- 20 INTRODUCTION THAT IS THE FIRST READING WHICH INCLUDES FIRE
- 21 CHIEF, WHEREVER IT APPEARED IN THE ORDINANCE-- WHEREVER COUNTY
- 22 COUNSEL, C.A.O., AND AUDITOR-CONTROLLER APPEARED.

- 24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SO I'LL READ THE SHORT TITLE IN FOR THE
- 25 RECORD. THIS IS AN ORDINANCE AMENDING TITLE 2, ADMINISTRATION



- 1 OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY CODE, TO ADD CHAPTER 2.01, INTERIM
- 2 GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE TO AMEND THE ADMINISTRATIVE GOVERNANCE
- 3 STRUCTURE OF THE COUNTY AS A INTERIM MEASURE PENDING THE
- 4 CONSIDERATION OF AN AMENDMENT TO THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 5 CHARTER, MAKING A SIMILAR GOVERNANCE STRUCTURE PERMANENT.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. CALL THE ROLL.

8

9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

10

11 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: AYE.

12

13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR BURKE?

14

15 SUP. BURKE: NO.

16

17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THIS IS ON THE ORDINANCE.

18

- 19 SUP. BURKE: ON THE ORDINANCE ITSELF? OH, YES. I'M TRYING TO
- 20 FIGURE OUT EXACTLY WHERE HE SAID HE WAS ADDING IT. EVERY--
- 21 EIGHT PLACES?

22

23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CORRECT.



- 1 SUP. BURKE: I THOUGHT INITIALLY WE WERE TALKING ABOUT UNDER
- 2 THE APPOINTMENT OF THE FIRE CHIEF. AS A DEPARTMENT-- THE
- 3 APPOINTMENT OF THE FIRE CHIEF.

4

- 5 SUP. KNABE: WHERE IT INCLUDES COUNTY COUNSEL, EXECUTIVE
- 6 OFFICER AND AUDITOR-CONTROLLER.

7

- 8 SUP. BURKE: AS IT RELATES TO THEIR APPOINTMENT OR AS TO
- 9 EVERYTHING?

10

11 C.A.O. JANSSEN: EVERYTHING IN THE ORDINANCE.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: THAT'S WHAT HE SAID. THAT'S WHAT
- 14 THE MAJORITY VOTED ON SO THAT'S THE WAY IT IS.

15

16 SUP. BURKE: I THOUGHT IT WAS APPOINTMENT.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: SO IT ADDS ONE MORE DEPARTMENT
- 19 HEAD TO THE LIST OF THE FOUR OR FIVE THAT WERE EXEMPTED. ALL
- 20 RIGHT.

21

22 SUP. BURKE: ALL RIGHT.

23

24 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: DO YOU WANT ME TO...



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: WHILE SHE LOOKS AT IT, WHY DON'T
- 2 YOU GO AHEAD AND CALL THE REST OF THE ROLL.

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

5

6 SUP. KNABE: AYE.

7

8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH?

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: NO.

11

12 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

13

14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AYE.

15

16 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AND YOU NEED SUPERVISOR BURKE.

17

18 SUP. BURKE: AYE.

19

- 20 SUP. KNABE: WAIT A MINUTE, WAIT A MINUTE. THAT WAS TO INCLUDE
- 21 THE FIRE CHIEF.

22

23 SUP. BURKE: YEAH BUT HE STILL DIDN'T VOTE FOR THE ORDINANCE.

24

25 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THAT WAS REVISING THE ENTIRE ORDINANCE.



1 2 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FOR THE WHOLE ORDINANCE, DON. 3 SUP. KNABE: OKAY. 4 5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: CONGRATULATIONS. ALL RIGHT. 6 7 THAT'S-- THAT IS APPROVED ON FIRST READING. IT WILL BE BACK 8 AGAIN ON SECOND READING NEXT WEEK. ANYTHING ELSE? WE HAVE CLOSED SESSION? 10 11 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE'RE IN CLOSED SESSION. 12 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY, CHAIRMAN: OKAY. WHY DON'T YOU CALL US INTO CLOSED SESSION. 14 15 16 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS, NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL 17 18 CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM CS-1 AND CS-2, 19 CONFERENCES WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING EXISTING LITIGATION 20 AND ITEM CS-3, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING INITIATION OF LITIGATION, TWO CASES. THANK YOU. 21 22 23 24 25



```
REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION ON MARCH 20, 2007
1
2
3
4
5
    CS-1. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - EXISTING LITIGATION
    (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9) Kedren
6
    Community Mental Health Center, Inc. v. County of Los Angeles,
7
8
    et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 343 802
9
    This case involves a claim for damages allegedly incurred by
10
11
    providers of mental health services relating to Department of
    Mental Health information systems modifications made in order
12
    to comply with the requirements of the Federal Health
13
    Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA)
14
15
16
    ACTION TAKEN: The Board of Supervisors authorized partial
    settlement of the above lawsuit. The substance of the
17
18
    settlement will be disclosed upon inquiry by any person as
19
    soon as the settlement becomes final following approval by all
20
    parties.
21
22
    The vote of the Board was unanimous with all Supervisors being
23
    present.
24
```



- 1 CS-2. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL EXISTING LITIGATION
- 2 (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9) Youth
- 3 Intervention Program Litigation (Department of Mental Health),
- 4 U.S. Bankruptcy Court Case No. LA 04-18821 MT Adversary No. 05
- 5 01409 MT

6

- 7 This case relates to the County's decision to terminate for
- 8 convenience several contracts with the Youth Intervention
- 9 Program based upon adverse audit findings and related
- 10 information.

11

- 12 ACTION TAKEN: The Board of Supervisors authorized settlement
- 13 of the above lawsuit. The substance of the settlement will be
- 14 disclosed upon inquiry by any person as soon as the settlement
- 15 becomes final following approval by all parties.

16

- 17 The vote of the Board was unanimous with all Supervisors being
- 18 present.

19

- 20 CS-3. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
- 21 (Subdivision (c) of Government Code Section 54956.9)
- 22 Initiation of litigation (two cases)

- 24 ACTION TAKEN: The Board of Supervisors on one case authorized
- 25 its legal counsel to seek to join Los Angeles County as a



```
party plaintiff in the lawsuit entitled County of Santa Clara,
1
    et al. v. Atlantic Richfield Company, et al., Santa Clara
2
3
    County Superior Court Case No. CV 788657
4
    This is a lawsuit by various public agencies against lead
5
   manufacturers seeking abatement remedies for a public nuisance
6
    created by lead paint.
7
8
9
    The vote of the Board was unanimous with all Supervisors being
    present. On the second case there was no reportable action
10
11
    taken.
12
    CS-4. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
13
    (Subdivision (b) of Government Code Section 54956.9)
14
    Significant exposure to litigation (one case)
15
16
    During Open Session, the Board continued this item to April 3,
17
18
    2007.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter
2	Number 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of
3	California, do hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the
5	Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors March 20th, 2007,
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as
9	archived in the office of the reporter and which
10	have been provided to the Los Angeles County Board of
11	Supervisors as certified by me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16	22nd day of March 2007 for the County records to be used only
17	for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR
22	
23	